Historic Preservation Commission	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	3
	1 commissioner.
CITY OF JACKSONVILLE	2 THE CHAIRMAN: Obviously, this is a
HISTORIC PRESERVATION	3 half-in-person/half-Zoom meeting, so we're
COMMISSION	4 going to do our best to keep up with everybody.
	5 I'll give a little time when asking if anybody
	6 wants to talk.
Proceedings held on Wednesday, April 28, 2021,	7 On Zoom, I believe there is a "raise your
commencing at 3:17 p.m., Jacksonville Public Library,	8 hand" option; please utilize that. In case of
Multipurpose Room, 303 North Laura Street, Jacksonville,	9 emergency, there's also a "chat" option, so you
Florida, before Diane M. Tropia, FPR, a Notary Public in	10 can utilize that as well.
and for the State of Florida at Large.	11 I'm going to go ahead and take a motion to
	12 approve our minutes from the March 24th meeting.
PRESENT:	
JACK C. DEMETREE, III, Chairman. ANDRES LOPERA, Vice Chair.	13 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve
ERIK C. KASPER, Secretary. RYAN DAVIS, Commission Member.	14 the minutes for the March 24, 2021, meeting.
MAIJU STANSEL, Commission Member, via Zoom. TIMOTHY BRAMWELL, Commission Member.	15 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Second.
MAX GLOBER, Commission Member.	16 THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor?
ALSO PRESENT:	17 COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
CHRISTIAN POPOLI, Planning and Development Dept. SUSAN KELLY, Planning and Development Dept. ISA SUPPRADUP Planning and Development Dept	18 THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
LISA SHEPPARD, Planning and Development Dept. ADRIENNE CHAMBERS, Planning and Development Dept. KEALEY WEST, Office of General Counsel.	19 COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
CANDACE LONG, Planning and Development Dept.	20 THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, those have
	21 been approved, and we're going to move right
	22 into our consent agenda.
	23 So we're going to run two consent agendas
	today, one leaving out COA-21-25278. It's
Diang M. Tropia , Inc., Post Office Box 2373 , Jacksonville , FL 52203	25 Number 9 on the agenda list. We will come back
(904) 821-0500	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300
2	4
1 PROCEEDINGS	1 to that and do a separate consent agenda for
April 28, 2021 3:17 p.m.	2 that as one of our commissioners cannot vote on
2	3 that.
	4 Let me run through our deferred items
3 THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go ahead and	5 today. We have COA-21-24938, 324 6th Street
4 start the April 28th meeting of the	6 West; COA-21-25226, 45 East 9th Street; and
5 Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission.	7 MMA-21-24910, 1836 Edgewood Avenue.
6 If we could just start with introductions	8 Our first consent agenda today do any
7 real quick. Whoever, let's get it started	9 commissioners have any ex-parte or would like
 8 here. 9 MS. SHEPPARD: Lisa Sheppard, Planning and 	10 to talk about anything before I go through
10 Development Department's historic preservation	11 this?
11 section.	12 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair,
12 MR. POPOLI: Christian Popoli, Planning	13 I'd like to pull Item 10 and discuss COA-21-25317.
13 and Development.	14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We will go ahead and
14 MS. WEST: Kealey West, Office of General	15 pull COA-21-25317 from the consent agenda.
15 Counsel.	16 Do any commissioners have any thoughts or
16 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Tim Bramwell,	17 concerns?
17 commissioner.	
18 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Andres Lopera,	
19 commissioner.	19 conflict on record for Item Number 9,
20 THE CHAIRMAN: J.C. Demetree, chairman.	20 COA-21-25278. The owner, Chanley Howell, is a
21 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Erik Kasper,	21 partner in the law firm I work for.
22 commissioner.	22 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
23 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Max Glober,	23 So consent agenda Number 1 is
24 commissioner.	24 COA-21-24977. It's on 2676 Gilmore Street. So
25 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Maiju Stansel,	25 COA-21-24977, 2676 Gilmore Street;
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

05/09/2021 06:49:07 AM On File Page 1 of 28

-	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		April 28, 2021 Uncertified Condensed Copy
HISTOI	5		7
1	COA-21-25101, 1854 Elizabeth Place;	1	Can you hear?
2	COA-21-25197, 2855 Post Street; COA-21-25251,	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Somewhat. I don't know why
3	0 East Second Street; COA-21-25259, 2634 Ernest	3	it's coming through fuzzy.
4	Street; COA-21-25269, 1923 Perry Street;	4	MR. WILFORD: Yeah. Did you need me to
5	COA-21-25272, 1341 Walnut Street; and	5	repeat anything?
6	COA-21-25318 is 1261 Dancy Street. Actually,	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If you could repeat
7	we have two more. COA-21-25256, 0 Post Street	7	basically from the top, that would be great.
8	and MMA-21-25218, 236 West 4th Street.	8	MR. WILFORD: COA-21-25256, 0 Post Street.
9	And I will entertain a motion or excuse	9	My name is Don Wilford, W-i-l-f-o-r-d,
10	me, I'm going to open the public hearing. The	10	president of ICI Homes in Jacksonville.
11	public hearing is now open.	11	We agree to the consent order. I just had
12	Is there anyone here to speak on any of	12	a point of interest on Number 1, that the it
13	these COAs? I'll kind of give it another	13	says reduce the home 2 feet because of a
14	about two minutes here.	14	setback issue on the property line with the
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. COA-21-25256,	15	east side. We were told that it was a 3-foot
16	0 Post Street. We agree to consent. I had	16	setback. Apparently, it's a 5-foot setback, so
17	a	17	we're going to shift the house over to make
18	Number 1, though, I would like to make a	18	sure we're within that setback.
19	clarification. We thought there was a 3-foot	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
20	building setback on the eastern side of the	20	MR. WILFORD: And we agree with everything
21	building. Apparently, it's 5 feet, so	21	else.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Real quick. Who is talking	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, please.
23	right now? I'm not it's hard for me to see	23	So I'll tell you what, I had kind of a
24	on our Zoom setup and it's pretty fuzzy.	24	hard time hearing this, but we can pull it off
25	I'm going to need you to state your name	25	consent if there's something you want to talk
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
	6		8
1	and address as well.	1	about. If you want to go that direction, it's
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm sorry. I can't hear	2	more or less up to you.
3	you very well.	3	MR. WILFORD: We consent. I just made a
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Can you state your name and	4	comment that, you know, we consent to the
5	address and spell actually, spell your name	5	consent order.
6	and address for us.	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Great. Thank you.
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Don Wilford,	7	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair,
8	president of ICI Homes, 14079 Magnolia Cove	8	this is the one I just discussed with the staff
9	Road.	9	regarding was it a 2-foot setback to reduce
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER: What's the address of	10	the massing of the structure or was it just for
11		44	
	the property?	11	the setbacks, the 2-foot reduction in width?
12	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible).	12	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question
12 13	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're	12 13	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably
12 13 14	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again.	12 13 14	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent.
12 13 14 15	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty	12 13 14 15	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of
12 13 14 15 16	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end.	12 13 14 15 16	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of
12 13 14 15	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we maybe try and unplug	12 13 14 15	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of the building. But if they are willing to
12 13 14 15 16 17	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end.	12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we maybe try and unplug whatever apparatus we're talking into on	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of the building. But if they are willing to comply with the condition, then how they do
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we maybe try and unplug whatever apparatus we're talking into on y'all's side and talk into a normal microphone?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of the building. But if they are willing to comply with the condition, then how they do that can be addressed at the construction
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we maybe try and unplug whatever apparatus we're talking into on y'all's side and talk into a normal microphone? Because it's coming through very fuzzy.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of the building. But if they are willing to comply with the condition, then how they do that can be addressed at the construction level, I suppose.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we maybe try and unplug whatever apparatus we're talking into on y'all's side and talk into a normal microphone? Because it's coming through very fuzzy. MR. WILFORD: We're using a laptop. We're	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of the building. But if they are willing to comply with the condition, then how they do that can be addressed at the construction level, I suppose. But I I mean, I they agreed to the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we maybe try and unplug whatever apparatus we're talking into on y'all's side and talk into a normal microphone? Because it's coming through very fuzzy. MR. WILFORD: We're using a laptop. We're plugged into a laptop. THE CHAIRMAN: I seemed to be able to hear that a little better.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of the building. But if they are willing to comply with the condition, then how they do that can be addressed at the construction level, I suppose. But I I mean, I they agreed to the condition. And I don't have it in front of me, but my recollection was the condition was to reduce the (inaudible).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we maybe try and unplug whatever apparatus we're talking into on y'all's side and talk into a normal microphone? Because it's coming through very fuzzy. MR. WILFORD: We're using a laptop. We're plugged into a laptop. THE CHAIRMAN: I seemed to be able to hear that a little better. MR. WILFORD: Okay. Is this clearer now?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of the building. But if they are willing to comply with the condition, then how they do that can be addressed at the construction level, I suppose. But I I mean, I they agreed to the condition. And I don't have it in front of me, but my recollection was the condition was to reduce the (inaudible). MR. WILFORD: Well this is Don Wilford
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the property? MR. WILFORD: The property is (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: Give me one second. We're having some technical difficulties yet again. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, I'm pretty sure that's on their end. THE CHAIRMAN: Can we maybe try and unplug whatever apparatus we're talking into on y'all's side and talk into a normal microphone? Because it's coming through very fuzzy. MR. WILFORD: We're using a laptop. We're plugged into a laptop. THE CHAIRMAN: I seemed to be able to hear that a little better.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24	MR. POPOLI: I mean, I guess the question is if we're going to discuss, it probably needs to come off consent. My understanding is it was a reduction of the setback through a reduction of the width of the building. But if they are willing to comply with the condition, then how they do that can be addressed at the construction level, I suppose. But I I mean, I they agreed to the condition. And I don't have it in front of me, but my recollection was the condition was to reduce the (inaudible).

	fJacksonville		April 28, 2021
Histor	ic Preservation Commission 9		Uncertified Condensed Copy 11
1	again we can't reduce the house is	1	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion.
2	already designed and fitted, but we have plenty	2	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor
3	of room to maintain to center it up on the	3	excuse me one second.
4	lot and give you a 5-foot setback on the side.	4	Commissioner Lopera.
5	The house is only 30 30 feet wide or less.	5	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: I think we're good to move	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper.
7	on, then, because I think that accomplishes	7	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye.
8	what the goal is.	8	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel.
9	MR. WILFORD: Thank you.	9	COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody else who	10	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober.
11	would like to speak on any of these COAs?	11	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye.
12	MR. WILFORD: Not the builder	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: What?	13	COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Conflicted out.
14	MR. WILFORD: The builder doesn't need to	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, right. Also aye.
15	speak anymore.	15	So that carries.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Y'all are good. I think	16	(Commissioner Bramwell abstains from
17	y'all are satisfying the satisfied with the	17	voting.)
18	consent order, so	18	THE CHAIRMAN: And we will move on.
19	MR. WILFORD: Okay. Thank you very much.	19	Okay. Our first COA of the day we're
20	We appreciate it.	20	going to pull from the consent agenda,
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	21	COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street.
22	Is anybody else here to speak on any of	22	Do we have a staff report?
23	the COAs on the consent agenda that I read off?	23	MR. POPOLI: Yes. Give me just a moment
24	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	24	to pull up the report.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to close	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	10		12
1	10 the public bearing and I'll entertain a motion	1	12 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to
1	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion.	1	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to
1 2 3	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve	1 2 3	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my
23	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda.	2 3	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the
2	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve	2	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage.
2 3 4	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the
2 3 4 5	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion.	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not
2 3 4 5 6	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed?	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing. Is anyone here to speak on this COA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall. It's a corner lot. You can see here on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing. Is anyone here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall. It's a corner lot. You can see here on the screen, this is the existing garage. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing. Is anyone here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing and hearing none, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall. It's a corner lot. You can see here on the screen, this is the existing garage. This is where the new, proposed garage will be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing. Is anyone here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing and hearing none, we will close the public hearing and I will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall. It's a corner lot. You can see here on the screen, this is the existing garage. This is where the new, proposed garage will be. As mentioned, it's a two-story garage with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing. Is anyone here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing and hearing none, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall. It's a corner lot. You can see here on the screen, this is the existing garage. This is where the new, proposed garage will be. As mentioned, it's a two-story garage with a two-bay design with a living space above. To
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing. Is anyone here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing and hearing none, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall. It's a corner lot. You can see here on the screen, this is the existing garage. This is where the new, proposed garage will be. As mentioned, it's a two-story garage with a two-bay design with a living space above. To Commissioner Kasper's comment, it is a rather
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing. Is anyone here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing and hearing none, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve COA-21-25278.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall. It's a corner lot. You can see here on the screen, this is the existing garage. This is where the new, proposed garage will be. As mentioned, it's a two-story garage with a two-bay design with a living space above. To Commissioner Kasper's comment, it is a rather low-pitched roof. We did condition that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve the consent agenda. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the consent agenda has been approved. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, because we have Commissioner Stansel remotely, if you could do roll call votes for the remainder of the meeting just so it's clear on the record. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. We have a second consent agenda consisting strictly of COA-21-25278, 3663 Richmond Street. I'll go ahead and open the public hearing. Is anyone here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing and hearing none, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, maybe it short-circuits items, my concern is the low slope of the roof on the garage. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, we did not condition a change in slope, but I don't know that it would necessarily impact anything that we reviewed in the staff report. So I'll pull the report up and go through it, but the staff report starts on Page 342. So I'll give my report. Through the Chair, this is COA-21-25317. It is a request for construction of a new two-story accessory garage. This will be replacing an existing noncontributing garage. Okay. Here's the site plan (indicating). You can see the primary house faces Dancy Street. The side of the house faces Randall. It's a corner lot. You can see here on the screen, this is the existing garage. This is where the new, proposed garage will be. As mentioned, it's a two-story garage with a two-bay design with a living space above. To Commissioner Kasper's comment, it is a rather

05/09/2021 06:49:07 AM On File Page 3 of 28

Histor	r Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Copy
	13		15
1	height be less than the primary structure, but	1	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair, I
2	the primary structure does have a rather tall	2	agree that in the past accessory structures
3	design, so I don't know that changing the pitch	3	have typically matched the main roof pitch of
		4	the house and not the dormers of the house; is
4	here would negatively affect that condition.		
5	Accessory structures are typically	5	that correct?
6	detached or garages, rather, are typically	6	MR. POPOLI: Yeah. I mean, dormers are
7	detached, and on corner lots, are typically	7	secondary. We typically don't use that as the
8	facing the secondary street. This is in a	8	reference point.
9	traditional location and the general design	9	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Yes. Correct.
10	includes elements such as lap siding, shingled	10	Thank you.
11	roofs, sash-style windows. So, overall, we	11	THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have questions
12	found that it met the design guidelines with	12	for staff?
13	regards to its general design and architectural	13	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
14	detailing.	14	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We're going to
15	This is the existing garage that's there	15	open the public hearing. Is the applicant
	(indicating). Again, noncontributing and in	16	here?
16			
17	poor condition as well as altered. So this	17	MR. ALLMAND: (Indicating.)
18	would be demolished as part of this. These are	18	THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up.
19	just some general condition pictures of the	19	(Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.)
20	garage.	20	THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and
21	But overall, staff was recommending, with	21	address.
22	the proposed conditions, that the new garage be	22	MR. ALLMAND: John Allmand, 3750 Oak
23	approved. Happy to take any questions.	23	Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32205.
24	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Yes. So through the	24	THE REPORTER: If you would raise your
25	Chair, I understand it is an accessory	25	right hand for me, please.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	14		16
1		1	
1	structure. It is at the rear of the property,	1	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.)
2	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a	2	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the
2 3	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you	2 3	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the
2 3 4	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house	2 3 4	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
2 3 4 5	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one.	2 3 4 5	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
2 3 4 5 6	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for accessory structures if they choose not to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure. So, I mean, you know, we would be willing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for accessory structures if they choose not to match the traditional roof form say it was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. MR i good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure. So, I mean, you know, we would be willing to say we would increase the height or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for accessory structures if they choose not to match the traditional roof form say it was a hip roof or something like that. I concur that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure. So, I mean, you know, we would be willing to say we would increase the height or the pitch of the roof so the height stays within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for accessory structures if they choose not to match the traditional roof form say it was a hip roof or something like that. I concur that a higher pitch would probably make it more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure. So, I mean, you know, we would be willing to say we would increase the height or the pitch of the roof so the height stays within 6 inches below the existing roof of the house.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for accessory structures if they choose not to match the traditional roof form say it was a hip roof or something like that. I concur that a higher pitch would probably make it more compatible. It obviously didn't jump out at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure. So, I mean, you know, we would be willing to say we would increase the height or the pitch of the roof so the height stays within 6 inches below the existing roof of the house. Just trying to kind of maintain its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for accessory structures if they choose not to match the traditional roof form say it was a hip roof or something like that. I concur that a higher pitch would probably make it more compatible. It obviously didn't jump out at us, but it's a good point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure. So, I mean, you know, we would be willing to say we would increase the height or the pitch of the roof so the height stays within 6 inches below the existing roof of the house. Just trying to kind of maintain its subordinance [sic] to the surrounding to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for accessory structures if they choose not to match the traditional roof form say it was a hip roof or something like that. I concur that a higher pitch would probably make it more compatible. It obviously didn't jump out at us, but it's a good point. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure. So, I mean, you know, we would be willing to say we would increase the height or the pitch of the roof so the height stays within 6 inches below the existing roof of the house. Just trying to kind of maintain its subordinance [sic] to the surrounding to the Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	structure. It is at the rear of the property, but it does face a side road and it fronts a side road. If you go back to that slide, you can you that that same elevation, the house I'm sorry, go down a couple maybe that one. But there's another one that shows closer. It feels that that side elevation should have a similar roof slope to the house. This slope appears to be not complementary with the neighborhood. I see that there's a small dormer that has a similar slope, but that's a small element, not the entire home. So I guess you're telling me that, as staff, there's no requirement for the roof pitches to be similar and the massings or really the roof pitches. MR. POPOLI: There's some language in the administrative matrix that deals with front-facing gables as a typical element for accessory structures if they choose not to match the traditional roof form say it was a hip roof or something like that. I concur that a higher pitch would probably make it more compatible. It obviously didn't jump out at us, but it's a good point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALLMAND: (Complies.) THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. ALLMAND: I do. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. ALLMAND: That is a great comment. Thank you. Am I good? Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. We would love to increase the pitch of the roof. The existing pitch of the roof is the way it is in order to stay below the existing structure. There is a COA coming up that asked to increase the height of the existing roof, and so if we were to if we were to get that approved, then we could extend the pitch of the roof and still stay under the height of the main structure. So, I mean, you know, we would be willing to say we would increase the height or the pitch of the roof so the height stays within 6 inches below the existing roof of the house. Just trying to kind of maintain its subordinance [sic] to the surrounding to the

City of Jacksonville

April 28, 2021

-	Jacksonville		April 28, 2021
Histor	c Preservation Commission 17		Uncertified Condensed Copy 19
1	main structure, and that's what we were trying	1	height of the main structure, that you're
2	to do.	2	subordinate to the main structure regardless of
3	And what we got caught in, if you will	3	what the old garage was?
4	notice, is the existing structure doesn't have	4	MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yeah. We
5	a roof. And so we're putting a roof on top of	5	would I mean, that condition was based on
6	this new structure and we're going to kind of	6	the height of the primary structure and making
7	pinch them in between. So that's why you kind	7	sure it was below that.
8	of get this weird-looking form.	8	MR. ALLMAND: So as a recommendation, we
9	But I'll also you know, I'll double	9	could stay we could agree to increase the
10	down on that observation in the sense that this	10	pitch to the highest level possible by still
11	is a contributing structure. The house has a	11	saying a minimum of 6 feet under the main
12	pitch of X, Y, Z. The garage pitch does	12	structure. That way, if the other COA gets
13	absolutely not match it, not in form or pitch.	13	approved, we can increase the height of it
14	So we're actually coming closer by this	14	and you know, and have a nicer roof.
15	new structure to matching the architecture of	15	Go ahead.
16	the existing structure. It doesn't quite hit	16	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair to
17	the pitch, but it does meet the form, which I	17	John, so would you be able to just match the
18	would argue, more important.	18	main roof pitch as you know, traditionally,
19	So I'm I am certainly open to	19	when we deal with subordinate structures, you
20	increasing the pitch to whatever you guys think	20	match the main roof pitch of the house and then
21	is a good idea. I even if it goes above the	21	wherever however tall the walls are, the
22	main structure, I'll be open to that too. I	22	walls are just that tall in keeping under
23	just you know, the I'm just trying to	23	20 feet. And then, you know, if for some other
24	explain the reason why we have it the way it is	24	reason the COA gets approved, then, of course,
25	is to kind of respect the importance of the	25	you can just increase the height of the walls
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
	18		20
1	main structure and have this new second this	1	to match (inaudible) 6 inches.
2	new, secondary structure be subordinate of it.	2	MR. ALLMAND: I love that idea.
3	Any questions for me?	3	If we match the pitch of the original
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for John?	4	house, the main house, the height of the
5	COMMISSIONER STANSEL: I have a question.	5	secondary structure will exceed that of the
6	I'm on the screen, John.	6	primary structure. And if you guys are okay
7	MR. ALLMAND: Gotcha.	7	with that, so am I. But that's kind of
8	COMMISSIONER STANSEL: So it looked like	8	contrary to kind of standard operating
9	you were trying to stay under 20 feet for this	9	procedure, I guess.
10	garage structure. Is the main house only	10	COMMISSIONER STANSEL: I'm not sure that's
11	20 feet tall? Because that's the structure	11	what Commissioner Lopera was indicating.
12	we're trying to keep you under is the main	12 13	COMMISSIONER KASPER: The trick is that the main house is kind of one-and-a-half
13 14	house. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, ma'am. I being on	13	stories or maybe it is two-story, but the
14	the consent agenda, I didn't bring a set to	15	second story is part of the roof structure.
16	kind of look at, so I would say, just going	16	And this garage is a full two stories with a
17	through the process, I don't remember the exact	17	roof on top of it. That's, to me, where I'm
18	numbers, but I remember that the driving factor	18	finding the uncomplementariness [sic] of it, is
19	for the slope of the roof was the height of the	19	that the main house is really one story with a
20	existing structure. So whether that's 20 feet	20	second story that's embedded in the roof and in
21	or 30 feet, or 130 feet, I don't know what it	21	the dormer, and this garage is a full second
22	was. I just remember that that's the driving	22	story with a roof on top. And that's where our
23	factor.	23	pitches are getting off. I'm afraid that if we
24	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to	24	proceed with a full second story, as you have
25	staff, it really would would it not be the	25	shown and a pitch that matches, it would be
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300

TISIO	ic Preservation Commission		Uncertified Condensed Cop
	21		23
1	considerably taller.	1	complementary.
2	So I think we're in a in a pickle	2	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any more
3	between, we either allow the current design	3	questions for John at the moment?
4	that stays below the height and subordinate but	4	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
	, -		,
5	it has an odd, low-sloped roof, or we go back	5	THE CHAIRMAN: We will bring you back.
6	and dictate a pitch that matches and staying	6	MR. ALLMAND: Thank you.
7	under the main house roof.	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else here to speak
8	MR. ALLMAND: Got it.	8	on this COA?
9	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That's what I was	9	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
10	trying to say, is the one-and-a-half-story	10	MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, you have
11	garage to match the one-and-a-half-story main	11	someone on Zoom. It appears to be Warren Jones
12	structure.	12	from RAP.
13	MR. ALLMAND: I'm sorry. Yeah, I	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Pull him up.
	•		•
14	understand that now.	14	MR. JONES: Good afternoon. Thank you
15	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Okay. Thank you.	15	very much.
16	COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: So, you know,	16	In case you stick with the original
17	follows on to what they were suggesting, but	17	design, we did have a comment on that. And we
18	that something in what you said led me to	18	would like to read that into the record. And
19	wonder, is there a pitch in between here? You	19	that is there's an awkward relationship on the
20	know, you're at 11 feet, (inaudible) sorry,	20	gable elevation between the window headers and
21	19 feet, 11 and 3/4 inches. Is there a pitch	21	the sloped right board. And consider a triple
22	one can can you increase the pitch and	22	window set at the center of the gable to allow
	and still remain under more than 6 inches		5
23		23	more space.
24	under the primary structure right now?	24	Thank you.
25	MR. ALLMAND: I would have to say that	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	22		24
1		1	
	negative.		Anyone else here to speak on this COA?
2	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then	2	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that?
2 3	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected	2 3	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
2 3 4	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something?	2 3 4	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.)
2 3 4 5	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda.	2 3 4 5	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea.
2 3 4 5 6	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's.	2 3 4 5 6	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough.
2 3 4 5	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a	2 3 4 5 6 7	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA?
2 3 4 5 6	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together?	2 3 4 5 6	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a	2 3 4 5 6 7	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one? MS. WEST: That's at your discretion. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: John, the pitch on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one? MS. WEST: That's at your discretion. You can table what you would do is table what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one? MS. WEST: That's at your discretion. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: John, the pitch on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one? MS. WEST: That's at your discretion. You can table what you would do is table what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: John, the pitch on the dormer, just out of curiosity, is how close	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one? MS. WEST: That's at your discretion. You can table what you would do is table what you are doing right now and then move forward,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: John, the pitch on the dormer, just out of curiosity, is how close are you to matching that? MR. ALLMAND: I would say within, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one? MS. WEST: That's at your discretion. You can table what you would do is table what you are doing right now and then move forward, or you can actually decide to take that one out of order and bring it forward. It would be up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: John, the pitch on the dormer, just out of curiosity, is how close are you to matching that? MR. ALLMAND: I would say within, you know, like, 1, or a, you know, degree of, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one? MS. WEST: That's at your discretion. You can table what you would do is table what you are doing right now and then move forward, or you can actually decide to take that one out of order and bring it forward. It would be up to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	negative. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Okay. And then you mentioned another COA. Is this projected for next month's meeting or something? MR. ALLMAND: No. It's on today's agenda. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Today's. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Can I ask a question, why they weren't put together? Because they seem as though they are reliant on each other. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so you may recall that I sent out an amended agenda with the second staff report earlier today. That item had been deferred which is the one that John is referring to, dealing with the roof since November. And so it was not anticipated that this was going to be moving forward today when the other two were drafted. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: John, the pitch on the dormer, just out of curiosity, is how close are you to matching that? MR. ALLMAND: I would say within, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Anyone else here to speak on this COA? MR. ALLMAND: Can I reply to that? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. (Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.) MR. ALLMAND: That sounds like a great idea. THE CHAIRMAN: Easy enough. Any other people to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. With that, we will close the public hearing and I will entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: First thing, can I direct a question to Counsel through the Chair? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Is there a way for us to defer this to later in the meeting so that we can consider the other COA and then decide on this one? MS. WEST: That's at your discretion. You can table what you would do is table what you are doing right now and then move forward, or you can actually decide to take that one out of order and bring it forward. It would be up

(904) 821-0300

City of Jacksonville

Historic Preservation Commission

(904) 821-0300

April 28, 2021

Uncertified Condensed Copy

1	ic Preservation Commission	-	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	25		27
1	the last consent item, correct?	1	dormers basically are the same height at their
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2	terminus where they meet as the primary roof
3	MR. POPOLI: The next item would be	3	form.
4	previously deferred. That would be the next item.	4	The proposal from the applicant is to
5	THE CHAIRMAN: We have another consent	5	raise those dormers above the primary roof
6	item okay. That's fine. We can table this	6	line, thus creating this new high pitch high
7	discussion and go ahead and go on to the next	7	point on the roof where the dormers are now the
8	COA and do it that way.	8	dominant roof form. The design guidelines and
9	MS. SHEPPARD: Through the Chair, just	9	the Secretary of Interior standards both stress
10	real quick, we had discussed we're deferring	10	that the primary roof form, particularly with
11	the next item, and there are some people who	11	things like dormers or additions, is a
12	are queuing up on the Zoom call for the item	12	character-defining feature and dormers or
13	that you're you've agreed to defer. You may	13	additions that are added shouldn't be higher
14	want to make that announcement.	14	than the primary roof and shouldn't diminish
15	THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go ahead and	15	the primary roof form or change it substantially.
16	defer COA-21-25100, 150 Phelps Street, just to	16	So, in this case, by raising the dormers
17	let everyone know. So if you were here to	17	and connecting them, regardless of whether
18	speak on that COA, please come back next month	18	they're connected internally, but visually from
19 20	because it will be deferred as of today.	19 20	the outside of the house the main roof form
20	So with that, on our agenda as well, under	20	now becomes that long, narrow dormer that runs
21 22	Previously Deferred Items to be Heard, we are	21 22	from front to back of the house, and the
22 23	moving on to COA-21-24426, 1261 Dancy. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just one	22 23	primary gable of the roof becomes secondary, and it alters the character of the house.
23 24	moment.	23 24	Because of that and I recognize that,
25	Through the Chair, this is COA-21-24	25	you know, what they are asking to raise it to
23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	23	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	26		28
1	no, sorry. This is COA-20-24426, located at	1	is not a substantial different height, but it
2	1261 Dancy Street.	2	does you know, again, particularly from the
3	COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Just to clarify,	3	side, you can see alters that primary roof
4	this is not the second 1261 Dancy Street. This	4	form.
4 5	this is not the second 1261 Dancy Street. This is a separate COA that is not included in the	4 5	form. And so based on that, we recommended
5	is a separate COA that is not included in the	5	And so based on that, we recommended
5 6	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct?	5 6	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the
5 6 7	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant	5 6 7	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character.
5 6 7 8	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been	5 6 7 8	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer
5 6 7 9 10 11 12	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this	5 6 7 9 10 11 12	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the
5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point.	5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the
5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have	5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer.
5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other	5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I
5 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw pictures of the house. And it has a unique	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front. So I'm happy to take questions. But
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw pictures of the house. And it has a unique dormer situation, along with the main roof	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front. So I'm happy to take questions. But again, staff is recommending denial based on
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw pictures of the house. And it has a unique dormer situation, along with the main roof line.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front. So I'm happy to take questions. But again, staff is recommending denial based on the impact to the historic roof form of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw pictures of the house. And it has a unique dormer situation, along with the main roof line. So if we're looking from the side, you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front. So I'm happy to take questions. But again, staff is recommending denial based on the impact to the historic roof form of the house.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw pictures of the house. And it has a unique dormer situation, along with the main roof line. So if we're looking from the side, you have what is the kind of primary gabled roof	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front. So I'm happy to take questions. But again, staff is recommending denial based on the impact to the historic roof form of the house. THE CHAIRMAN: Questions for staff?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw pictures of the house. And it has a unique dormer situation, along with the main roof line. So if we're looking from the side, you have what is the kind of primary gabled roof form and then these front and back rather large	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front. So I'm happy to take questions. But again, staff is recommending denial based on the impact to the historic roof form of the house. THE CHAIRMAN: Questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw pictures of the house. And it has a unique dormer situation, along with the main roof line. So if we're looking from the side, you have what is the kind of primary gabled roof form and then these front and back rather large dormers (indicating). So this is the existing	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front. So I'm happy to take questions. But again, staff is recommending denial based on the impact to the historic roof form of the house. THE CHAIRMAN: Questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to open the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is a separate COA that is not included in the book, correct? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, yes. This item was deferred from November and was sent out late today after the applicant asked to be heard because the item had been noticed and a report was drafted back in November and it was just deferred up until this point. All right. So, in essence, what we have here as you have seen from the other pictures and I can't rotate this for some reason. But from the previous COA, you saw pictures of the house. And it has a unique dormer situation, along with the main roof line. So if we're looking from the side, you have what is the kind of primary gabled roof form and then these front and back rather large	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And so based on that, we recommended denial because we think that the impact to the house would be to ultimately change the way it appears on the street and alters its historic character. There are pictures inside, but here can you see this is the existing dormer (indicating). And as we've discussed, the primary roof has a steeper slope than the dormer. Again, here's the dormer seen from, I believe, the rear (indicating), and from the front. So I'm happy to take questions. But again, staff is recommending denial based on the impact to the historic roof form of the house. THE CHAIRMAN: Questions for staff? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)

(904) 821-0300

05/09/2021 06:49:07 AM On File Page 7 of 28

(904) 821-0300

1113101		1	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	29		31
1	John.	1	building. Understood. And that's really our
2	(Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.)	2	ask.
3	MR. ALLMAND: Thank you for the staff	3	Now, in terms of an aesthetic, if you look
_	•		
4	report, Christian, and thank you for letting	4	at the front elevation the way it is, you're
5	this item be heard. I appreciate that.	5	kind of on that front dormer, you kind of
6	This is a really interesting case we have	6	have that same kind of pinch at the corner of
7	here. Just to give you a little bit of	7	the windows and the pitch that you do that
	- <i>i</i>		
8	history, I think that the COA I was not	8	were that we have on the on the that
9	retained by the owners when the original COA	9	we're recommending for the garage. So, you
10	was submitted, and I kind of came in after the	10	know, the idea is well, I understand and
11	fact to do a few things. That's kind of why	11	respect changing a roof form is a big deal in
12	there's a bunch of COAs for this property; it's	12	the historic district. I understand that
13	kind of split up into a little bit of in a	13	(Timer notification.)
14	couple different chunks. So that might help	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Keep going.
15	explain a couple of things about kind of the	15	MR. ALLMAND: I would just like to
			-
16	process, I think.	16	maintain that second floor as a usable space.
17	And then it also might explain you	17	Any questions for me?
18	know, there's some differences that we	18	THE CHAIRMAN: Questions for John?
19	encountered on these drawings. Really, the ask	19	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
20	is to kind of increase you know, this is	20	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up.
21	kind of a half-story above. But unlike a lot	21	Is anybody else here to speak on this COA?
22	of the houses you go through in the	22	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
23	neighborhood where it's kind of like an attic	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have anybody on Zoom?
24	that was made, you know, a liveable space, this	24	MR. POPOLI: No hands on Zoom.
25	was always a liveable space.	25	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll go ahead
23		23	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	30		32
1		1	
	There's a bathroom up there. It's very		and close the public hearing and I'll entertain
2	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this	2	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion.
2 3	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That	2 3	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve
2	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know,	2	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion
2 3	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That	2 3	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve
2 3 4	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time,	2 3 4	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right.
2 3 4 5 6	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm	2 3 4 5 6	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny
2 3 4 5 6 7	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears,	2 3 4 5 6 7	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears,	2 3 4 5 6 7	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you know, wasn't kind of code back in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's set in. It's a different it's a different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you know, wasn't kind of code back in the beginning, but to retain the character of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's set in. It's a different it's a different color, but and so I think it's important
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you know, wasn't kind of code back in the beginning, but to retain the character of having this second floor space be liveable, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's set in. It's a different it's a different color, but and so I think it's important that it continues to stay subordinate and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you know, wasn't kind of code back in the beginning, but to retain the character of having this second floor space be liveable, you know. And what we want to do is go from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's set in. It's a different it's a different color, but and so I think it's important that it continues to stay subordinate and that the main, large roof structure that covers the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you know, wasn't kind of code back in the beginning, but to retain the character of having this second floor space be liveable, you know. And what we want to do is go from 6 foot 4 to ideally 7 foot 6, and that's going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's set in. It's a different it's a different color, but and so I think it's important that it continues to stay subordinate and that the main, large roof structure that covers the entire house remain as visually, as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you know, wasn't kind of code back in the beginning, but to retain the character of having this second floor space be liveable, you know. And what we want to do is go from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's set in. It's a different it's a different color, but and so I think it's important that it continues to stay subordinate and that the main, large roof structure that covers the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you know, wasn't kind of code back in the beginning, but to retain the character of having this second floor space be liveable, you know. And what we want to do is go from 6 foot 4 to ideally 7 foot 6, and that's going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's set in. It's a different it's a different color, but and so I think it's important that it continues to stay subordinate and that the main, large roof structure that covers the entire house remain as visually, as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There's a bathroom up there. It's very there's a bedroom. It's very clear that this space was there's stairs, original. That it's very clear that this kind of, you know, had been used for, you know, quite some time, if not into the period of significance. I'm speculating that, but it certainly appears, like, that this space up there had always been used. Now and when you go up there, the bottom of the collar ties not collar ties, but the bottom cord of the conventional frame is 6 foot 4, right? And so you know, in the process of kind of modifying of modifying this structure, you know, that kind of you know, that doesn't allow you to have kind of a different a liveable space anymore. So what we're trying to do is correct something that that, you know, is you know, wasn't kind of code back in the beginning, but to retain the character of having this second floor space be liveable, you know. And what we want to do is go from 6 foot 4 to ideally 7 foot 6, and that's going to have ramifications on the outside of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and close the public hearing and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve to it's a deny. Motion MR. ALLMAND: No, you're right. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-20-24426. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody have thoughts on this? COMMISSIONER KASPER: So through the Chair, as I look at the existing structure, both in elevation, the drawing, and in the pictures, it is important that there is a large kind of main, primary roof that runs across the width of the structure. And that dormer is significant and it does come out to the front elevation. It does go to the back elevation, but it is subordinate. It's skinnier. It's set in. It's a different it's a different color, but and so I think it's important that it continues to stay subordinate and that the main, large roof structure that covers the entire house remain as visually, as the dominant form.

331So in that sense I would agree with2staff's recommendation of denial.3COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Through the Chair,3COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Through the Chair,	~ -
2staff's recommendation of denial.2Is anyone here to speak on this COA? Yo3COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Through the Chair,3will have the opportunity yet again to speak on	35
3 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Through the Chair, 3 will have the opportunity yet again to speak o	
	u
	n
4I concur with Commissioner Kasper.Connecting4it, if there's anyone.	
5those dormers through may not be visually5(Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.)	
6 realized street-side or garage-side, but it's 6 MR. ALLMAND: This is John Allmand.	
7 definitely a visual from the side, which is a 7 Well so we have the roof question	
8 primary viewing angle based off the photos 8 answered. So I you know, we wouldn't be	
9 we've seen. 9 able to increase the pitch now, but we could -	-
10 So I I have a hard time going against 10 if we could keep the pitch the way it is and	
11 staff's recommendation. 11 have a window pair kind of centered above th	e
12 THE CHAIRMAN: Does anybody else have any 12 garage doors, that might kind of get a get	
13 thoughts?13 away from kind of the awkwardness of having	
14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Well, through the 14 that pinch between the top of the window and	
15 Chair, I think the only counter-discussion we 15 the roof element.	
16 heard was the need or the desire to have that 16 We're open to any kind of suggestions yo	u
17 second-floor space have more ceiling height to 17 might have in that regard.	
18make it more liveable. I'm not sure if that's18THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	
19 a criteria that we can we can use to 19 Is there anybody else here to speak on	
20 override the forms.20 this COA?	
21THE CHAIRMAN: I would agree with that.21AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	
22As much as I don't want to, I think that point22THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody on Zoom?	
23makes a lot of sense.23MR. POPOLI: No.	
24All right. Anybody else have any thoughts?24THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll close the public	2
25 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Yeah. Through the 25 hearing and I'll entertain a motion.	
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville	, FL 32203
(904) 821-0300 (904) 821-0300	
34	36
1Chair, the although the usability of the1COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to app	rove
2 space is obviously important to the client,2 COA-21-25317 with staff conditions.	
3 that, you know, retained you-all to come in and 3 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second.	
4 aid with a plan of that, I don't believe 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let's discuss.	+ h
5 there's anything in the Secretary of Interior 5 Anybody have I personally am okay with the alarment to make the domain to m	CT1
6 standards that allows us to use that as a 6 the pitch. It's close to the dormer, to meet 7 basically a reasoning to increase the the	
7 basically, a reasoning to increase the the 7 that vision of continuity there for me as far	
 8 height of the secondary structures, the dormers 8 as the design aspect goes and also it's a lot 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house 9 hetter than what was currently there so 	Chain
9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house.9 better than what was currently there, so	le (nair i
9higher than the main roof pitch of the house.9better than what was currently there, so10THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote.10COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the second secon	ie Chair,
9higher than the main roof pitch of the house.9better than what was currently there, so10THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote.10COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the function of the house.11Commissioner Lopera.11I agree. This is definitely an improvement	
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the second second	
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the supervised of the house. 11 I agree. This is definitely an improvement of the house. 12 I agree. This is definitely an improvement of the house. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the house. 11 I agree. This is definitely an improvement of the house. 12 from, you know, what was on what's on the house. 13 site. I do think that the suggestion of the house. 	-
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the support of the two pairs of windows into, I 	
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the support of the two pairs of windows into, I guess, a triple-window, centered, helps. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the support of the two pairs of windows into, I guess, a triple-window, centered, helps. 	
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the support of the target of the support of the supp	
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the supervised of the house. 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the supervised of the house. 11 I agree. This is definitely an improvement the supervised of the house. 12 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 14 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 I think that part of what strikes one 	
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the support of the house. 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the support of the house. 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the support of the house. 11 I agree. This is definitely an improvement of the house. 12 from, you know, what was on what's on the support of the house. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 14 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 16 I think that part of what strikes one the house. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 	it
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 18 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the support of the form, you know, what was on what's on the site. I do think that the suggestion of 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 18 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 19 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 11 I agree. This is definitely an improvement 12 from, you know, what was on what's on that strikes one 13 the chairman data the table strikes one 14 consolidating the two pairs of windows into, I 15 guess, a triple-window, centered, helps. 16 I think that part of what strikes one 17 about this design is at the top it seems fairly 18 crowded and that the you know, the single 	ld
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 18 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the sequence of the sequence	ld
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 18 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. 20 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Through the structure would actually, you know, what was on what's on that strikes one 11 I agree. This is definitely an improvement 12 I agree. This is definitely an improvement 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 16 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. 20 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 20 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 	ld
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 18 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. 20 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 11 I agree. This is definitely an improvement 12 from, you know, what was on what's on that 13 site. I do think that the suggestion of 14 consolidating the two pairs of windows into, I 15 guess, a triple-window, centered, helps. 16 I think that part of what strikes one 17 about this design is at the top it seems fairly 18 crowded and that the you know, the single 19 set of windows centered on the structure wou 20 actually, you know, complement that side of the second structure wou 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 	ld
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 18 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. 20 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 22 COA-20-24426 has been denied, and we will 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 11 I agree. This is definitely an improvement 12 I thick that the suggestion of 14 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 22 COA-20-24426 has been denied, and we will 9 better than what was currently there, so 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 22 COA-20-24426 has been denied, and we will 	it Id he
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 18 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. 20 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 22 COA-20-24426 has been denied, and we will 23 move on to the garage discussion we left off 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 22 COA-20-24426 has been denied, and we will 23 move on to the garage discussion we left off 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 22 COA-20-24426 has been denied, and we will 23 move on to the garage discussion we left off 	it Id he
 9 higher than the main roof pitch of the house. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll take a vote. 11 Commissioner Lopera. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. 16 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 18 COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. 20 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 22 COA-20-24426 has been denied, and we will 23 move on to the garage discussion we left off 24 at. So we're flipping back to COA-21-25317. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Through the 11 agree. This is definitely an improvement 12 agree. This is definitely an improvement 13 arthe CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. 14 COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. 15 the CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. 16 I think that part of what strikes one 17 the CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. 20 COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: And aye. 22 COA-20-24426 has been denied, and we will 23 move on to the garage discussion we left off 24 at. So we're flipping back to COA-21-25317. 9 better than what was currently there, so 10 THE CHAIRMAN is and ave. 21 this garage. So I think that that's that helps with the the low slant on the roof. 24 at. So we're flipping back to COA-21-25317. 	it Id he Chair,

	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		April 28, 2021 Uncertified Condensed Copy
1113101	37		39
1	crossed my mind at this moment in looking at	1	Street.
2	this and listening to Commissioner Bramwell,	2	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Second.
3	one of the things that Mr. Allmand could employ	3	THE CHAIRMAN: So we will call for a vote
4	to help us visually get over the shallowness of	4	on the motion as amended.
5	this pitch would be to put a little 6-inch faux	5	Commissioner Lopera.
6	steeper pitch on the roof. It's it will	6	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye.
7	look like dormers growing out of the side of it	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper.
8	instead of a low-pitched dormer or or	8	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye.
9	low-pitched, sloped roof.	9	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel.
10	That's an architectural suggestion. It's	10	COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye.
11	nothing I mean, if we want to make a	11	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober.
12	condition based off that, that's I mean,	12	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye.
13	I'll let someone else do that verbiage, but	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell.
14	that would be a suggestion I would make that	14	COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Just one
15	would help it feel more like the original	15	clarification, are we voting to amend it or the
16	structure that exists on site.	16	motion as amended?
17	THE CHAIRMAN: I think that's a good	17	THE CHAIRMAN: To amend, actually, first.
18	suggestion.	18	COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye.
19	Anybody else have thoughts?	19	THE CHAIRMAN: And I'll aye.
20	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair, I	20	And then we'll call for a vote for the
21	would agree with Commissioner Stansel. If we	21	motion as amended.
22	could pull up the image from the last COA,	22	Commissioner Lopera.
23	which referenced the dormer height, it has a	23	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye.
24	good drawing of the side elevation of the	24	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper.
25	existing home, which would be the same	25	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye.
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		, Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	38		40
1	elevation that this garage would front. And	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel.
2	the notion of having some profile that alludes	2	COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye.
3	to the pitch of the existing home would be	3	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober.
4	helpful.	4	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye.
5	So in a perfect scenario, the garage would	5	THE CHAIRMAN: And Commissioner Bramwell.
6	model that form of the existing home to show	6	COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye.
7	that steeper pitch, at least as an elevational	7	THE CHAIRMAN: And aye for me.
8			The child with vita aye for the
	element, either at 6 inches or 2 feet. But	8	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261
9	element, either at 6 inches or 2 feet. But again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that	8 9	
9 10		-	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261
	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to	9 10 11	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street.
10 11 12	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home.	9 10 11 12	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually,
10 11 12 13	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments?	9 10 11 12 13	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be
10 11 12	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to	9 10 11 12 13 14	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice.
10 11 12 13 14 15	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah, you would need to amend the staff report to add	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing bay window and replace it with a 25-foot-long,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah, you would need to amend the staff report to add the suggestion.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing bay window and replace it with a 25-foot-long, street-facing, attached garage addition on a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah, you would need to amend the staff report to add the suggestion. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Very well. I will	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing bay window and replace it with a 25-foot-long, street-facing, attached garage addition on a contributing structure in Riverside Avondale.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah, you would need to amend the staff report to add the suggestion. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Very well. I will make a motion to add a suggestion that the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing bay window and replace it with a 25-foot-long, street-facing, attached garage addition on a contributing structure in Riverside Avondale. The applicant claims that the bay window
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah, you would need to amend the staff report to add the suggestion. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Very well. I will make a motion to add a suggestion that the garage elevation facing what is that road	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing bay window and replace it with a 25-foot-long, street-facing, attached garage addition on a contributing structure in Riverside Avondale. The applicant claims that the bay window is not original and was previously a replaced
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah, you would need to amend the staff report to add the suggestion. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Very well. I will make a motion to add a suggestion that the garage elevation facing what is that road Randall Street would have a roofline element	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing bay window and replace it with a 25-foot-long, street-facing, attached garage addition on a contributing structure in Riverside Avondale. The applicant claims that the bay window is not original and was previously a replaced historic garage door; therefore, they are
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah, you would need to amend the staff report to add the suggestion. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Very well. I will make a motion to add a suggestion that the garage elevation facing what is that road Randall Street would have a roofline element that mimics the existing home also on Randall	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing bay window and replace it with a 25-foot-long, street-facing, attached garage addition on a contributing structure in Riverside Avondale. The applicant claims that the bay window is not original and was previously a replaced historic garage door; therefore, they are requesting the addition to add a 24-foot-long
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	again, I'll leave that as a suggestion, that the that we would that the architect would introduce an element that nods to to the existing home. THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else have comments? COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to staff, to add suggestions do we need to add that as a motion to make a suggestion? MS. WEST: Through the Chair, if you want it to be part of the final order, then, yeah, you would need to amend the staff report to add the suggestion. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Very well. I will make a motion to add a suggestion that the garage elevation facing what is that road Randall Street would have a roofline element	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So we have approved COA-21-25317, 1261 Dancy Street. And we will move forward to COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, actually, Adrienne is going to give this report, so be nice. MS. CHAMBERS: Through the Chair, Adrienne Chambers, Historic Preservation, addressing COA-21-24995, 1928 Morningside Street. This application is to remove an existing bay window and replace it with a 25-foot-long, street-facing, attached garage addition on a contributing structure in Riverside Avondale. The applicant claims that the bay window is not original and was previously a replaced historic garage door; therefore, they are

-	Jacksonville		April 28, 2021
Histori	c Preservation Commission 41		Uncertified Condensed Copy 43
1	attached garage in the front yard of an inner	1	they can be electively removed if necessary.
2	lot.	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
3	If the application if the applicant	3	Any questions for staff?
4	wanted to restore the claimed historic garage,	4	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
5	that would be done by removing the bay window	5	THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to open the
6	and adding a garage door in its place, flush	6	public hearing.
7	with the existing front wall of the home.	7	Is the applicant here?
8	The applicant has an existing driveway to	8	(Mr. Allmand approaches the podium.)
9	the rear of the property, and the rear of the	9	THE CHAIRMAN: You can come on up.
10	property is currently paved with enough room	10	MR. ALLMAND: I also I don't know how
11	for a detached, two-story garage, which would	11	to I have, like, handouts. I don't know how
12	not be readily straight-visible.	12	to do that. Okay.
13	The height of the roof on the addition is	13	(Tenders documents to the Commission.)
14	consistent with the main structure, the	14	MR. ALLMAND: So a couple of different
15	historic contributing structure, but there are	15	things on this on these handouts. First of
16	other additions on the home that have a	16	all, thank you, staff, for the report. It was
17	consistent 2-over-12 roof height that, should	17	a good report. There's a couple of things I
18	this go forward, would be more appropriate to	18	took issue with, when I get to that, but the
19	not appear as false history on the property.	19	primary thing I want to talk about is the fact
20	Also, per the Riverside Avondale standard	20	that we're putting in an addition in front of
21	conditions and the Secretary of the Interior,	21	the house, yes, in the area where the garage
22	placement of new entrances on principal facades	22	originally was. Now, is that proven? No. But
23	should be avoided under Standard 2. And for	23	there's a lot of different reasons why I think
24	the relationship of building masses and	24	that.
25	setbacks, putting a new garage in front of the	25	Number one, where the bay window is,
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
<u> </u>	42		44
1	home would change the front to be forward of	1	it's that that whole area of the house is
2	those neighboring lots and closer to the street	2	about the size of a garage, open. The
3	than existing structures on the street.	3	structure is set to be open, a 20 by 20. It's
4	There's also a very common, rear-detached	4	sequestered from the rest of the house. It's
5	garage on most of the properties on the river	5	sunken to the ground level, and the bay area
6	side of the street where this house is located.	6	the bay window has a clear and open structure
7	For landscaping this application is not	7	original to the house that's the size of a
8	requesting the removal of the existing driveway	8	garage door.
9	that goes to the rear of the house; however,	9	So, you know, kind of using my best sense
10	should this be approved, that driveway would	10	of architectural forensics, you know, it looks
11	need to be removed as only one driveway could	11	very likely to it looks very like a garage.
12	be allowed per property.	12	And from the from the floor plan. And I'd
13	And the removal of the bay window would	13	like I'd like the commissioners to take a
14	change the front elevation's character, and it	14 15	look at the set of drawings I have and
15 16	has not been proven that the bay window is not original to the contributing structure.	15	especially the demo plans showing why we think, at some point in time, that was closed in, the
17	Without knowing when the bay window was	17	bay window added, and a chimney added to the side.
18	constructed, it's unclear if it meets the	18	You know, we look back at the Sanborn maps
19	criteria of a contributing feature on the home,	19	and we didn't see that it said garage. So, you
20	if it replaced a garage door at one point.	20	know, absolutely a hundred percent true, we
21	And the historic guidelines for Riverside	21	don't have pictures of it. This is conjecture
22	Avondale state: Garages were often added as an	22	at this time, but I think it's really good
23	afterthought and are frequently of	23	conjecture. So I want to start with that.
24	insignificant design and material. Where they	24	So, you know, we're, you know and that
25	are less than 50 years old or insignificant,	25	is to build on the idea that we're adding a
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300

-	f Jacksonville ic Preservation Commission		April 28, 2021 Uncertified Condensed Copy
11300	45		47
1	garage where a garage historically was and	1	Thing two, estate lots didn't this is
2	isn't right now. I think that's important to	2	not an estate lot; it is on the water.
3	kind of talk about. And I think that's kind of	3	Morningside, this whole side is on the river.
4	important to kind of talk about. So that's	4	And estate lots, typically being kind of on the
5	thing one.	5	water, always had their garages in front. They
6	You know, the other kind of the other	6	always had them in front. And they had them in
7	kind of thing that isn't kind of typically	7	front because they didn't want to stick a
8	approved at the HPC in a historic neighborhood	8	garage in the back and have it interrupt with
9	is the forward-facing nature of the garage.	9	their river kind of view and, you know,
10	And every single garage in this neighborhood is	10	activities going on at the river.
11	forward-facing in some manner.	11	And I think that I think that this
12	The house to the right is forward-facing.	12	is this is a function now granted, this
13	I'm the one who did the architecture for it.	13	is not an estate lot, but it's the same concept
14	The house across the street is forward-facing	14	that if we put a garage in a huge garage in
15	and sticking forward of the facade. This is	15	the back that we're kind of cutting off this,
16	the house across the street (indicating). Now,	16	you know, view of the river and access to it,
17	is that one contributing? No. But it's, like,	17	which is what kind of historically created
18	1950s, like, right outside the period of significance. So I'm just trying to kind of	18	front garages on estate lots in Riverside.
19 20	set the tone of the context of where we're	19 20	Any further questions? THE CHAIRMAN: All three houses to the
20	what we're doing. And I think it's important	20	left have garages in the back?
22	to understand that in this particular case.	22	MR. ALLMAND: All three houses,
23	The second thing to talk about is the	23	forward-facing. Correct, forward-facing.
24	massing of this piece. It it is in the	24	That's what I was talking about.
25	front. There's a house across the street that	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. The house to the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	46		48
1	has a forward-facing a front-facing garage.	1	right has yeah, has a forward-facing it's
2	I have ten seconds.	2	very set back, correct?
3	The front I've got there's the	3	MR. ALLMAND: Correct.
4	timer.	4	And the house the house across the
5	(Timer notification.)	5	street has a forward-facing where the front
6	MR. ALLMAND: Something about estate lots	6	of the garage is forward of the facade.
7	that's important to say. I'll just leave it	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Correct. But the houses to
8	there.	8	the left, all the the next three all seem to
9	Any questions for mo? Do I got any	•	have the garage in the hadk correct?
10	Any questions for me? Do I get any questions about the estate lot comment?	9 10	have the garage in the back, correct?
10 11	questions about the estate lot comment?	10	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all
11	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate.	10 11	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir.
11 12	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir.	10 11 12	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a
11	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate.	10 11	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you
11 12 13	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the	10 11 12 13	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a
11 12 13 14	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that	10 11 12 13 14	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans,
11 12 13 14 15	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps	10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step
11 12 13 14 15 16	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front of the existing it's on the Sanborn maps	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are telling me garage.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front of the existing it's on the Sanborn maps on the handout that I gave, I'm sorry.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are telling me garage. Any other questions?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front of the existing it's on the Sanborn maps on the handout that I gave, I'm sorry. It's going to this house steps back	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are telling me garage. Any other questions? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair,
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front of the existing it's on the Sanborn maps on the handout that I gave, I'm sorry. It's going to this house steps back from the adjacent houses, both adjacent houses.</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are telling me garage. Any other questions? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair, are you saying there was a 16-foot or
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front of the existing it's on the Sanborn maps on the handout that I gave, I'm sorry. It's going to this house steps back from the adjacent houses, both adjacent houses. So an addition to the front is going to bring	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are telling me garage. Any other questions? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair, are you saying there was a 16-foot or 20-foot-wide garage in front of this house?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front of the existing it's on the Sanborn maps on the handout that I gave, I'm sorry. It's going to this house steps back from the adjacent houses, both adjacent houses. So an addition to the front is going to bring that front facade closer to the adjacent the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are telling me garage. Any other questions? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair, are you saying there was a 16-foot or 20-foot-wide garage in front of this house? MR. ALLMAND: Absolutely. Absolutely.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front of the existing it's on the Sanborn maps on the handout that I gave, I'm sorry. It's going to this house steps back from the adjacent houses, both adjacent houses. So an addition to the front is going to bring that front facade closer to the adjacent the adjacent houses. That's thing one.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are telling me garage. Any other questions? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair, are you saying there was a 16-foot or 20-foot-wide garage in front of this house? MR. ALLMAND: Absolutely. Absolutely. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Did you check the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	questions about the estate lot comment? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Elaborate. MR. ALLMAND: Perfect. Thank you, sir. Inside y'all's packet there's a copy that I received from Devin on the of a the Sanborn maps. And if you see, this house steps back significantly from the adjacent houses. So when you put an addition on the front of the house, it's going to closely resemble the front of the existing it's on the Sanborn maps on the handout that I gave, I'm sorry. It's going to this house steps back from the adjacent houses, both adjacent houses. So an addition to the front is going to bring that front facade closer to the adjacent the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALLMAND: Correct. And all forward-facing, yes, sir. And remember, this house had a forward-facing garage in the front. If you look at the if you look at the floor plans, it's really clear that it's sunken. You step up into a vestibule to go into the kitchen. There's a wide there's a large opening in the front. My architectural Spidey senses are telling me garage. Any other questions? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair, are you saying there was a 16-foot or 20-foot-wide garage in front of this house? MR. ALLMAND: Absolutely. Absolutely.

Histor	ic Preservation Commission	r –	Uncertified Condensed Copy
	49		51
1	brickwork with your Spidey senses as you	1	1950s. I think this one was from the 1940s.
2	mentioned?	2	So there's, you know, a precedence within
3	MR. ALLMAND: Talk to me about the	3	the urban fabric of that. And so that,
4	brickwork. I mean, I looked at the brickwork	4	combined with kind of this idea of that it's
5	of the house. Did I check it? Did I kind of	5	an estate lot and we want to keep the garages
6	ding it with a ball-peen hammer? Negative.	6	from the back, that's why we feel that the
7	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Did you look at it?	7	correct solution is to allow us to put a
8	MR. ALLMAND: Yes.	8	forward-facing garage in the front.
9	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: What did you see?	9	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Through the Chair, I
	MR. ALLMAND: I saw a situation that made	10	
10		-	agree with Commissioner Kasper on this one,
11	me think that was a garage forward-facing,	11	that, you know, if that was a potential,
12	original garage.	12	that you know, being within the plane to
13	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: And also, through	13	basically reconstruct what was already there
14	the Chair, are you saying that there's nowhere	14	before, you know, if we strongly feel that that
15	else to put a forward-facing garage,	15	was a garage that was inside the front plane of
16	considering that the houses next door have	16	the house, that that would be something more
17	forward-facing garages that are detached?	17	feasible rather than this, you know, basically
18	MR. ALLMAND: There is a place to put a	18	full addition to the front of the house.
19	forward-facing garage in the back. That is	19	MR. ALLMAND: Thank you for saying that.
20	correct. I think that the correct place	20	And I understand what they're saying.
21	historically for this garage is in the front.	21	Have you taken into account, Commissioner
22	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Through the Chair to		Lopera, that this house on the Sanborn maps
23	the applicant, when I review your demolition	23	that I distributed, that the that this house
24	drawing which you submitted and you mention	24	is set back from the adjacent houses around it
25	that the there's an area that is sunken.	25	and that the garage would actually come
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	(001)0210000		
	50		52
1	50 There's steps leading down to it, and then	1	52 bring the facade forward to match the face of
1	There's steps leading down to it, and then	1	bring the facade forward to match the face of
2	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which	2	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore,
2 3	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me,	2 3	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous,
2 3 4	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal.	2 3 4	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood?
2 3 4 5	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good
2 3 4 5 6	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a	2 3 4	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a
2 3 4 5	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the	2 3 4 5	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past
2 3 4 5 6	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been	2 3 4 5 6	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you
2 3 4 5 6 7	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element	2 3 4 5 6 7	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is that across the street there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the house to the one to the right of it, but across
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is that across the street there is a forward-facing garage that sticks forward of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the house to the one to the right of it, but across the street, the "forwardmost-facing" element is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is that across the street there is a forward-facing garage that sticks forward of the plane of the house. That is across the street. That is within not within the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the house to the one to the right of it, but across the street, the "forwardmost-facing" element is a garage, and there is a protrusion out in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is that across the street there is a forward-facing garage that sticks forward of the plane of the house. That is across the street. That is within not within the period of significance, but ten years from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the house to the one to the right of it, but across the street, the "forwardmost-facing" element is a garage, and there is a protrusion out in front. So I'm saying, if you stand in front of the house and look across the street, there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is that across the street there is a forward-facing garage that sticks forward of the plane of the house. That is across the street. That is within not within the period of significance, but ten years from the construction of this house-ish, I think. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the house to the one to the right of it, but across the street, the "forwardmost-facing" element is a garage, and there is a protrusion out in front. So I'm saying, if you stand in front of the house and look across the street, there's a forward-facing garage that sticks forward,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is that across the street there is a forward-facing garage that sticks forward of the plane of the house. That is across the street. That is within not within the period of significance, but ten years from the construction of this house-ish, I think. I can't you know, I'm not a hundred percent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the house to the one to the right of it, but across the street, the "forwardmost-facing" element is a garage, and there is a protrusion out in front. So I'm saying, if you stand in front of the house and look across the street, there's a forward-facing garage that sticks forward, forward of the face the front-facing facade.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is that across the street there is a forward-facing garage that sticks forward of the plane of the house. That is across the street. That is within not within the period of significance, but ten years from the construction of this house-ish, I think. I can't you know, I'm not a hundred percent sure about that, but I think it was in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the house to the one to the right of it, but across the street, the "forwardmost-facing" element is a garage, and there is a protrusion out in front. So I'm saying, if you stand in front of the house and look across the street, there's a forward-facing garage that sticks forward, forward of the face the front-facing facade. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Thank you for that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There's steps leading down to it, and then there's an opening in the existing wall, which would be an opening of a garage door. To me, that logic says that the garage was internal. MR. ALLMAND: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER KASPER: So if it was a garage, then it would have been a door in the plane of the home, and the cars would have been inboard to the home, and so not a an element mass in front of the front door. MR. ALLMAND: Thank you, Commissioner Kasper, for that distinction. That is absolutely true. And so if we're going along the vein of the assumption that there was a garage there, what why we feel that putting an addition on the front would be historically accurate is for this individual house is that across the street there is a forward-facing garage that sticks forward of the plane of the house. That is across the street. That is within not within the period of significance, but ten years from the construction of this house-ish, I think. I can't you know, I'm not a hundred percent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	bring the facade forward to match the face of the existing historical structures, therefore, contributing to a more accurate, continuous, historical fabric of the neighborhood? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: That is a good distinction; however, the difference between a garage being front-facing and extending past the house is very different from the you know, the houses next door that you know, that the difference being at the front of the garage now, as the as you've drawn it, yes, it will now technically match the front planes of the houses next to it. But the houses next to it, that's the front of the house. It's not an extension of the front of the house. MR. ALLMAND: Well, it is the front of the house to the one to the right of it, but across the street, the "forwardmost-facing" element is a garage, and there is a protrusion out in front. So I'm saying, if you stand in front of the house and look across the street, there's a forward-facing garage that sticks forward, forward of the face the front-facing facade.

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission April 28, 2021 Uncertified Condensed Copy

	53		55
1	but I think there's a difference between the	1	said them all to John.
2	houses next door and using your argument of	2	THE CHAIRMAN: I tend to agree.
3	trying to match the front planes of the front	3	COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: And I I hadn't
4	doors adjacent to the house compared to the	4	really said anything, but I just to add on,
5	houses across the street. Those are two	5	I concur with what all of the other
6	different planes that we're looking at	6	commissioners have said so far.
7	actually, three different planes.	7	And as far as the comparison to the house
8	MR. ALLMAND: Guaranteed. And I think	8	across the street, I mean, that only projects
9	that the side-facing and and across the	9	out, you know, a few feet from the front plane
10	street in my mind are absolutely different, but	10	of the rest of the house.
11	significant just the same.	11	And then from what I've noticed on all the
12	THE CHAIRMAN: All right, John. We'll	12	other houses on the street that sure, there
13	call you back up.	13	were front-facing garages, but, as has been
14	MR. ALLMAND: Thank you so much.	14	noted, the house is the thing that's at the
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	15	front and then the garage is always in the
16	Anybody else here to speak on this COA?	16	back. I don't really see any reason, given the
17	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	17	layout here, to deviate from that.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: I'm seeing nobody in our	18	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm going to go
19	audience that is here. Anyone on Zoom here to	19	ahead and call for a vote here.
20	speak on this COA?	20	Commissioner Lopera.
21	MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, you have	21	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye.
22	Warren Jones from RAP.	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Maiju, did you have
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Warren.	23	something to say?
24	MR. JONES: Thank you.	24	COMMISSIONER STANSEL: No, I'm fine.
25	Warren Jones, executive director,	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Maiju, you were very soft
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	54		56
1		1	
1	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen	1	for some reason.
2	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue.	2	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go.
2 3	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to	2 3	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right.
2 3 4	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on	2 3 4	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera.
2 3 4 5	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report.	2 3 4 5	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears	2 3 4 5 6	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the	2 3 4 5 6 7	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any public comment, by chance, from here or Zoom?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any public comment, by chance, from here or Zoom? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any public comment, by chance, from here or Zoom? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move along to new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any public comment, by chance, from here or Zoom? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move along to new business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-21-24995 per staff conditions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any public comment, by chance, from here or Zoom? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move along to new business. MR. POPOLI: I'm sorry, through the Chair,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-21-24995 per staff conditions. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any thoughts here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any public comment, by chance, from here or Zoom? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move along to new business. MR. POPOLI: I'm sorry, through the Chair, we do have one raised hand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-21-24995 per staff conditions. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any thoughts here? COMMISSIONER LOPERA: I think we already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any public comment, by chance, from here or Zoom? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move along to new business. MR. POPOLI: I'm sorry, through the Chair, we do have one raised hand. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Who do we have? MR. POPOLI: It's under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Riverside Avondale Preservation, 1355 Challen Avenue. RAP supports the staff recommendation to deny this application for the reasons listed on the staff report. It, as you have pointed out, also appears on the survey that an existing drive along the side of the house leads to the rear property, and this would allow for a more traditional, detached accessory structure in the rear of the property. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else here to speak on this COA? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. POPOLI: I have no other hands on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public hearing, and I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to deny COA-21-24995 per staff conditions. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any thoughts here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for some reason. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: There you go. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. All right. Commissioner Lopera. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: And that's an aye for me. With that, you have denied COA-21-24995. We're going to move along to new business. Let me double-check here. Is there any public comment, by chance, from here or Zoom? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move along to new business. MR. POPOLI: I'm sorry, through the Chair, we do have one raised hand. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Who do we have?

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

55

	ic Preservation Commission		April 26, 2021 Uncertified Condensed Copy
	57		59
1	MR. SNYDER: Can you hear me?	1	if you will.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: We can, Patrick.	2	MR. SNYDER: Got it. Thanks.
3	MR. SNYDER: All right. Sorry about that.	3	THE CHAIRMAN: So we're going to move on
4	I'm the homeowner of that one, so I just wanted	4	to the local landmark. We have 323 West Bay Street.
5	to I don't know if it's if it's normal or	5	MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a
6	possible to ask questions, but and, you	6	moment.
7	know, I'm not arguing with the denial there.	7	All right. Through the Chair, this is
8	What I'm curious about is the prospect of,	8	landmark LM 323 West Bay Street. Sorry,
9	you know, basically restoring the existing room	9	we're having technical difficulties.
10	back to a garage and whether you know,	10	LM-21-02 is a request for a landmark
11	assuming that's that can be proved it was a	11	designation for 323 and 325 East Bay Street.
12	garage, I'm wondering whether that could be	12	In essence, what you have is a modest
13	approved.	13	commercial building located in the downtown of
14	And then a follow-on question to that	14	Jacksonville. We do have an applicant here;
15	would be, you know, to my eye, that doesn't	15	they want to go into a little bit more depth.
16	seem like it would be all that appealing	16	I'm just going to do a very brief overview.
17	visually. And I know that's not exactly what	17	In essence, it is a commercial structure
18	you're what you're charged with, you know,	18	that represents, like a lot of our downtown
19	as the Commission, but I think, you know,	19	structures, the rebuilding after the Great
20	that's probably the most acceptable alternative	20	Fire, which is a obviously, a significant
21	for us rather than adding something to the	21	point in the history of downtown Jacksonville.
22	back. And I know, you know, you would rather	22	Because of the effects of the Great Fire, a lot
23	have that for the historic district, but I	23	of our early reconstruction, our masonry style
24	think it would be more of an issue with our	24	structures like this one so we found
25	neighbors and with just the layout of our house	25	generally it met Criteria A. And then also the
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	58		60
1	to put it in the back.	1	structure was in very good shape and is
2	So I I'm curious, your thoughts on, you	2	basically keyed up for rehabilitation, and so
3	know, the acceptability of restoring that room	3	we did find it met Criteria F as well.
4	back to a garage and whether that wouldn't be,	4	As you know, for landmark designations, if
5	you know, not that aesthetically appealing.	5	it is at the request of the owner, it only
6	MS. WEST: Through the Chair, this is	6	needs to meet two of the seven criteria, so
7	public comment, so it's not necessarily a time	7	this does. Because of that, we are I'm
8	that the Commission engages. And it would not	8	sorry, it meets three. I apologize. It does
9	be appropriate on a pending or speculative	9	have a that's right. It meets Letter A,
10	matter to comment.	10	which is its impact on the historic and
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, I'm sorry. I	11	archaeological significance of the City. And
12	think we do need your name and address for the	12	then it has distinguished architectural styles.
13		13	And then it is suitable for preservation.
10	record, if you would.		
14	•	14	I'll let the applicant go into a little
	record, if you would.		•
14	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder,	14	I'll let the applicant go into a little
14 15	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street.	14 15	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three
14 15 16	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell	14 15 16	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to
14 15 16 17	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell out your last name for me?	14 15 16 17	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to move forward to City Council.
14 15 16 17 18	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell out your last name for me? MR. SNYDER: S-n-y-d-e-r. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair	14 15 16 17 18	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to move forward to City Council. Happy to take some questions, and I apologize for the weirdness on the screen. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian?
14 15 16 17 18 19	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell out your last name for me? MR. SNYDER: S-n-y-d-e-r. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	14 15 16 17 18 19	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to move forward to City Council. Happy to take some questions, and I apologize for the weirdness on the screen.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell out your last name for me? MR. SNYDER: S-n-y-d-e-r. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, I think if you meet with staff, that would be the best way to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to move forward to City Council. Happy to take some questions, and I apologize for the weirdness on the screen. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll go ahead and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell out your last name for me? MR. SNYDER: S-n-y-d-e-r. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, I think if you meet with staff, that would be the best way to kind of answer some of those questions, then	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to move forward to City Council. Happy to take some questions, and I apologize for the weirdness on the screen. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll go ahead and open the public hearing.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell out your last name for me? MR. SNYDER: S-n-y-d-e-r. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, I think if you meet with staff, that would be the best way to kind of answer some of those questions, then we and get back in front of us at some	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to move forward to City Council. Happy to take some questions, and I apologize for the weirdness on the screen. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll go ahead and open the public hearing. The applicant can come on up.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell out your last name for me? MR. SNYDER: S-n-y-d-e-r. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, I think if you meet with staff, that would be the best way to kind of answer some of those questions, then we and get back in front of us at some point. But that would be my course of action,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to move forward to City Council. Happy to take some questions, and I apologize for the weirdness on the screen. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll go ahead and open the public hearing. The applicant can come on up. (Audience member approaches the podium.)
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	record, if you would. MR. SNYDER: Oh, yeah. Patrick Snyder, 1928 Morningside Street. THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, would you spell out your last name for me? MR. SNYDER: S-n-y-d-e-r. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair THE CHAIRMAN: Patrick, I think if you meet with staff, that would be the best way to kind of answer some of those questions, then we and get back in front of us at some	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'll let the applicant go into a little bit more details on this, but meeting three criteria, we did recommend approval of this to move forward to City Council. Happy to take some questions, and I apologize for the weirdness on the screen. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll go ahead and open the public hearing. The applicant can come on up.

(904) 821-0300

05/09/2021 06:49:07 AM **On File**

(904) 821-0300

	61		63
1	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. My name is Autumn	1	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye.
		2	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper.
2	Martinage.		
3	THE CHAIRMAN: State your name and address	3	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye.
4	for me.	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel.
5	MS. MARTINAGE: Autumn Martinage, 2611	5	COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye.
6	Wrightson Drive, Jacksonville, 32223.	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober.
7	THE REPORTER: If you would raise your	7	COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye.
8	right hand for me, please.	8	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell.
9	MS. MARTINAGE: (Complies.)	9	COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye.
10	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the	10	THE CHAIRMAN: Aye as well.
11	testimony you are about to give will be the	11	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Actually, through
12	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	12	the Chair, it's actually 323-325 East Bay
13	truth?	13	Street. The agenda is incorrect.
			-
14	MS. MARTINAGE: I do.	14	THE CHAIRMAN: What now?
15	THE REPORTER: Thank you.	15	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: The agenda is
16	MS. MARTINAGE: Good afternoon.	16	incorrect. It's 323-325 East Bay Street.
17	I can go into as little or as much detail	17	MR. POPOLI: That is correct. The agenda
18	as you would like. I know you guys have been	18	is wrong.
19	here for a while. We were hoping that you guys	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, so this covers
20	will support the recommendation for landmark	20	MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, it covers
21	for this property.	21	two addresses. It's one building; it's just
22	It was constructed circa 1907 as a	22	East Bay versus West.
23	hardware store for a maritime industry related	23	THE CHAIRMAN: With that, 323 and 325 East
24	to the shipyards that was so important to	24	Bay Street has been approved to move on to City
25	Jacksonville at this time. And as you can see	25	Council.
20	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203	20	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	62		64
	62		84
	in the photoe, it does not in the majority of		Walte going to may an to local landmark
1	in the photos, it does retain the majority of	1	We're going to move on to local landmark,
2	its original fabric, with one small front	2	325 East Duval Street.
2 3	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay.	2 3	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think
2	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions	2	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least
2 3	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have.	2 3	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was
2 3 4	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the	2 3 4	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least
2 3 4 5	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have.	2 3 4 5	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was
2 3 4 5 6	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the	2 3 4 5 6	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed.
2 3 4 5 6 7	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant?	2 3 4 5 6 7	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you back up if We need you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you back up if we need you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you back up if we need you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment. I'll entertain a motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner. Since that time, basically, redevelopment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner. Since that time, basically, redevelopment of the property never moved forward. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve local landmark, 323 West Bay Street.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner. Since that time, basically, redevelopment of the property never moved forward. The property has since changed hands. And during
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve local landmark, 323 West Bay Street. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner. Since that time, basically, redevelopment of the property never moved forward. The property has since changed hands. And during that time, the new owner is now interested in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve local landmark, 323 West Bay Street. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner. Since that time, basically, redevelopment of the property never moved forward. The property has since changed hands. And during that time, the new owner is now interested in landmarking the third portion of the building,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve local landmark, 323 West Bay Street. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner. Since that time, basically, redevelopment of the property never moved forward. The property has since changed hands. And during that time, the new owner is now interested in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve local landmark, 323 West Bay Street. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner. Since that time, basically, redevelopment of the property never moved forward. The property has since changed hands. And during that time, the new owner is now interested in landmarking the third portion of the building,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	its original fabric, with one small front alteration, the left storefront bay. And I'm able to entertain any questions that you have. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call you back up if we need you. MS. MARTINAGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is anybody else here to speak on this local landmark? AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Anything on Zoom? MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll go ahead and close the public comment. I'll entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve local landmark, 323 West Bay Street. COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? Commissioner Lopera.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	325 East Duval Street. MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, so I think most of you were on the Commission at least some of you back in 2017 when this item was first discussed. What you have before you is a request for landmark designation for 325 East Duval Street, but it's a portion of the building. I'll pull up a picture in just a moment. Back in 2017, the structure originally came before you as a request for demolition. During that time, it was discussion both with the Commission and the representative of the owner, and it was determined through that process that the two sort of primary elevations of the structure that are on a corner that face the street could be landmarked. The third was left out at the request of the owner. Since that time, basically, redevelopment of the property never moved forward. The property has since changed hands. And during that time, the new owner is now interested in landmarking the third portion of the building, which I promise I have pictures of.

1 2	So, in essence, the request here is to amend the original landmark designation to	1 2	THE REPORTER: If you would raise your right hand for me, please.
3	include this third portion.	3	MR. HOOVER: (Complies.)
4	So as you can see here, you have these two elevations which have a pitched roof	4	THE REPORTER: Do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the
5 6	(indicating). And then you have this third	5 6	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
7	portion here which is mostly internal to the	7	truth?
8	lot that has a flat roof, though it was built	8	MR. HOOVER: I do.
9	contemporaneous with the rest of the structure.	9	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
10	As I said, it is internal, so it is	10	THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Ryan?
11	slightly less ornate and does have some	11	COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
12	differences, but there was nothing at the time	12	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll call you
13	that really distinguished this as not being	13	back up if we need you.
14	significant from the structure from the rest	14	MR. HOOVER: Thank you.
15	of the structure. And in the general criteria	15	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
16	in landmarking the original structure, we	16	Is anybody else here to speak on this
17	certainly feel that this also meets those	17	landmarking?
18	original criteria in the original ordinance.	18	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (No response.)
19	So we're basing our recommendation on the	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Pretty much looking at Zoom
20	original designation and treating this as an	20	because we have nobody left here. MR. POPOLI: No hands raised on Zoom.
21 22	amendment to now incorporate that third wing. Generally speaking, the building is in	21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll close the
22	excellent shape. We found that it met, I want	22	public hearing and I'll entertain a motion.
23	to say, four, possibly five criteria. It was	23 24	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Motion to approve
25	four? Yeah.	25	local landmark, 325 East Duval Street, third
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203		Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300		(904) 821-0300
	66		68
1	But, in essence, it's reflective of a	1	wing.
2	period in time, an architectural style, and all	2	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Second.
3	the criteria that we addressed previously. But	3	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
4	we're recommending approval of this amendment	4	Commissioner Lopera.
5	moving forward because we do feel that it is	5	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Aye.
6	incorporated of the original building and meets the standards the criteria that were	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kasper.
7	the standards the criteria that were	7	
8			COMMISSIONER KASPER: Aye.
-	addressed in the original report.	8	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel.
9	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm	8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye.
9 10	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know	8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober.
9 10 11	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few.	8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye.
9 10 11 12	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian?	8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell.
9 10 11	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few.	8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye.
9 10 11 12 13	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)	8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye.
9 10 11 12 13 14	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your name and address for me.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a moment, I assume at this point most of you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your name and address for me. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a moment, I assume at this point most of you know, but I'm actually this is my last
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your name and address for me. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. Ryan Hoover, 3030 Hartley Road, with	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a moment, I assume at this point most of you know, but I'm actually this is my last Commission meeting with guys. I'm going to be
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your name and address for me. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. Ryan Hoover, 3030 Hartley Road, with Vestcor.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a moment, I assume at this point most of you know, but I'm actually this is my last Commission meeting with guys. I'm going to be taking a job with the City of Jacksonville
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your name and address for me. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. Ryan Hoover, 3030 Hartley Road, with Vestcor. I can answer any questions you might have.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a moment, I assume at this point most of you know, but I'm actually this is my last Commission meeting with guys. I'm going to be taking a job with the City of Jacksonville Beach. So actually, this is my last week with
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your name and address for me. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. Ryan Hoover, 3030 Hartley Road, with Vestcor. I can answer any questions you might have. THE CHAIRMAN: She's going to swear you in	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a moment, I assume at this point most of you know, but I'm actually this is my last Commission meeting with guys. I'm going to be taking a job with the City of Jacksonville Beach. So actually, this is my last week with the City.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your name and address for me. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. Ryan Hoover, 3030 Hartley Road, with Vestcor. I can answer any questions you might have. THE CHAIRMAN: She's going to swear you in real quick.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a moment, I assume at this point most of you know, but I'm actually this is my last Commission meeting with guys. I'm going to be taking a job with the City of Jacksonville Beach. So actually, this is my last week with the City. So we have Susan Kelly, who is also with
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	addressed in the original report. So we're recommending approval, and I'm happy to take some questions, which I know there will be a few. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions for Christian? COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I'll open the public hearing. Is the applicant here? (Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Come on up and state your name and address for me. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon. Ryan Hoover, 3030 Hartley Road, with Vestcor. I can answer any questions you might have. THE CHAIRMAN: She's going to swear you in	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Stansel. COMMISSIONER STANSEL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Glober. COMMISSIONER GLOBER: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bramwell. COMMISSIONER BRAMWELL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: That's aye for me as well. With that, you have approved 325 East Duval Street to move on to City Council, unless anyone has anything else? MR. POPOLI: Through the Chair, just a moment, I assume at this point most of you know, but I'm actually this is my last Commission meeting with guys. I'm going to be taking a job with the City of Jacksonville Beach. So actually, this is my last week with the City.

City of Jacksonville Historic Preservation Commission

April 28, 2021 Uncertified Condensed Copy

67

	69
1	the Planning Department. She will be taking
2	over for me and will definitely be good to you
3	guys. She is far more organized than I am, so
4	you're in good hands.
5	It's been a lot of fun. I really enjoyed
6	working with y'all. So thank you for all the
7	time and effort that you guys put in. I
8	appreciate it.
9	COMMISSIONER LOPERA: Thank you.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Christian, we'll miss
11	having you around. It was fun.
12	COMMISSIONER KASPER: Will your cell phone
13	still be active for any questions?
14	MR. POPOLI: For you, I'm always
15	available, Erik.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll just come bother you
17	at the beach.
18	So unless anybody else has anything, with
19	that, we are adjourned.
20	(The foregoing proceedings were adjourned
21	at 4:45 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203
	(904) 821-0300
	70
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4 5	COUNTY OF DUVAL)
6	
7	I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional
8	Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did
9	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
10	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
11 12	stenographic notes.
13	
14	
15	DATED this 9th day of May 2021.
16	DATED this 9th day of May 2021.
16 17	
16	Diane M. Tropia
16 17	
16 17 18 19 20	Diane M. Tropia
16 17 18 19 20 21	Diane M. Tropia
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Diane M. Tropia
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Diane M. Tropia
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Diane M. Tropia
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Diane M. Tropia
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Diane M. Tropia Florida Professional Reporter

0	3	acceptability [1] -	63:17	apparatus [1] - 6:18
		58:3	agendas [1] - 3:23	appealing [2] - 57:16,
0 [4] - 5:3, 5:7, 5:16,	3-foot [2] - 5:19, 7:15	acceptable [1] - 57:20	agree [11] - 5:16, 7:11,	58:5
7:8	3/4 [1] - 21:21	access [1] - 47:16	7:20, 15:2, 19:9,	appear [1] - 41:19
	30 [3] - 9:5, 18:21	accessory [6] - 12:14,	33:1, 33:21, 36:11,	applicant [13] - 15:15,
1	303 [1] - 1:8	13:5, 13:25, 14:20,	37:21, 51:10, 55:2	26:9, 27:4, 40:22,
	3030 [1] - 66:21	15:2, 54:10	agreed [2] - 8:21,	41:3, 41:8, 43:7,
1 [5] - 4:23, 5:18, 7:12,	32205 [1] - 15:23	accomplishes [1] -	25:13	49:23, 59:14, 60:14,
22:24, 22:25	32223 [1] - 61:6	9:7	ahead [13] - 2:3, 3:11,	60:24, 62:7, 66:16
10 [1] - 4:13	323 [5] - 59:4, 59:8,	account [1] - 51:21	4:14, 10:18, 19:15,	application [4] -
11 [2] - 21:20, 21:21	59:11, 62:22, 63:23	accurate [2] - 50:17,	25:7, 25:15, 31:25,	40:18, 41:3, 42:7,
12 [1] - 22:25	323-325 [2] - 63:12,	52:3	34:25, 54:18, 55:19,	54:4
1261 [6] - 5:6, 11:21,	63:16	action [1] - 58:25	60:22, 62:18	appreciate [3] - 9:20,
25:22, 26:2, 26:4,	324 [1] - 4:5	active [1] - 69:13	aid [1] - 34:4	29:5, 69:8
40:8	325 [6] - 59:11, 63:23,	activities [1] - 47:10	ALLMAND [39] -	approaches [7] -
130 [1] - 18:21	64:2, 64:8, 67:25,	add [6] - 38:15, 38:19,	15:17, 15:22, 16:1,	15:19, 24:4, 29:2,
1341 [1] - 5:5	68:15	38:22, 40:25, 55:4	16:6, 16:8, 18:7,	35:5, 43:8, 60:25,
1355 [1] - 54:1	342 [1] - 12:10	added [4] - 27:13,	18:14, 19:8, 20:2,	66:17
14079 [1] - 6:8	3663 [1] - 10:17	42:22, 44:17	21:8, 21:13, 21:25,	appropriate [2] -
150 [1] - 25:16	3750 [1] - 15:22	adding [3] - 41:6,	22:5, 22:23, 23:6,	41:18, 58:9
16-foot [1] - 48:22	3:17 [2] - 1:7, 2:1	44:25, 57:21	24:2, 24:5, 29:3,	approval [3] - 60:16,
1836 [1] - 4:7		addition [8] - 40:20,	31:15, 32:5, 35:6,	66:4, 66:9
1854 [1] - 5:1	4	40:25, 41:13, 43:20,	43:10, 43:14, 46:6,	approve [8] - 3:12,
19 [1] - 21:21	-	46:17, 46:23, 50:16,	46:12, 47:22, 48:3,	3:13, 10:2, 10:24,
1907 [1] - 61:22	4 [2] - 30:13, 30:24	51:18	48:10, 48:24, 49:3,	32:3, 36:1, 62:21,
1923 [1] - 5:4	45 [1] - 4:6	additions [3] - 27:11,	49:8, 49:10, 49:18,	67:24
1928 [3] - 40:11,	4:45 [1] - 69:21	27:13, 41:16	50:5, 50:11, 51:19,	approved [12] - 3:21,
40:17, 58:15	4th [1] - 5:8	address [8] - 6:1, 6:5,	52:17, 53:8, 53:14	10:10, 13:23, 16:17,
1940s [1] - 51:1		6:6, 6:10, 15:21,	Allmand [8] - 15:19,	19:13, 19:24, 40:8,
1950s [2] - 45:18, 51:1	5	58:12, 61:3, 66:19	15:22, 24:4, 29:2,	42:10, 45:8, 57:13,
13003 [2] - 40.10, 01.1	J	addressed [3] - 8:19,	35:5, 35:6, 37:3,	63:24, 68:15
2	5 [1] - 5:21	66:3, 66:8	43:8	April [3] - 1:6, 2:1, 2:4
L	5-foot [2] - 7:16, 9:4	addresses [1] - 63:21	allow [5] - 21:3, 23:22,	archaeological [1] -
2 [3] - 7:13, 38:8,	50 [1] - 42:25	addressing [1] - 40:16	30:16, 51:7, 54:9	60:11
41:23	JU [1] - 72.20	adjacent [7] - 46:16,	allowed [1] - 42:12	architect [1] - 38:10
2-foot [2] - 8:9, 8:11	6	46:22, 46:24, 46:25,	allows [1] - 34:6	architectural [6] -
2-1001 [2] - 8.9, 8.11 2-over-12 [1] - 41:17	U	40.22, 40.24, 40.25, 51:24, 53:4	alludes [1] - 38:2	13:13, 37:10, 44:10,
20 [6] - 18:9, 18:11,	6 [8] - 16:23, 19:11,	adjourned [2] - 69:19,	ALSO [1] - 1:17	48:18, 60:12, 66:2
18:20, 19:23, 44:3	20:1, 21:23, 30:13,	69:20	alteration [1] - 62:3	architecture [2] -
20-foot-wide [1] -	30:24, 38:8		altered [1] - 13:17	17:15, 45:13
48:23	6-inch [1] - 37:5	administrative [1] - 14:18	alternative [1] - 57:20	area [4] - 43:21, 44:1,
2017 [2] - 64:5, 64:10	6th [1] - 4:5	ADRIENNE [1] - 1:19	alters [3] - 27:23,	44:5, 49:25
2017 [2] - 64.5, 64.10 2021 [4] - 1:6, 2:1,	0 (1)[1] - 4 .0		28:3, 28:8	argue [1] - 17:18
2021 [4] - 1.6, 2.1, 3:14, 70:15	7	Adrienne [2] - 40:13, 40:15	amend [4] - 38:19,	arguing [1] - 57:7
236 [1] - 5:8	· ·	40:15 aesthetic [1] - 31:3	39:15, 39:17, 65:2	argument [1] - 53:2
	7 [1] - 30:24		amended [4] - 22:12,	aspect [1] - 36:8
24 [1] - 3:14		aesthetically [1] - 58:5	39:4, 39:16, 39:21	assume [1] - 68:19
24-foot-long [1] -	9	affect [1] - 13:4	amendment [2] -	assuming [1] - 57:11
40:25	5	affirm [3] - 16:2,	65:21, 66:4	assuming [1] - 57.11 assumption [1] -
24th [1] - 3:12	9 [2] - 3:25, 4:19	61:10, 67:4	ANDRES [1] - 1:13	50:14
25-foot-long [1] -	9th [2] - 4:6, 70:15	afraid [1] - 20:23	Andres [1] - 2:18	attached [2] - 40:20,
40:19	• [-] ., .	afternoon [3] - 23:14,	angle [1] - 33:8	
2611 [1] - 61:5	Α	61:16, 66:20	announcement [1] -	41:1 attic (1) - 20:23
2634 [1] - 5:3	/ \	afterthought [1] -	25:14	attic [1] - 29:23
2676 [2] - 4:24, 4:25	able [4] - 6:23, 19:17,	42:23		AUDIENCE [17] - 5:15,
28 [2] - 1:6, 2:1	35:9, 62:4	agenda [18] - 3:22,	answer [2] - 58:23, 66:23	6:2, 6:7, 6:10, 9:24,
2855 [1] - 5:2	absolutely [6] - 17:13,	3:25, 4:1, 4:8, 4:15,		10:20, 23:9, 24:8,
28th [1] - 2:4	44:20, 48:24, 50:13,	4:23, 9:23, 10:3,	answered [1] - 35:8	31:22, 35:21, 53:17,
	53:10	10:10, 10:16, 11:20,	anticipated [1] - 22:16	54:16, 56:19, 61:1,
	abstains [1] - 11:16	18:15, 22:5, 22:12,	apologize [2] - 60:8,	62:15, 66:20, 67:18
		25:20, 63:13, 63:15,	60:19	Audience [2] - 60:25,

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

66:17	big [1] - 31:11	19:4, 19:16, 22:11,	68:10, 68:12, 68:14,	26:17, 29:8, 29:9,
audience [1] - 53:19	bit [4] - 29:7, 29:13,	23:10, 24:13, 24:25,	69:10, 69:16	31:21, 35:2, 35:20,
authorized [1] - 70:8	59:15, 60:15	25:9, 25:23, 25:25,	Chairman [1] - 1:13	37:22, 53:16, 53:20,
Autumn [1] - 61:1	board [1] - 23:21	26:7, 32:12, 33:3,	chairman [1] - 2:20	54:15
autumn [1] - 61:5	book [1] - 26:6	33:15, 34:1, 36:10,	Challen [1] - 54:1	COA-20-24426 [3] -
available [1] - 69:15	bother [1] - 69:16	36:24, 37:20, 38:14,	Chambers [1] - 40:16	26:1, 32:7, 34:22
Avenue [2] - 4:7, 54:2	bottom [2] - 30:11,	38:17, 40:12, 40:15,	CHAMBERS [2] -	COA-21-24 [1] - 25:25
avoided [1] - 41:23	30:12	48:21, 49:14, 49:22,	1:19, 40:15	COA-21-24426 [1] -
Avondale [4] - 40:21,	BRAMWELL [21] -	51:9, 53:21, 56:22,	chance [1] - 56:18	25:22
41:20, 42:22, 54:1	1:15, 2:16, 3:15,	58:6, 58:20, 59:5,	change [5] - 12:6,	COA-21-24938 [1] -
awkward [1] - 23:19	4:18, 11:13, 21:16,	59:7, 63:12, 63:20,	27:15, 28:7, 42:1,	4:5
awkwardness [1] -	22:2, 22:6, 24:12,	64:3, 68:18	42:14	COA-21-24977 [2] -
35:13	24:15, 26:3, 34:20,	CHAIRMAN [154] - 2:3,	changed [1] - 64:22	4:24, 4:25
aye [43] - 10:6, 11:5,	36:10, 39:14, 39:18,	2:20, 3:2, 3:16, 3:18,	changing [2] - 13:3,	COA-21-24995 [4] -
11:7, 11:9, 11:11,	40:6, 54:23, 55:3,	3:20, 4:14, 4:22, 5:22, 6:4, 6:12, 6:17	31:11	40:10, 40:17, 54:22,
11:14, 34:12, 34:14,	56:13, 63:9, 68:13	5:22, 6:4, 6:13, 6:17, 6:23, 7:2, 7:6, 7:19,	Chanley [1] - 4:20	56:15
34:16, 34:18, 34:20,	Bramwell [10] - 2:16,	7:22, 8:6, 9:6, 9:10,	character [5] - 27:12,	COA-21-25100 [1] -
34:21, 39:6, 39:8,	11:12, 11:16, 34:19,	9:13, 9:16, 9:21,	27:23, 28:9, 30:21,	25:16
39:10, 39:12, 39:18,	37:2, 39:13, 40:5, 56:12, 63:8, 68:12	9:25, 10:5, 10:7,	42:14	COA-21-25101 [1] -
39:19, 39:23, 39:25,	56:12, 63:8, 68:12 brickwork [3] - 49:1,	10:9, 10:15, 10:21,	character-defining [1]	5:1 COA-21-25197 (4)
40:2, 40:4, 40:6, 40:7, 55:21, 56:5,	ргіскиогк [3] - 49:1, 49:4	11:2, 11:6, 11:8,	- 27:12	COA-21-25197 [1] - 5:2
40.7, 55.21, 56.5, 56:7, 56:9, 56:11,	brief [1] - 59:16	11:10, 11:12, 11:14,	charged [1] - 57:18	COA-21-25226 [1] -
56:13, 56:14, 63:1,	bring [5] - 18:15, 23:5,	11:18, 11:25, 15:11,	chat [1] - 3:9 check [3] - 48:25,	4:6
63:3, 63:5, 63:7,	24:23, 46:23, 52:1	15:14, 15:18, 15:20,	49:5, 56:17	COA-21-25251 [1] -
63:9, 63:10, 68:5,	build [1] - 44:25	18:4, 22:20, 23:2,	chimney [1] - 44:17	5:2
68:7, 68:9, 68:11,	builder [2] - 9:12, 9:14	23:5, 23:7, 23:13,	choose [1] - 14:20	COA-21-25256 [3] -
68:13, 68:14	building [11] - 5:20,	23:25, 24:3, 24:6,	CHRISTIAN [1] - 1:18	5:7, 5:15, 7:8
Aye [1] - 3:17	5:21, 8:17, 31:1,	24:9, 24:14, 25:2,	Christian [5] - 2:12,	COA-21-25259 [1] -
• • •	41:24, 59:13, 63:21,	25:5, 25:15, 28:22,	29:4, 60:20, 66:12,	5:3
				5.5
В	64:9, 64:24, 65:22,	28:24, 31:14, 31:18,		COA-21-25269 [1] -
В		31:20, 31:23, 31:25,	69:10	
B ball [1] - 49:6	64:9, 64:24, 65:22,	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14	COA-21-25269 [1] -
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6	64:9, 64:24, 65:22, 66:6	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14 circa [1] - 61:22	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5,	64:9, 64:24, 65:22, 66:6 built [1] - 65:8	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] -
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12	64:9, 64:24, 65:22, 66:6 built [1] - 65:8 bunch [1] - 29:12	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14 circa [1] - 61:22 circuits [1] - 12:2	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17,
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19	64:9, 64:24, 65:22, 66:6 built [1] - 65:8 bunch [1] - 29:12 business [2] - 56:16, 56:21	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14 circa [1] - 61:22 circuits [1] - 12:2 CITY [1] - 1:1	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1	64:9, 64:24, 65:22, 66:6 built [1] - 65:8 bunch [1] - 29:12 business [2] - 56:16,	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14 circa [1] - 61:22 circuits [1] - 12:2 CITY [1] - 1:1 City [6] - 60:11, 60:17,	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] -
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23,	64:9, 64:24, 65:22, 66:6 built [1] - 65:8 bunch [1] - 29:12 business [2] - 56:16, 56:21	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14 circa [1] - 61:22 circuits [1] - 12:2 CITY [1] - 1:1 City [6] - 60:11, 60:17, 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21,
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5,	64:9, 64:24, 65:22, 66:6 built [1] - 65:8 bunch [1] - 29:12 business [2] - 56:16, 56:21 CANDACE [1] - 1:20	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14 circa [1] - 61:22 circuits [1] - 12:2 CITY [1] - 1:1 City [6] - 60:11, 60:17, 63:24, 68:16, 68:22, 68:24	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2,
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17,	64:9, 64:24, 65:22, 66:6 built [1] - 65:8 bunch [1] - 29:12 business [2] - 56:16, 56:21 CANDACE [1] - 1:20 cannot [1] - 4:2	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17,	69:10 chunks [1] - 29:14 circa [1] - 61:22 circuits [1] - 12:2 CITY [1] - 1:1 City [6] - 60:11, 60:17, 63:24, 68:16, 68:22, 68:24 claimed [1] - 41:4	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6,	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \end{array}$	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8 COA-21-25318 [1] -
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \end{array}$	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8 COA-21-25318 [1] - 5:6
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8,	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \textbf{clear}[5]-10:14,30:2,\\ \end{array}$	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8 COA-21-25318 [1] - 5:6 COAs [4] - 5:13, 9:11,
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12,	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks} [1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{circa} [1] - 61:22\\ \textbf{circuits} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 12:1\\ \textbf{City} [6] - 60:11, 60:17,\\ 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed} [1] - 41:4\\ \textbf{claims} [1] - 40:22\\ \textbf{clarification} [2] - 5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify} [1] - 26:3\\ \textbf{clear} [5] - 10:14, 30:2,\\ 30:4, 44:6, 48:15\\ \end{array}$	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8 COA-21-25318 [1] - 5:6 COAs [4] - 5:13, 9:11, 9:23, 29:12
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks} [1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{circa} [1] - 61:22\\ \textbf{circuits} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 12:1\\ \textbf{City} [6] - 60:11, 60:17,\\ 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed} [1] - 41:4\\ \textbf{claims} [1] - 40:22\\ \textbf{clarification} [2] - 5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify} [1] - 26:3\\ \textbf{clear} [5] - 10:14, 30:2,\\ 30:4, 44:6, 48:15\\ \textbf{clearer} [1] - 6:25\\ \end{array}$	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8 COA-21-25318 [1] - 5:6 COAs [4] - 5:13, 9:11, 9:23, 29:12 code [1] - 30:20
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24 Beach [1] - 68:23	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \textbf{clear}[5]-10:14,30:2,\\ 30:4,44:6,48:15\\ \textbf{clearer}[1]-6:25\\ \textbf{client}[1]-34:2\\ \end{array}$	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8 COA-21-25318 [1] - 5:6 COAs [4] - 5:13, 9:11, 9:23, 29:12 code [1] - 30:20 collar [2] - 30:11
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \textbf{cleare}[5]-10:14,30:2,\\ 30:4,44:6,48:15\\ \textbf{clearer}[1]-6:25\\ \textbf{client}[1]-34:2\\ \textbf{close}[10]-9:25,\\ \end{array}$	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8 COA-21-25318 [1] - 5:6 COAs [4] - 5:13, 9:11, 9:23, 29:12 code [1] - 30:20 collar [2] - 30:11 color [1] - 32:21
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24 Beach [1] - 68:23 beach [1] - 69:17	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \textbf{cleare}[5]-10:14,30:2,\\ 30:4,44:6,48:15\\ \textbf{clearer}[1]-6:25\\ \textbf{client}[1]-34:2\\ \textbf{close}[10]-9:25,\\ 10:22,22:21,24:10,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{ball [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{ball-peen [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{basing [1] - 65:19} \\ \mbox{bathroom [1] - 30:1} \\ \mbox{bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 \\ \mbox{Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24} \\ \mbox{Beach [1] - 68:23} \\ \mbox{beach [1] - 69:17} \\ \mbox{becomes [2] - 27:20, \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \textbf{cleare}[5]-10:14,30:2,\\ 30:4,44:6,48:15\\ \textbf{clearer}[1]-6:25\\ \textbf{client}[1]-34:2\\ \textbf{close}[10]-9:25,\\ 10:22,22:21,24:10,\\ 32:1,35:24,36:6,\\ \end{array}$	COA-21-25269 [1] - 5:4 COA-21-25272 [1] - 5:5 COA-21-25278 [4] - 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, 10:25 COA-21-25317 [7] - 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, 40:8 COA-21-25318 [1] - 5:6 COAs [4] - 5:13, 9:11, 9:23, 29:12 code [1] - 30:20 collar [2] - 30:11 color [1] - 32:21
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{ball [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{ball-peen [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{basing [1] - 65:19} \\ \mbox{basing [1] - 65:19} \\ \mbox{bathroom [1] - 30:1} \\ \mbox{bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 \\ \mbox{Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24} \\ \mbox{Beach [1] - 68:23} \\ \mbox{beach [1] - 69:17} \\ \mbox{becomes [2] - 27:20, 27:22} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 56:24, 57:2, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 56:24, 57:2, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 56:24, 57:2, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 56:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 50:22, 50:20, 50:22, 50:20, 5	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \textbf{clear}[5]-10:14,30:2,\\ 30:4,44:6,48:15\\ \textbf{clearer}[1]-6:25\\ \textbf{client}[1]-34:2\\ \textbf{close}[10]-9:25,\\ 10:22,22:21,24:10,\\ 32:1,35:24,36:6,\\ 54:18,62:18,67:22\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{coming} [4] - 6:20, 7:3, \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{ball [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{ball-peen [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{basing [1] - 65:19} \\ \mbox{bathroom [1] - 30:1} \\ \mbox{bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 42:17, 62:3} \\ \mbox{Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24} \\ \mbox{Beach [1] - 68:23} \\ \mbox{beach [1] - 69:17} \\ \mbox{becomes [2] - 27:20, 27:22} \\ \mbox{bedroom [1] - 30:2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks} [1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{circa} [1] - 61:22\\ \textbf{circuits} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 1:1\\ \textbf{City} [6] - 60:11, 60:17,\\ 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed} [1] - 41:4\\ \textbf{claims} [1] - 40:22\\ \textbf{clarification} [2] - 5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify} [1] - 26:3\\ \textbf{clear} [5] - 10:14, 30:2,\\ 30:4, 44:6, 48:15\\ \textbf{clearer} [1] - 6:25\\ \textbf{client} [1] - 34:2\\ \textbf{close} [10] - 9:25,\\ 10:22, 22:21, 24:10,\\ 32:1, 35:24, 36:6,\\ 54:18, 62:18, 67:22\\ \textbf{closed} [1] - 44:16\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{coming} [4] - 6:20, 7:3, \\ 16:14, 17:14 \\ \end{array}$
$\begin times the set of the set$	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 56:10, 56:12, 56:10, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 56:10, 56:12, 56:10, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 56:10, 56:12, 56:16, 56:10, 56:12, 56:10, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:21, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:12, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:21, 56:20, 56:	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks} [1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{circa} [1] - 61:22\\ \textbf{circuits} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 1:1\\ \textbf{City} [6] - 60:11, 60:17,\\ 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed} [1] - 41:4\\ \textbf{claims} [1] - 40:22\\ \textbf{clarification} [2] - 5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify} [1] - 26:3\\ \textbf{clear} [5] - 10:14, 30:2,\\ 30:4, 44:6, 48:15\\ \textbf{clearer} [1] - 6:25\\ \textbf{client} [1] - 34:2\\ \textbf{close} [10] - 9:25,\\ 10:22, 22:21, 24:10,\\ 32:1, 35:24, 36:6,\\ 54:18, 62:18, 67:22\\ \textbf{closel} [1] - 44:16\\ \textbf{closely} [1] - 46:18\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{coming} [4] - 6:20, 7:3, \\ 16:14, 17:14 \\ \textbf{commencing} [1] - 1:7 \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{ball [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{ball-peen [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{basing [1] - 65:19} \\ \mbox{bathroom [1] - 30:1} \\ \mbox{bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 \\ \mbox{Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24} \\ \mbox{Beach [1] - 68:23} \\ \mbox{beach [1] - 68:17} \\ \mbox{becomes [2] - 27:20, 27:22} \\ \mbox{bedroom [1] - 30:21} \\ \mbox{beginning [1] - 30:21} \\ \mbox{below [4] - 16:13, } \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 30:10, 50:12, 56:14, 50:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 50:10, 50:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 50:10, 50:12, 50:14, 50:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:11, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 50:20, 50:21, 50:20, 50,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks} [1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{circa} [1] - 61:22\\ \textbf{circuits} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 1:1\\ \textbf{City} [6] - 60:11, 60:17,\\ 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed} [1] - 41:4\\ \textbf{claims} [1] - 40:22\\ \textbf{clarification} [2] - 5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify} [1] - 26:3\\ \textbf{clear} [5] - 10:14, 30:2,\\ 30:4, 44:6, 48:15\\ \textbf{clearer} [1] - 6:25\\ \textbf{client} [1] - 34:2\\ \textbf{close} [10] - 9:25,\\ 10:22, 22:21, 24:10,\\ 32:1, 35:24, 36:6,\\ 54:18, 62:18, 67:22\\ \textbf{closel} [1] - 46:18\\ \textbf{closer} [4] - 14:6,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{coming} [4] - 6:20, 7:3, \\ 16:14, 17:14 \\ \textbf{commencing} [1] - 1:7 \\ \textbf{comment} [9] - 8:4, \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{ball [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{ball-peen [1] - 49:6} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12} \\ \mbox{basing [1] - 65:19} \\ \mbox{bathroom [1] - 30:1} \\ \mbox{bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 \\ \mbox{Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24} \\ \mbox{Beach [1] - 68:23} \\ \mbox{beach [1] - 69:17} \\ \mbox{becomes [2] - 27:20, 27:22} \\ \mbox{bedroom [1] - 30:21} \\ \mbox{below [4] - 16:13, 16:23, 19:7, 21:4} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:18, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 63:10, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 63:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 63:10, 56	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks} [1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{circa} [1] - 61:22\\ \textbf{circuits} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 1:1\\ \textbf{City} [6] - 60:11, 60:17,\\ 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed} [1] - 41:4\\ \textbf{claims} [1] - 40:22\\ \textbf{clarification} [2] - 5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify} [1] - 26:3\\ \textbf{clear} [5] - 10:14, 30:2,\\ 30:4, 44:6, 48:15\\ \textbf{cleare} [1] - 6:25\\ \textbf{client} [1] - 34:2\\ \textbf{close} [10] - 9:25,\\ 10:22, 22:21, 24:10,\\ 32:1, 35:24, 36:6,\\ 54:18, 62:18, 67:22\\ \textbf{closed} [1] - 44:16\\ \textbf{closely} [1] - 46:18\\ \textbf{closer} [4] - 14:6,\\ 17:14, 42:2, 46:24\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{coming} [4] - 6:20, 7:3, \\ 16:14, 17:14 \\ \textbf{commencing} [1] - 1:7 \\ \textbf{comment} [9] - 8:4, \\ 12:24, 16:8, 23:17, \\ \end{array}$
ball [1] - 49:6 ball-peen [1] - 49:6 based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 basing [1] - 65:19 bathroom [1] - 30:1 bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24 Beach [1] - 68:23 beach [1] - 69:17 becomes [2] - 27:20, 27:22 bedroom [1] - 30:21 below [4] - 16:13, 16:23, 19:7, 21:4 best [3] - 3:4, 44:9, 58:22 better [2] - 6:24, 36:9	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 30:10, 50:12, 56:14, 50:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 50:10, 50:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 50:10, 50:12, 50:14, 50:20, 50:24, 57:2, 58:11, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 50:20, 50:21, 50:20, 50,	$\begin{array}{c} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks} [1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{circa} [1] - 61:22\\ \textbf{circuits} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 1:1\\ \textbf{City} [6] - 60:11, 60:17,\\ 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed} [1] - 41:4\\ \textbf{claims} [1] - 40:22\\ \textbf{clarification} [2] - 5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify} [1] - 26:3\\ \textbf{clear} [5] - 10:14, 30:2,\\ 30:4, 44:6, 48:15\\ \textbf{clearer} [1] - 6:25\\ \textbf{client} [1] - 34:2\\ \textbf{close} [10] - 9:25,\\ 10:22, 22:21, 24:10,\\ 32:1, 35:24, 36:6,\\ 54:18, 62:18, 67:22\\ \textbf{closel} [1] - 46:18\\ \textbf{closer} [4] - 14:6,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{commencing} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{commencing} [1] - 1:7 \\ \textbf{comment} [9] - 8:4, \\ 12:24, 16:8, 23:17, \\ 46:10, 56:18, 58:7, \\ \end{array}$
$\ball [1] - 49:6 \\ball-peen [1] - 49:6 \\based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 \\basing [1] - 65:19 \\bathroom [1] - 30:1 \\bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 \\Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24 \\Beach [1] - 68:23 \\beach [1] - 69:17 \\becomes [2] - 27:20, 27:22 \\bedroom [1] - 30:21 \\below [4] - 16:13, 16:23, 19:7, 21:4 \\best [3] - 3:4, 44:9, 58:22 \\better [2] - 6:24, 36:9 \\between [7] - 17:7, \\\end {abs}{bas} = 10.5, $	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 63:10, 63:14, 63:19, 63:23, 56:19, 56:24, 57:2, 56:4, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 63:10, 63:14, 63:19, 63:23, 56: 56:24, 57:2, 56:24, 57:2, 56:24, 57:2, 56:26, 5	$\begin{array}{l} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks} [1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{circa} [1] - 61:22\\ \textbf{circuits} [1] - 12:2\\ \textbf{CITY} [1] - 1:1\\ \textbf{City} [6] - 60:11, 60:17,\\ 63:24, 68:16, 68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed} [1] - 41:4\\ \textbf{claims} [1] - 40:22\\ \textbf{clarification} [2] - 5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify} [1] - 26:3\\ \textbf{clear} [5] - 10:14, 30:2,\\ 30:4, 44:6, 48:15\\ \textbf{cleare} [1] - 6:25\\ \textbf{client} [1] - 34:2\\ \textbf{close} [10] - 9:25,\\ 10:22, 22:21, 24:10,\\ 32:1, 35:24, 36:6,\\ 54:18, 62:18, 67:22\\ \textbf{closed} [1] - 44:16\\ \textbf{closely} [1] - 46:18\\ \textbf{closer} [4] - 14:6,\\ 17:14, 42:2, 46:24\\ \textbf{COA} [23] - 10:19,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{coming} [4] - 6:20, 7:3, \\ 16:14, 17:14 \\ \textbf{commenting} [1] - 1:7 \\ \textbf{comment} [9] - 8:4, \\ 12:24, 16:8, 23:17, \\ 46:10, 56:18, 58:7, \\ 58:10, 62:19 \\ \textbf{commercial} [2] - \\ \end{array}$
$\ball [1] - 49:6 \\ball-peen [1] - 49:6 \\based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 \\basing [1] - 65:19 \\bathroom [1] - 30:1 \\bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 \\Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24 \\Beach [1] - 68:23 \\beach [1] - 69:17 \\becomes [2] - 27:20, 27:22 \\bedroom [1] - 30:21 \\below [4] - 16:13, 16:23, 19:7, 21:4 \\best [3] - 3:4, 44:9, 58:22 \\better [2] - 6:24, 36:9 \\between [7] - 17:7, 21:3, 21:19, 23:20, \end {abs}{bas} \beach [3] - 3:21 \\bed \beach [3] - 3:4, 44:9, 58:22 \\better [2] - 6:24, 36:9 \\between [7] - 17:7, 21:3, 21:19, 23:20, \end {abs}{bas} \beach [3] - 3:21 \\beach [3] - 3:4, 44:9, 58:22 \\beach [3] - 3:4, 44:9 \\beac$	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 63:10, 63:14, 63:19, 63:23, 66:12, 66:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 66:14, 66:18, 66:12, 66:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 66:12, 56:14, 66:18, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:18, 56:12, 56:12, 56:14, 56:18, 56:12	$\begin{array}{l} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \textbf{clear}[5]-10:14,30:2,\\ 30:4,44:6,48:15\\ \textbf{cleare}[1]-6:25\\ \textbf{client}[1]-34:2\\ \textbf{close}[10]-9:25,\\ 10:22,22:21,24:10,\\ 32:1,35:24,36:6,\\ 54:18,62:18,67:22\\ \textbf{closed}[1]-44:16\\ \textbf{closely}[1]-46:18\\ \textbf{closer}[4]-14:6,\\ 17:14,42:2,46:24\\ \textbf{COA}[23]-10:19,\\ 11:19,16:14,19:12,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{coming} [4] - 6:20, 7:3, \\ 16:14, 17:14 \\ \textbf{commenting} [1] - 1:7 \\ \textbf{comment} [9] - 8:4, \\ 12:24, 16:8, 23:17, \\ 46:10, 56:18, 58:7, \\ 58:10, 62:19 \\ \textbf{commercial} [2] - \\ 59:13, 59:17 \\ \end{array}$
$\ball [1] - 49:6 \\ball-peen [1] - 49:6 \\based [5] - 19:5, 28:5, 28:19, 33:8, 37:12 \\basing [1] - 65:19 \\bathroom [1] - 30:1 \\bay [12] - 12:23, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:17, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 62:3 \\Bay [8] - 59:4, 59:8, 59:11, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 63:22, 63:24 \\Beach [1] - 68:23 \\beach [1] - 69:17 \\becomes [2] - 27:20, 27:22 \\bedroom [1] - 30:21 \\below [4] - 16:13, 16:23, 19:7, 21:4 \\best [3] - 3:4, 44:9, 58:22 \\better [2] - 6:24, 36:9 \\between [7] - 17:7, \\\end {abs}{bas} = 10.5, $	$\begin{array}{c} 64:9,\ 64:24,\ 65:22,\\ 66:6\\ \textbf{built}\ [1]\ -\ 65:8\\ \textbf{bunch}\ [1]\ -\ 29:12\\ \textbf{business}\ [2]\ -\ 56:16,\\ 56:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	31:20, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 33:12, 33:21, 34:10, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:21, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:3, 39:7, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 39:17, 39:19, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 40:7, 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:7, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, 53:23, 54:13, 54:24, 55:2, 55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 63:10, 63:14, 63:19, 63:23, 66:12, 66:14, 66:18, 66:24, 67:10, 67:12, 56:12, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 58:21, 59:3, 60:20, 60:22, 61:3, 62:6, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 62:18, 62:24, 63:2, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 63:10, 63:14, 63:19, 63:23, 66:12, 66:14, 66:18, 66:24, 67:10, 67:12, 56:24, 57:10, 57:12, 56:25, 56:25, 56:25, 56:25, 56:25, 56:24, 57:10, 57:12, 56:25,	$\begin{array}{l} 69:10\\ \textbf{chunks}[1]-29:14\\ \textbf{circa}[1]-61:22\\ \textbf{circuits}[1]-12:2\\ \textbf{CITY}[1]-1:1\\ \textbf{City}[6]-60:11,60:17,\\ 63:24,68:16,68:22,\\ 68:24\\ \textbf{claimed}[1]-41:4\\ \textbf{claims}[1]-40:22\\ \textbf{clarification}[2]-5:19,\\ 39:15\\ \textbf{clarify}[1]-26:3\\ \textbf{clear}[5]-10:14,30:2,\\ 30:4,44:6,48:15\\ \textbf{cleare}[1]-6:25\\ \textbf{client}[1]-34:2\\ \textbf{close}[10]-9:25,\\ 10:22,22:21,24:10,\\ 32:1,35:24,36:6,\\ 54:18,62:18,67:22\\ \textbf{close}[1]-44:16\\ \textbf{closey}[1]-46:18\\ \textbf{closer}[4]-14:6,\\ 17:14,42:2,46:24\\ \textbf{COA}[23]-10:19,\\ 11:19,16:14,19:12,\\ 19:24,22:3,23:8,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{COA-21-25269} [1] - \\ 5:4 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25272} [1] - \\ 5:5 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25278} [4] - \\ 3:24, 4:20, 10:17, \\ 10:25 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25317} [7] - \\ 4:13, 4:15, 11:21, \\ 12:12, 34:24, 36:2, \\ 40:8 \\ \textbf{COA-21-25318} [1] - \\ 5:6 \\ \textbf{COAs} [4] - 5:13, 9:11, \\ 9:23, 29:12 \\ \textbf{code} [1] - 30:20 \\ \textbf{collar} [2] - 30:11 \\ \textbf{color} [1] - 32:21 \\ \textbf{combined} [1] - 51:4 \\ \textbf{coming} [4] - 6:20, 7:3, \\ 16:14, 17:14 \\ \textbf{commenting} [1] - 1:7 \\ \textbf{comment} [9] - 8:4, \\ 12:24, 16:8, 23:17, \\ 46:10, 56:18, 58:7, \\ 58:10, 62:19 \\ \textbf{commercial} [2] - \\ \end{array}$

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

1:3, 3:17, 3:19, 10:6,	11:10, 11:12, 34:11,	constructed [2] -	D	determined [1] - 64:15
10:8, 15:13, 23:4,	34:17, 39:11, 39:13,	42:18, 61:22	U	Development [7] -
28:23, 31:19, 43:4,	39:22, 39:24, 55:20,	construction [3] -	Dancy [7] - 5:6, 11:21,	1:18, 1:18, 1:19,
60:21, 62:8, 66:13,	56:6, 56:10, 56:12,	8:19, 12:13, 50:23	12:17, 25:22, 26:2,	1:19, 1:20, 2:10,
67:11	63:4, 63:6, 63:8,	contemporaneous [1]	26:4, 40:9	2:13
Commission [11] -	68:4, 68:8, 68:10,	- 65:9	DATED [1] - 70:15	deviate [1] - 55:17
1:14, 1:15, 1:15,	68:12	context [1] - 45:20	DAVIS [1] - 1:14	Devin [1] - 46:14
1:16, 2:5, 43:13,	commissioners [5] -	continues [1] - 32:22	deal [2] - 19:19, 31:11	Diane [3] - 1:9, 70:7,
57:19, 58:8, 64:4,	4:2, 4:9, 4:16, 44:13,	continuity [1] - 36:7	dealing [1] - 22:15	70:18
64:13, 68:21	55:6	continuous [1] - 52:3	deals [1] - 14:18	dictate [1] - 21:6
COMMISSIONER [111]	common [1] - 42:4	contrary [1] - 20:8	decide [2] - 24:18,	difference [3] - 52:6,
- 2:16, 2:18, 2:21,	compared [1] - 53:4	contributing [7] -	24:22	52:10, 53:1
2:23, 2:25, 3:13,	comparison [1] - 55:7	17:11, 40:21, 41:15,	defer [3] - 24:16,	differences [2] -
3:15, 4:12, 4:18, 8:7,	compatible [1] - 14:24	42:16, 42:19, 45:17,	25:13, 25:16	29:18, 65:12
10:2, 10:4, 10:24,	complement [1] -	52:3	deferred [6] - 4:4,	different [11] - 28:1,
11:1, 11:5, 11:7, 11:9, 11:11, 11:13,	36:20	conventional [1] -	22:14, 25:4, 25:19,	29:14, 30:17, 32:20,
12:1, 13:24, 15:1,	complementary [2] -	30:12	26:8, 26:12	43:14, 43:23, 52:8,
15:9, 18:5, 18:8,	14:9, 23:1	copy [1] - 46:13	Deferred [1] - 25:21	53:6, 53:7, 53:10
18:24, 19:16, 20:10,	complete [1] - 70:10	cord [1] - 30:12	deferring [1] - 25:10	difficulties [2] - 6:14,
20:12, 21:9, 21:15,	complies [2] - 61:9, 67:3	corner [4] - 12:19,	defining [1] - 27:12	59:9 diminish [1] - 27:14
21:16, 22:2, 22:6,	Complies [1] - 16:1	13:7, 31:6, 64:17	definitely [3] - 33:7,	
22:7, 22:19, 24:12,	comples [1] - 10.1 comply [1] - 8:18	correct [15] - 15:5,	36:11, 69:2	ding [1] - 49:6
24:15, 26:3, 32:3,	concept [1] - 47:13	15:9, 25:1, 26:6,	degree [1] - 22:24	direct [1] - 24:13 direction [1] - 8:1
32:6, 32:8, 32:11,	concern [1] - 12:3	30:18, 47:23, 48:2, 48:3, 48:7, 48:9,	Demetree [1] - 2:20	director [1] - 53:25
33:3, 33:14, 33:25,	concerns [1] - 4:17	48:10, 49:20, 51:7,	DEMETREE [1] - 1:13	discretion [1] - 24:19
34:12, 34:14, 34:16,	concur [3] - 14:22,	63:17	demo [1] - 44:15 demolished [1] -	discuss [3] - 4:13,
34:18, 34:20, 36:1,	33:4, 55:5	Council [3] - 60:17,	13:18	8:13, 36:4
36:3, 36:10, 36:24,	condition [10] - 8:18,	63:25, 68:16	demolition [2] - 49:23,	discussed [4] - 8:8,
37:20, 38:14, 38:21,	8:22, 8:23, 12:6,	Counsel [3] - 1:20,	64:12	25:10, 28:12, 64:6
39:2, 39:6, 39:8,	12:25, 13:4, 13:17,	2:15, 24:13	denial [4] - 28:6,	discussion [4] - 25:7,
39:10, 39:12, 39:14,	13:19, 19:5, 37:12	counter [1] - 33:15	28:19, 33:2, 57:7	33:15, 34:23, 64:13
39:18, 39:23, 39:25,	conditions [4] - 13:22,	counter-discussion	denied [2] - 34:22,	distinction [2] - 50:12,
40:2, 40:4, 40:6,	36:2, 41:21, 54:22	[1] - 33:15	56:15	52:6
46:11, 48:21, 48:25,	conflict [1] - 4:19	COUNTY [1] - 70:4	deny [4] - 32:4, 32:6,	distinguished [2] -
49:7, 49:9, 49:13,	conflicted [1] - 11:13	couple [5] - 14:5,	54:4, 54:21	60:12, 65:13
49:22, 50:6, 51:9, 52:5, 52:25, 54:21,	conjecture [2] - 44:21,	29:14, 29:15, 43:14,	Department [1] - 69:1	distributed [1] - 51:23
54:23, 54:25, 55:3,	44:23	43:17	Department's [1] -	district [2] - 31:12,
55:21, 55:24, 56:2,	connected [1] - 27:18	course [2] - 19:24,	2:10	57:23
56:5, 56:7, 56:9,	connecting [2] -	58:25	Dept [5] - 1:18, 1:18,	documents [1] - 43:13
56:11, 56:13, 62:21,	27:17, 33:4	Cove [1] - 6:8	1:19, 1:19, 1:20	dominant [2] - 27:8,
62:23, 63:1, 63:3,	consent [22] - 3:22,	covers [3] - 32:23,	depth [1] - 59:15	32:25
63:5, 63:7, 63:9,	3:23, 4:1, 4:8, 4:15,	63:19, 63:20	design [11] - 12:23,	Don [3] - 6:7, 7:9, 8:25
63:11, 63:15, 67:24,	4:23, 5:16, 7:11,	created [1] - 47:17	13:3, 13:9, 13:12,	done [1] - 41:5
68:2, 68:5, 68:7,	7:25, 8:3, 8:4, 8:5,	creating [1] - 27:6	13:13, 21:3, 23:17,	door [10] - 40:24, 41:6,
68:9, 68:11, 68:13,	8:14, 9:18, 9:23, 10:3, 10:9, 10:16,	criteria [9] - 33:19,	27:8, 36:8, 36:17,	42:20, 44:8, 49:16,
69:9, 69:12	10.3, 10.9, 10.16, 11:20, 18:15, 25:1,	42:19, 60:6, 60:16, 65:15, 65:18, 65:24,	42:24	50:3, 50:7, 50:10,
Commissioner [26] -	25:5	66:3, 66:7	designation [4] -	52:9, 53:2
10:12, 11:6, 11:8,	consider [2] - 23:21,	Criteria [2] - 59:25,	59:11, 64:8, 65:2,	doors [2] - 35:12, 53:4
11:16, 12:24, 20:11,	24:17	60:3	65:20	dormer [13] - 14:11,
33:4, 34:13, 34:15, 34:10, 37:2, 37:21	considerably [1] -	crossed [1] - 37:1	designations [1] - 60:4	20:21, 22:21, 26:19, 27:20, 28:11, 28:14,
34:19, 37:2, 37:21, 20:5, 20:7, 20:0	21:1	crowded [1] - 36:18	designed [1] - 9:2	28:15, 31:5, 32:16,
39:5, 39:7, 39:9, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5	considering [1] -	curiosity [1] - 22:21	designed [1] - 9.2 desire [1] - 33:16	36:6, 37:8, 37:23
40:1, 40:3, 40:5, 50:11, 51:10, 51:21,	49:16	curious [2] - 57:8,	detached [6] - 13:6,	dormers [12] - 15:4,
56:4, 56:8, 62:25,	consistent [2] - 41:14,	58:2	13:7, 41:11, 42:4,	15:6, 26:24, 27:1,
63:2, 68:6	41:17	current [1] - 21:3	49:17, 54:10	27:5, 27:7, 27:11,
commissioner [25] -	consisting [1] - 10:16	cutting [1] - 47:15	detail [1] - 61:17	27:12, 27:16, 33:5,
2:17, 2:19, 2:22,	consolidating [1] -		detailing [1] - 13:14	34:8, 37:7
2:24, 3:1, 11:4,	36:14		details [1] - 60:15	double [2] - 17:9,
	M Trania Ing D		⊂ I	200.02

-Diang M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonvillg', FL 32203-(904) 821-0300

56:17	29:19	facing [28] - 13:8,	38:6	23:22, 27:22
double-check [1] -	end [1] - 6:16	14:19, 38:23, 40:20,	forms [1] - 33:20	gabled [1] - 26:22
56:17	engages [1] - 58:8	45:9, 45:11, 45:12,	forward [33] - 22:17,	gables [1] - 14:19
down [3] - 14:5, 17:10,	enjoyed [1] - 69:5	45:14, 46:1, 47:23,	24:21, 24:23, 40:10,	garage [71] - 12:4,
50:1	entertain [10] - 5:9,	48:1, 48:5, 48:11,	41:18, 42:1, 45:9,	12:14, 12:15, 12:20,
downtown [3] - 59:13,	10:1, 10:23, 24:11,	48:13, 49:11, 49:15,	45:11, 45:12, 45:14,	12:21, 12:22, 13:15,
59:18, 59:21	32:1, 35:25, 54:19,	49:17, 49:19, 50:19,	45:15, 46:1, 47:23,	13:20, 13:22, 17:12,
drafted [2] - 22:18,	62:4, 62:20, 67:23	51:8, 52:7, 52:19,	48:1, 48:5, 48:6,	18:10, 19:3, 20:16,
26:11	entire [2] - 14:12,	52:23, 52:24, 53:9,	48:11, 48:13, 49:11,	20:21, 21:11, 31:9,
drawing [3] - 32:13,	32:24	55:13	49:15, 49:17, 49:19,	33:6, 34:23, 35:12,
37:24, 49:24	entrances [1] - 41:22	fact [2] - 29:11, 43:19	50:19, 51:8, 52:1,	36:22, 38:1, 38:5,
drawings [2] - 29:19,	ERIK [1] - 1:14	factor [2] - 18:18,	52:23, 52:24, 60:17,	38:23, 40:20, 40:24,
44:14	Erik [2] - 2:21, 69:15	18:23	64:21, 66:5	41:1, 41:4, 41:6,
drawn [1] - 52:11	Ernest [1] - 5:3	fairly [1] - 36:17	forward-facing [18] -	41:11, 41:25, 42:5,
Drive [1] - 61:6	especially [1] - 44:15	false [1] - 41:19	45:9, 45:11, 45:12,	42:20, 43:21, 44:2,
drive [1] - 54:7	essence [5] - 26:14,	far [4] - 36:7, 55:6,	45:14, 46:1, 47:23,	44:8, 44:11, 44:19,
driveway [4] - 41:8,	59:12, 59:17, 65:1,	55:7, 69:3	48:1, 48:5, 48:11,	45:1, 45:9, 45:10,
42:8, 42:10, 42:11	66:1	faux [1] - 37:5	48:13, 49:11, 49:15,	46:1, 47:8, 47:14,
driving [2] - 18:18,	estate [8] - 46:6,	favor [5] - 3:16, 10:5,	49:17, 49:19, 50:19,	48:6, 48:9, 48:13,
18:22	46:10, 47:1, 47:2,	11:2, 62:24, 68:3	51:8, 52:23	48:19, 48:23, 49:11,
during [2] - 64:12,	47:4, 47:13, 47:18,	feasible [1] - 51:17	forwardmost [1] -	49:12, 49:15, 49:19,
64:22	51:5	feature [2] - 27:12,	52:19	49:21, 50:3, 50:4,
DUVAL [1] - 70:4	ex [1] - 4:9	42:19	forwardmost-facing	50:7, 50:15, 50:19,
Duval [4] - 64:2, 64:8,	ex-parte [1] - 4:9	feet [14] - 5:21, 7:13,	[1] - 52:19	51:8, 51:15, 51:25,
67:25, 68:16	exact [1] - 18:17	9:5, 18:9, 18:11,	four [2] - 65:24, 65:25	52:7, 52:11, 52:20,
	exactly [1] - 57:17	18:20, 18:21, 19:11,	FPR [1] - 1:9	52:23, 55:15, 57:10,
E	exceed [1] - 20:5	19:23, 21:20, 21:21,	frame [1] - 30:12	57:12, 58:4
	excellent [1] - 65:23	38:8, 55:9	frequently [1] - 42:23	garage-side [1] - 33:6
early [1] - 59:23	excuse [2] - 5:9, 11:3	few [3] - 29:11, 55:9,	front [53] - 8:22,	garages [7] - 13:6,
east [1] - 7:15	executive [1] - 53:25	66:11	14:19, 26:23, 27:21,	47:5, 47:18, 47:21, 49:17, 51:5, 55:13
East [11] - 4:6, 5:3,	existing [28] - 12:15,	final [1] - 38:18	28:17, 31:4, 31:5,	
59:11, 63:12, 63:16,	12:20, 13:15, 16:12,	fine [2] - 25:6, 55:24	32:17, 38:1, 41:1,	Garages [1] - 42:22 General [2] - 1:20,
63:22, 63:23, 64:2,	16:13, 16:15, 16:23,	Fire [2] - 59:20, 59:22	41:7, 41:25, 42:1,	2:14
64:8, 67:25, 68:15	17:4, 17:16, 18:20,	firm [1] - 4:21	42:14, 43:20, 45:25, 46:1, 46:3, 46:17,	general [4] - 13:9,
eastern [1] - 5:20	26:24, 28:11, 32:12,	first [6] - 4:8, 11:19,	46:18, 46:23, 46:17,	13:13, 13:19, 65:15
easy [1] - 24:6	37:25, 38:3, 38:6,	24:12, 39:17, 43:15,	40:18, 40:23, 40:24, 47:5, 47:6, 47:7,	generally [2] - 59:25,
Edgewood [1] - 4:7	38:12, 38:25, 40:18,	64:6	47:18, 48:5, 48:13,	65:22
effects [1] - 59:22	41:7, 41:8, 42:3,	fitted [1] - 9:2	48:18, 48:23, 49:21,	Gilmore [2] - 4:24,
effort [1] - 69:7	42:8, 46:19, 50:2,	five [1] - 65:24	50:10, 50:16, 51:8.	4:25
either [2] - 21:3, 38:8	52:2, 54:7, 57:9	flat [1] - 65:8	51:15, 51:18, 52:7,	given [1] - 55:16
elaborate [1] - 46:11	exists [1] - 37:16	flipping [1] - 34:24	52:10, 52:12, 52:14,	GLOBER [9] - 1:16,
electively [1] - 43:1	explain [3] - 17:24, 20:15, 20:17	floor [5] - 30:22, 31:16 33:17 44:12	52:15, 52:17, 52:21,	2:23, 11:11, 34:18,
element [8] - 14:12, 14:19, 35:15, 38:8,	29:15, 29:17	31:16, 33:17, 44:12, 48:14	52:24, 53:3, 55:9,	39:12, 40:4, 56:11,
14:19, 35:15, 38:8, 38:11, 38:24, 50:9,	extend [1] - 16:17	Florida [5] - 1:9, 1:10,	55:13, 55:15, 58:24,	63:7, 68:11
52:19	extending [1] - 52:7 extension [1] - 52:15	15:23, 70:7, 70:18	62:2	Glober [8] - 2:23,
elements [1] - 13:10		FLORIDA [1] - 70:3	front-facing [5] -	11:10, 34:17, 39:11,
elevation [10] - 14:4,	eye [1] - 57:15	flush [1] - 41:6	14:19, 46:1, 52:7,	40:3, 56:10, 63:6,
14:7, 23:20, 31:4,	F	follow [1] - 57:14	52:24, 55:13	68:10
32:13, 32:18, 37:24,	Г	follow-on [1] - 57:14	fronts [1] - 14:2	goal [1] - 9:8
38:1, 38:23	fabric [3] - 51:3, 52:4,	follows [1] - 21:17	full [4] - 20:16, 20:21,	gotcha [1] - 18:7
elevation's [1] - 42:14	62:2	foot [3] - 30:13, 30:24	20:24, 51:18	granted [1] - 47:12
elevational [1] - 38:7	facade [5] - 45:15,	foregoing [2] - 69:20,	fun [2] - 69:5, 69:11	Great [2] - 59:19,
elevations [2] - 64:16,	46:24, 48:6, 52:1,	70:9	function [1] - 47:12	59:22
65:5	52:24	forensics [1] - 44:10	fuzzy [3] - 5:24, 6:20,	great [5] - 7:7, 8:6,
Elizabeth [1] - 5:1	facades [1] - 41:22	form [15] - 14:21, 17:8,	7:3	16:8, 24:5, 56:24
embedded [1] - 20:20	face [4] - 14:2, 52:1,	17:13, 17:17, 26:23,		ground [1] - 44:5
emergency [1] - 3:9	52:24, 64:17	27:3, 27:8, 27:10,	G	growing [1] - 37:7
employ [1] - 37:3	faces [2] - 12:17,	27:15, 27:19, 28:4,	nahla m. 00.00	guaranteed [1] - 53:8
encountered [1] -	12:18	28:20, 31:11, 32:25,	gable [3] - 23:20,	guess [5] - 8:12,
0.	M Tronia Inc D			

-Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203-(904) 821-0300

14:13, 20:9, 36:15,	highest [1] - 19:10	50:24	internal [3] - 50:4,	keep [5] - 3:4, 18:12,
36:21	hip [1] - 14:22		65:7, 65:10	31:14, 35:10, 51:5
guidelines [3] - 13:12,	Historic [2] - 2:5,		internally [1] - 27:18	keeping [1] - 19:22
27:8, 42:21	40:16		interrupt [1] - 47:8	KELLY [1] - 1:18
guys [7] - 17:20, 20:6,	HISTORIC [1] - 1:2	ICI [2] - 6:8, 7:10	introduce [1] - 38:11	Kelly [1] - 68:25
61:18, 61:19, 68:21,	historic [11] - 2:10,	idea [6] - 17:21, 20:2,	introductions [1] - 2:6	keyed [1] - 60:20
69:3, 69:7	28:8, 28:20, 31:12,	24:5, 31:10, 44:25,	ish [1] - 50:23	kind [47] - 5:13, 7:23,
00.0, 00.1	40:24, 41:4, 41:15,	51:4		16:24, 17:6, 17:7,
н		ideally [1] - 30:24	issue [3] - 7:14, 43:18,	17:25, 18:16, 20:7,
п	42:21, 45:8, 57:23,	III [1] - 1:13	57:24	
half [5] - 3:3, 20:13,	60:10	image [1] - 37:22	Item [2] - 4:13, 4:19	20:8, 20:13, 26:22,
21:10, 21:11, 29:21	historical [2] - 52:2,		item [11] - 22:14, 25:1,	29:10, 29:11, 29:13,
half-in-person/half-	52:4	impact [4] - 12:7,	25:3, 25:4, 25:6,	29:15, 29:20, 29:21,
	historically [4] - 45:1,	28:6, 28:20, 60:10	25:11, 25:12, 26:8,	29:23, 30:4, 30:14,
Zoom [1] - 3:3	47:17, 49:21, 50:16	importance [1] - 17:25	26:10, 29:5, 64:5	30:15, 30:16, 30:20,
half-story [1] - 29:21	history [3] - 29:8,	important [9] - 17:18,	Items [1] - 25:21	31:5, 31:6, 32:15,
hammer [1] - 49:6	41:19, 59:21	32:14, 32:21, 34:2,	items [2] - 4:4, 12:2	35:11, 35:12, 35:13,
hand [5] - 3:8, 15:25,	hit [1] - 17:16	45:2, 45:4, 45:21,		35:16, 36:25, 44:9,
56:23, 61:8, 67:2	home [13] - 7:13,	46:7, 61:24	J	45:3, 45:4, 45:6,
handout [1] - 46:20	14:12, 37:25, 38:3,	improvement [1] -		45:7, 45:19, 47:4,
handouts [2] - 43:11,	38:6, 38:12, 38:25,	36:11	J.C [1] - 2:20	47:9, 47:15, 47:17,
43:15	41:7, 41:16, 42:1,	inaudible [2] - 20:1,	JACK [1] - 1:13	49:5, 51:4, 58:23
hands [6] - 31:24,	42:19, 50:8, 50:9	21:20	JACKSONVILLE [1] -	kitchen [1] - 48:16
54:17, 62:17, 64:22,	homeowner [1] - 57:4	inaudible) [2] - 6:12,	1:1	knowing [1] - 42:17
67:21, 69:4	Homes [2] - 6:8, 7:10	8:24	Jacksonville [10] -	
happy [4] - 13:23,	Hoover [1] - 66:21	inboard [1] - 50:9	1:7, 1:8, 2:5, 7:10,	L
28:18, 60:18, 66:10	HOOVER [3] - 67:3,	inches [5] - 16:23,	15:23, 59:14, 59:21,	
hard [3] - 5:23, 7:24,	67:8, 67:14	20:1, 21:21, 21:23,	61:6, 61:25, 68:22	landmark [11] - 59:4,
33:10	hoping [1] - 61:19	38:8	job [1] - 68:22	59:8, 59:10, 60:4,
hardware [1] - 61:23	house [65] - 7:17, 9:1,	include [1] - 65:3	John [12] - 15:22,	61:20, 62:14, 62:22,
Hartley [1] - 66:21	9:5, 12:17, 12:18,	included [1] - 26:5	18:4, 18:6, 19:17,	64:1, 64:8, 65:2,
headers [1] - 23:20	14:4, 14:8, 15:4,	includes [1] - 13:10	22:15, 22:20, 23:3,	67:25
hear [4] - 6:2, 6:23,	16:23, 17:11, 18:10,	incorporate [1] -	29:1, 31:18, 35:6,	landmarked [1] -
7:1, 57:1	18:13, 19:20, 20:4,	65:21	53:12, 55:1	64:18
Heard [1] - 25:21	20:13, 20:19, 21:7,	incorporated [1] -	Jones [3] - 23:11,	landmarking [3] -
heard [3] - 26:10,	26:18, 27:19, 27:21,	66:6	53:22, 53:25	64:24, 65:16, 67:17
29:5, 33:16	27:23, 28:7, 28:21,	incorrect [2] - 63:13,	JONES [2] - 23:14,	landscaping [1] - 42:7
hearing [20] - 3:20,	32:24, 34:9, 36:21,	63:16	53:24	language [1] - 14:17
5:10, 5:11, 7:24,	42:6, 42:9, 43:21,	increase [10] - 16:11,	jump [1] - 14:24	lap [1] - 13:10
10:1, 10:9, 10:18,	44:1, 44:4, 44:7,	16:15, 16:21, 19:9,	Janub [1]= .	laptop [2] - 6:21, 6:22
10:21, 10:22, 15:15,	45:12, 45:14, 45:16,	19:13, 19:25, 21:22,	К	large [4] - 26:23,
24:10, 28:25, 32:1,	45:25, 46:15, 46:18,	29:20, 34:7, 35:9	n –	32:14, 32:23, 48:17
35:1, 35:25, 43:6,	46:21, 47:25, 48:4,	increasing [1] - 17:20	KASPER [29] - 1:14,	Large [1] - 1:10
54:19, 60:23, 66:15,	48:12, 48:23, 49:5,	indicating [3] - 15:17,	2:21, 4:12, 10:4,	last [5] - 25:1, 37:22,
67:23	50:17, 50:20, 50:23,	20:11, 28:16	11:1, 11:7, 12:1,	58:17, 68:20, 68:23
height [19] - 13:1,	51:16, 51:18, 51:22,	indicating) [6] - 12:16,	13:24, 18:24, 20:12,	late [1] - 26:9
16:15, 16:18, 16:21,	51:23, 52:8, 52:15,	13:16, 26:24, 28:12,	32:8, 32:11, 33:14,	Laura [1] - 1:8
16:22, 18:19, 19:1,	52:16, 52:18, 52:22,	45:16, 65:6	34:14, 36:3, 37:20,	law [1] - 4:21
19:6, 19:13, 19:25,	53:4, 54:8, 55:7,	individual [1] - 50:17	38:14, 38:21, 39:8,	layout [2] - 55:17,
20:4, 21:4, 27:1,	55:10, 55:14, 57:25	industry [1] - 50.17	39:25, 49:7, 49:22,	57:25
28:1, 33:17, 34:8,	house-ish [1] - 50:23	• • • •	50:6, 56:7, 62:23,	leading [1] - 50:1
37:23, 41:13, 41:17	houses [16] - 29:22,	inner [1] - 41:1	63:3, 68:2, 68:7,	leads [1] - 54:8
held [1] - 1:6	46:16, 46:22, 46:25,	inside [3] - 28:10,	69:12	
help [3] - 29:14, 37:4,	40.10, 40.22, 40.23, 47:20, 47:22, 48:7,	46:13, 51:15	Kasper [11] - 2:21,	least [2] - 38:7, 64:4
37:15	49:16, 51:24, 52:9,	insignificant [2] -	11:6, 33:4, 34:13,	leave [2] - 38:9, 46:7
		42:24, 42:25	39:7, 39:24, 50:12,	leaving [1] - 3:24
helpful [1] - 38:4	52:13, 52:14, 53:2, 53:5, 55:12	instead [1] - 37:8	51:10, 56:6, 63:2,	led [1] - 21:18
helps [2] - 36:15,	53:5, 55:12	interest [1] - 7:12	68:6	left [6] - 34:23, 47:21,
36:23	Howell [1] - 4:20	interested [1] - 64:23	Kasper's [1] - 12:24	48:8, 62:3, 64:18,
high [2] - 27:6	HPC [1] - 45:8	interesting [1] - 29:6	KEALEY [1] - 1:20	67:20
higher [3] - 14:23,	huge [1] - 47:14	Interior [3] - 27:9,		less [5] - 8:2, 9:5,
27:13, 34:9	hundred [2] - 44:20,	34:5, 41:21	Kealey [1] - 2:14	13:1, 42:25, 65:11
	■ <u>.</u> .			

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

Letter [1] - 60:9	12:25, 37:8, 37:9	22:4, 24:16, 60:15,	35:25, 36:1, 38:16,	necessary [1] - 43:1
letting [1] - 29:4	low-sloped [1] - 21:5	68:21	38:22, 39:4, 39:16,	need [10] - 5:25, 7:4,
level [3] - 8:20, 19:10,		meets [5] - 42:18,	39:21, 54:20, 54:21,	9:14, 33:16, 38:15,
44:5	Μ	60:8, 60:9, 65:17,	62:20, 67:23, 67:24	38:19, 42:11, 58:12,
Library [1] - 1:7	IVI	66:6	Motion [1] - 62:21	62:10, 67:13
likely [1] - 44:11	ma'am [1] - 18:14	MEMBER [6] - 5:15,	move [13] - 3:21, 9:6,	needs [2] - 8:14, 60:6
line [3] - 7:14, 26:20,	Magnolia [1] - 6:8	6:2, 6:7, 6:10, 61:1,	11:18, 24:21, 34:23,	negative [2] - 22:1,
27:6	MAIJU [1] - 1:15	66:20	40:10, 56:16, 56:20,	49:6
LISA [1] - 1:19	Maiju [3] - 2:25, 55:22,	member [2] - 60:25,	59:3, 60:17, 63:24,	negatively [1] - 13:4
Lisa [1] - 2:9	55:25	66:17	64:1, 68:16	neighborhood [5] -
list [1] - 3:25	main [23] - 15:3,	Member [4] - 1:14,	moved [1] - 64:21	14:10, 29:23, 45:8,
listed [1] - 54:4	16:19, 17:1, 17:22,	1:15, 1:15, 1:16	moving [3] - 22:17,	45:10, 52:4
	18:1, 18:10, 18:12,	MEMBERS [24] - 3:17,	25:22, 66:5	neighboring [1] - 42:2
listening [1] - 37:2	19:1, 19:2, 19:11,	3:19, 9:24, 10:6,	MR [92] - 2:12, 6:12,	neighbors [1] - 57:25
liveable [5] - 29:24,	19:18, 19:20, 20:4,	10:8, 10:20, 15:13,	6:15, 6:21, 6:25, 7:4,	never [1] - 64:21
29:25, 30:17, 30:22,	20:13, 20:19, 21:7,	23:4, 23:9, 24:8,	7:8, 7:20, 8:3, 8:12,	new [13] - 12:13,
33:18	21:11, 26:19, 27:19,	28:23, 31:19, 31:22,	8:25, 9:9, 9:12, 9:14,	12:21, 13:22, 17:6,
living [1] - 12:23	32:15, 32:23, 34:9,	35:21, 43:4, 53:17,	9:19, 10:11, 11:23,	17:15, 18:1, 18:2,
LM [1] - 59:8	41:14	54:16, 56:19, 60:21,	12:5, 14:17, 15:6,	27:6, 41:22, 41:25,
LM-21-02 [1] - 59:10	maintain [3] - 9:3,	62:8, 62:15, 66:13,	15:17, 15:22, 16:1,	56:16, 56:20, 64:23
local [5] - 59:4, 62:14,	16:24, 31:16	67:11, 67:18	16:6, 16:8, 18:7,	next [12] - 22:4, 25:3,
62:22, 64:1, 67:25	majority [1] - 62:1	mention [1] - 49:24	18:14, 19:4, 19:8,	25:4, 25:7, 25:11,
located [3] - 26:1,	manner [1] - 45:11	mentioned [3] - 12:22,	20:2, 21:8, 21:13,	25:18, 48:8, 49:16,
42:6, 59:13	maps [4] - 44:18,	22:3, 49:2	21:25, 22:5, 22:11,	52:9, 52:13, 52:14,
location [1] - 13:9	46:15, 46:19, 51:22	met [4] - 13:12, 59:25,	22:23, 23:6, 23:10,	53:2 53:2
logic [1] - 50:4	March [2] - 3:12, 3:14	60:3, 65:23	23:14, 24:2, 24:5,	nice [1] - 40:14
LONG [1] - 1:20	maritime [1] - 61:23	microphone [1] - 6:19	24:25, 25:3, 25:23,	nicer [1] - 40.14
look [10] - 18:16, 31:3,	Martinage [2] - 61:2,	might [5] - 29:14,	26:7, 29:3, 31:15,	nobody [2] - 53:18,
32:12, 37:7, 44:14,	61:5	29:17, 35:12, 35:17,	31:24, 32:5, 35:6,	67:20
44:18, 48:14, 49:7,	MARTINAGE [5] -	66:23	35:23, 40:12, 43:10,	noncontributing [2] -
52:22	61:5, 61:9, 61:14,	mimics [1] - 38:25	43:14, 46:6, 46:12,	12:15, 13:16
looked [2] - 18:8, 49:4	61:16, 62:11	mind [2] - 37:1, 53:10	47:22, 48:3, 48:10,	
looking [5] - 17:8,	masonry [1] - 59:23	minimum [1] - 19:11	48:24, 49:3, 49:8,	none [3] - 3:20, 10:9,
26:21, 37:1, 53:6,	mass [1] - 50:10	minutes [3] - 3:12,	49:10, 49:18, 50:5,	10:21
67:19	masses [1] - 41:24	3:14, 5:14	50:11, 51:19, 52:17,	normal [2] - 6:19, 57:5
looks [2] - 44:10,	massing [2] - 8:10,	miss [1] - 69:10	53:8, 53:14, 53:21,	North [1] - 1:8
44:11	45:24	MMA-21-24910 [1] -	53:24, 54:17, 56:22,	Notary [1] - 1:9
Lopera [11] - 2:18,	massings [1] - 14:15	4:7	56:25, 57:1, 57:3,	noted [1] - 55:14
11:4, 20:11, 34:11,	match [10] - 14:21,	4.7 MMA-21-25218 [1] -	58:14, 58:18, 58:20,	notes [1] - 70:11
39:5, 39:22, 51:22,	•••	5:8	59:2, 59:5, 62:17,	nothing [5] - 16:4,
55:20, 56:4, 62:25,	17:13, 19:17, 19:20, 20:1, 20:3, 21:11,		63:17, 63:20, 64:3,	37:11, 61:12, 65:12,
68:4		model [1] - 38:6	67:3, 67:8, 67:14,	67:6
LOPERA [39] - 1:13,	52:1, 52:12, 53:3	modest [1] - 59:12	67:21, 68:18, 69:14	notice [1] - 17:4
2:18, 3:13, 8:7, 10:2,	matched [1] - 15:3	modifying [2] - 30:14	MS [12] - 2:9, 2:14,	noticed [2] - 26:11,
10:24, 11:5, 15:1,	matches [2] - 20:25, 21:6	moment [7] - 11:23,	24:19, 25:9, 38:17,	55:11
15:9, 19:16, 21:9,		23:3, 25:24, 37:1,	40:15, 58:6, 61:5,	notification [2] -
21:15, 32:3, 32:6,	matching [2] - 17:15, 22:22	59:6, 64:10, 68:19	61:9, 61:14, 61:16,	31:13, 46:5
33:25, 34:12, 36:1,		month [1] - 25:18	62:11	noting [1] - 26:25
39:2, 39:6, 39:23,	material [1] - 42:24	month's [1] - 22:4	Multipurpose [1] - 1:8	notion [1] - 38:2
46:11, 48:21, 48:25,	matrix [1] - 14:18	morningside [1] -		November [3] - 22:16,
49:9, 49:13, 51:9,	matter [1] - 58:10	47:3	Ν	26:8, 26:12
52:5, 52:25, 54:21,	max [1] - 2:23	Morningside [3] -		nowhere [1] - 49:14
54:25, 55:21, 56:5,	MAX [1] - 1:16	40:11, 40:17, 58:15	name [11] - 5:25, 6:4,	Number [6] - 3:25,
62:21, 63:1, 63:11,	mean [9] - 8:12, 8:21,	most [4] - 42:5, 57:20,	6:5, 6:7, 7:9, 15:20,	4:19, 4:23, 5:18,
63:15, 67:24, 68:5,	15:6, 16:20, 19:5,	64:4, 68:19	58:12, 58:17, 61:1,	7:12, 43:25
69:9	37:11, 37:12, 49:4,	mostly [1] - 65:7	61:3, 66:19	numbers [1] - 18:18
love [2] - 16:11, 20:2	55:8	motion [26] - 3:11,	narrow [1] - 27:20	
low [6] - 12:3, 12:25,	meet [5] - 17:17, 27:2,	3:13, 5:9, 10:1, 10:2,	nature [1] - 45:9	0
21:5, 36:23, 37:8,	36:6, 58:22, 60:6	10:4, 10:23, 10:24,	necessarily [2] - 12:7,	0 1 15 00
37:9	meeting [9] - 2:4, 3:3,	11:1, 24:11, 32:2,	58:7	Oak [1] - 15:22
low-pitched [3] -	3:12, 3:14, 10:14,	32:3, 32:4, 32:6,		observation [1] -
		act Office Bar 237		

I I Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

17:10	owners [1] - 29:9	37:8, 37:9, 65:5	president [2] - 6:8,	putting [4] - 17:5,
obviously [4] - 3:2,		pitches [3] - 14:15,	7:10	41:25, 43:20, 50:15
14:24, 34:2, 59:20	Р	14:16, 20:23	pretty [3] - 5:24, 6:15,	
odd [1] - 21:5	•	place [3] - 41:6, 49:18,	67:19	Q
OF [4] - 1:1, 70:1,	p.m [3] - 1:7, 2:1,	49:20	previous [1] - 26:17	~
70:3, 70:4	69:21	Place [1] - 5:1	previously [3] - 25:4,	questions [25] - 13:23,
Office [2] - 1:20, 2:14	packet [1] - 46:13	placement [1] - 41:22	40:23, 66:3	15:11, 18:3, 18:4,
often [1] - 42:22	Page [1] - 12:10	plan [3] - 12:16, 34:4,	Previously [1] - 25:21	23:3, 28:18, 28:22,
old [2] - 19:3, 42:25	pair [1] - 35:11	44:12	primary [19] - 12:17,	31:17, 31:18, 43:3,
one [36] - 3:24, 4:2,	pairs [1] - 36:14	plane [5] - 50:8, 50:20,	13:1, 13:2, 19:6,	46:9, 46:10, 47:19,
6:13, 8:8, 11:3, 14:5,	part [4] - 13:18, 20:15,	51:12, 51:15, 55:9	20:6, 21:24, 26:22,	48:20, 57:6, 58:23,
14:6, 20:13, 20:19,	36:16, 38:18	planes [4] - 52:13,	27:2, 27:5, 27:10,	60:18, 60:20, 62:4,
21:10, 21:11, 21:22,	parte [1] - 4:9	53:3, 53:6, 53:7	27:14, 27:15, 27:22,	62:6, 66:10, 66:12,
22:14, 24:18, 24:22,	particular [1] - 45:22	Planning [8] - 1:18,	28:3, 28:13, 32:15,	66:23, 67:10, 69:13
25:23, 35:1, 36:16,	particularly [2] -	1:18, 1:19, 1:19,	33:8, 43:19, 64:16	queuing [1] - 25:12
36:25, 37:3, 39:14,	27:10, 28:2	1:20, 2:9, 2:12, 69:1	principal [1] - 41:22	quick [4] - 2:7, 5:22, 25:10, 66:25
42:11, 42:20, 43:25,	partner [1] - 4:21	plans [2] - 44:15,	procedure [1] - 20:9	quite [2] - 17:16, 30:5
45:5, 45:13, 45:17,	past [2] - 15:2, 52:7	48:14	proceed [1] - 20:24	quite [2] - 17.10, 30.5
46:25, 51:1, 51:10,	Patrick [5] - 57:2,	plenty [1] - 9:2	proceedings [2] -	R
52:18, 56:23, 57:4, 59:24, 62:2, 63:21	58:11, 58:14, 58:16,	plugged [1] - 6:22	69:20, 70:9	П
	58:21	podium [7] - 15:19,	Proceedings [1] - 1:6	raise [6] - 3:7, 15:24,
one-and-a-half [1] - 20:13	paved [1] - 41:10	24:4, 29:2, 35:5,	process [4] - 18:17,	27:5, 27:25, 61:7,
one-and-a-half-story	peen [1] - 49:6	43:8, 60:25, 66:17	29:16, 30:14, 64:15	67:1
[2] - 21:10, 21:11	pending [1] - 58:9	point [11] - 7:12, 14:25, 15:8, 26:13,	Professional [2] - 70:7, 70:18	raised [3] - 56:23,
open [15] - 5:10, 5:11,	people [2] - 24:7, 25:11	27:7, 33:22, 42:20,	profile [1] - 38:2	62:17, 67:21
10:18, 15:15, 17:19,	per [3] - 41:20, 42:12,	44:16, 58:25, 59:21,	projected [1] - 22:3	raising [1] - 27:16
17:22, 28:24, 34:25,	54:22	68:19	projects [1] - 55:8	ramifications [1] -
35:16, 43:5, 44:2,	percent [2] - 44:20,	pointed [1] - 54:6	promise [1] - 64:25	30:25
44:3, 44:6, 60:23,	50:24	poor [1] - 13:17	properties [1] - 42:5	Randall [3] - 12:18,
66:14	perfect [3] - 38:5,	POPOLI [32] - 1:18,	property [14] - 6:11,	38:24, 38:25
opening [3] - 48:17,	46:12, 56:3	2:12, 6:15, 8:12,	6:12, 7:14, 14:1,	RAP [3] - 23:12, 53:22,
50:2, 50:3	period [4] - 30:6,	10:11, 11:23, 12:5,	29:12, 41:9, 41:10,	54:3
operating [1] - 20:8	45:18, 50:22, 66:2	14:17, 15:6, 19:4,	41:19, 42:12, 54:8,	rather [7] - 12:24,
opportunity [1] - 35:3	Perry [1] - 5:4	22:11, 23:10, 24:25,	54:11, 61:21, 64:21,	13:2, 13:6, 26:23,
opposed [2] - 3:18,	person/half [1] - 3:3	25:3, 25:23, 26:7,	64:22	51:17, 57:21, 57:22
10:7	personally [1] - 36:5	31:24, 35:23, 40:12,	proposal [1] - 27:4	read [2] - 9:23, 23:18
option [2] - 3:8, 3:9	Phelps [1] - 25:16	53:21, 54:17, 56:22,	proposed [2] - 12:21,	readily [1] - 41:12
order [6] - 7:11, 8:5,	phone [1] - 69:12	56:25, 58:20, 59:5,	13:22	real [4] - 2:7, 5:22,
9:18, 16:13, 24:23,	photos [2] - 33:8, 62:1	62:17, 63:17, 63:20,	prospect [1] - 57:8	25:10, 66:25 realized [1] - 33:6
38:18	pickle [1] - 21:2	64:3, 67:21, 68:18,	protrusion [1] - 52:20	really [12] - 14:16,
ordinance [1] - 65:18	picture [1] - 64:10	69:14	proved [1] - 57:11	18:25, 20:19, 29:6,
organized [1] - 69:3	pictures [7] - 13:19,	Popoli [1] - 2:12	proven [2] - 42:15,	29:19, 31:1, 44:22,
original [17] - 20:3, 23:16, 29:9, 30:3,	26:16, 26:18, 28:10,	portion [4] - 64:9, 64:24, 65:3, 65:7	43:22	48:15, 55:4, 55:16,
37:15, 40:23, 42:16,	32:14, 44:21, 64:25	possible [2] - 19:10,	public [19] - 5:10,	65:13, 69:5
44:7, 49:12, 62:2,	piece [1] - 45:24	57:6	5:11, 10:1, 10:18,	rear [8] - 14:1, 28:16,
65:2, 65:16, 65:18,	pinch [3] - 17:7, 31:6,	possibly [1] - 65:24	10:22, 15:15, 24:10, 28:25, 32:1, 34:25	41:9, 42:4, 42:9,
65:20, 66:6, 66:8	35:14	Post [4] - 5:2, 5:7,	28:25, 32:1, 34:25, 35:24, 43:6, 54:19,	54:8, 54:10
originally [2] - 43:22,	pitch [32] - 13:3, 14:23, 15:3, 16:11,	5:16, 7:8	56:18, 58:7, 60:23,	rear-detached [1] -
64:11	14.23, 15.3, 16.11, 16:12, 16:17, 16:22,	potential [1] - 51:11	62:19, 66:15, 67:23	42:4
ornate [1] - 65:11	17:12, 17:13, 17:17,	precedence [1] - 51:2	Public [2] - 1:7, 1:9	reason [5] - 17:24,
outside [3] - 27:19,	17:20, 19:10, 19:18,	PRESENT [2] - 1:12,	Pull [1] - 23:13	19:24, 26:17, 55:16,
30:25, 45:18	19:20, 20:3, 20:25,	1:17	pull [8] - 4:13, 4:15,	56:1
overall [2] - 13:11,	21:6, 21:19, 21:21,	Preservation [3] - 2:5,	7:24, 11:20, 11:24,	reasoning [1] - 34:7
13:21	21:22, 22:20, 27:6,	40:16, 54:1	12:8, 37:22, 64:9	reasons [2] - 43:23,
override [1] - 33:20	31:7, 34:9, 35:9,	PRESERVATION [1] -	put [10] - 4:18, 22:8,	54:4
overview [1] - 59:16	35:10, 36:6, 37:5,	1:2	37:5, 46:17, 47:14,	rebuilding [1] - 59:19
owner [5] - 4:20, 60:5,	37:6, 38:3, 38:7	preservation [2] -	49:15, 49:18, 51:7,	received [1] - 46:14
64:14, 64:19, 64:23	pitched [4] - 12:25,	2:10, 60:13	58:1, 69:7	recognize [1] - 27:24

Diang M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonvillg', FL 32203-(904) 821-0300

recollection [1] - 8:23	26:11, 29:4, 38:19,	26:22, 27:2, 27:5,	seem [3] - 22:9, 48:8,	49:10
recommend [1] -	40:13, 43:16, 43:17,	27:7, 27:8, 27:10,	57:16	size [2] - 44:2, 44:7
60:16	54:5, 66:8, 70:9	27:14, 27:15, 27:19,	sense [4] - 17:10,	skinnier [1] - 32:19
recommendation [6] -	REPORTER [10] -	27:22, 28:3, 28:13,	33:1, 33:23, 44:9	slant [1] - 36:23
19:8, 33:2, 33:11,	15:24, 16:2, 16:7,	28:20, 31:11, 32:15,	senses [2] - 48:18,	slide [1] - 14:3
54:3, 61:20, 65:19	61:7, 61:10, 61:15,	32:23, 34:9, 35:7,	49:1	slightly [1] - 65:11
recommended [1] -	67:1, 67:4, 67:9,	35:15, 36:23, 37:6,	sent [2] - 22:12, 26:9	slope [7] - 12:3, 12:6,
28:5	70:1	37:9, 41:13, 41:17,	separate [2] - 4:1,	14:8, 14:9, 14:11,
recommending [5] -	Reporter [2] - 70:8,	65:5, 65:8	26:5	18:19, 28:13
13:21, 28:19, 31:9,	70:18	roofline [1] - 38:24	sequestered [1] - 44:4	sloped [3] - 21:5,
66:4, 66:9	representative [1] -	roofs [1] - 13:11	set [9] - 18:15, 23:22,	23:21, 37:9
reconstruct [1] -	64:14	Room [1] - 1:8	32:20, 36:19, 44:3,	small [3] - 14:10,
51:13	represents [1] - 59:18	room [4] - 9:3, 41:10,	44:14, 45:20, 48:2,	14:12, 62:2
reconstruction [1] -	request [7] - 12:13,	57:9, 58:3	51:24	SNYDER [5] - 57:1,
59:23	59:10, 60:5, 64:7,	rotate [1] - 26:16	setback [8] - 5:20,	57:3, 58:14, 58:18,
record [5] - 4:19,	64:12, 64:19, 65:1	run [2] - 3:23, 4:4	7:14, 7:16, 7:18, 8:9,	59:2
10:14, 23:18, 58:13,	requesting [2] - 40:25,	runs [2] - 27:20, 32:15	8:16, 9:4	Snyder [1] - 58:14
70:10	42:8	RYAN [1] - 1:14	setbacks [2] - 8:11,	soft [1] - 55:25
redevelopment [1] -	requirement [1] -	Ryan [2] - 66:21,	41:25	solution [1] - 51:7
64:20	14:14	67:10	setup [1] - 5:24	someone [2] - 23:11,
reduce [4] - 7:13, 8:9,	resemble [1] - 46:18		seven [1] - 60:6	37:13
8:24, 9:1	respect [2] - 17:25,	S	shallowness [1] - 37:4	somewhat [1] - 7:2
reduction [3] - 8:11,	31:11	0	shape [2] - 60:1, 65:23	sorry [12] - 6:2, 14:5,
8:15, 8:16	response [22] - 3:19,	S-n-y-d-e-r [1] - 58:18	SHEPPARD [3] - 1:19,	16:10, 21:13, 21:20,
reference [1] - 15:8	9:24, 10:8, 10:20,	Sanborn [4] - 44:18,	2:9, 25:9	26:1, 46:20, 56:22,
referenced [1] - 37:23	15:13, 23:4, 23:9,	46:15, 46:19, 51:22	Sheppard [1] - 2:9	57:3, 58:11, 59:8,
referring [1] - 22:15	24:8, 28:23, 31:19, 31:22, 35:21, 43:4,	sash [1] - 13:11 sash-style [1] - 13:11	shift [1] - 7:17	60:8
reflective [1] - 66:1	53:17, 54:16, 56:19,	satisfied [1] - 9:17	shingled [1] - 13:10	sort [1] - 64:16
regard [1] - 35:17	60:21, 62:8, 62:15,	satisfying [1] - 9:17	shipyards [1] - 61:24	sounds [1] - 24:5
regarding [1] - 8:9	66:13, 67:11, 67:18	saw [2] - 26:17, 49:10	short [1] - 12:2	space [11] - 12:23,
regardless [2] - 19:2, 27:17	rest [4] - 44:4, 55:10,	scenario [1] - 38:5	short-circuits [1] -	23:23, 29:24, 29:25, 30:3, 30:8, 30:17,
regards [1] - 13:13	65:9, 65:14	screen [3] - 12:20,	12:2 show [1] - 38:6	30:32, 31:16, 33:17,
rehabilitation [1] -	restore [1] - 41:4	18:6, 60:19	showing [1] - 44:15	34:2
60:2	restoring [2] - 57:9,	second [23] - 3:15,	shown [1] - 20:25	speaking [1] - 65:22
related [1] - 61:23	58:3	6:13, 10:4, 10:16,	shows [1] - 14:6	speculating [1] - 30:7
relationship [2] -	retain [2] - 30:21, 62:1	11:1, 11:3, 18:1,	sic [2] - 16:25, 20:18	speculative [1] - 58:9
23:19, 41:24	retained [2] - 29:9,	20:15, 20:20, 20:21,	side [21] - 5:20, 6:19,	spell [3] - 6:5, 58:16
reliant [1] - 22:9	34:3	20:24, 22:13, 26:4,	7:15, 9:4, 12:18,	Spidey [2] - 48:18,
remain [2] - 21:23,	review [1] - 49:23	30:22, 31:16, 32:8,	14:2, 14:3, 14:7,	49:1
32:24	reviewed [1] - 12:8	33:17, 36:3, 39:2,	26:21, 28:3, 33:6,	split [1] - 29:13
remainder [1] - 10:13	Richmond [1] - 10:17	45:23, 54:23, 62:23,	33:7, 36:20, 37:7,	staff [22] - 8:8, 11:22,
remember [4] - 18:17,	river [5] - 42:5, 47:3,	68:2	37:24, 42:6, 44:17,	12:2, 12:8, 12:10,
18:18, 18:22, 48:12	47:9, 47:10, 47:16	Second [1] - 5:3	47:3, 53:9, 54:8	13:21, 14:14, 15:12,
remotely [1] - 10:12	Riverside [5] - 40:21,	second-floor [1] -	side-facing [1] - 53:9	18:25, 22:13, 28:19,
removal [2] - 42:8,	41:20, 42:21, 47:18,	33:17	siding [1] - 13:10	28:22, 29:3, 36:2,
42:13	54:1	secondary [6] - 13:8,	significance [4] -	38:15, 38:19, 43:3,
remove [1] - 40:18	road [3] - 14:2, 14:3,	15:7, 18:2, 20:5, 27:22, 34:8	30:6, 45:19, 50:22,	43:16, 54:3, 54:5,
removed [2] - 42:11,	38:23 Road 131 - 6:9, 66:21	seconds [1] - 46:2	60:11	54:22, 58:22
43:1	Road [2] - 6:9, 66:21 roll [1] - 10:13	Secretary [4] - 1:14,	significant [4] - 32:17,	staff's [2] - 33:2, 33:11
removing [1] - 41:5	roof [54] - 12:3, 12:25,	27:9, 34:5, 41:21	53:11, 59:20, 65:14	stairs [1] - 30:3
repeat [2] - 7:5, 7:6	14:8, 14:14, 14:16,	section [1] - 2:11	significantly [1] -	stand [1] - 52:21
replace [1] - 40:19	14:21, 14:22, 15:3,	see [12] - 5:23, 12:17,	46:16	Standard [1] - 41:23
replaced [2] - 40:23,	16:12, 16:15, 16:18,	12:19, 14:10, 28:3,	similar [3] - 14:8,	standard [2] - 20:8, 41:20
42:20	16:22, 16:23, 17:5,	28:11, 44:19, 46:15,	14:11, 14:15	standards [3] - 27:9,
replacing [1] - 12:15	18:19, 19:14, 19:18,	49:9, 55:16, 61:25,	single [2] - 36:18, 45:10	34:6, 66:7
reply [1] - 24:2	19:20, 20:15, 20:17,	65:4	site [3] - 12:16, 36:13,	STANSEL [18] - 1:15,
report [16] - 11:22,	20:20, 20:22, 21:5,	seeing [2] - 10:21,	37:16	2:25, 11:9, 18:5,
11:24, 12:8, 12:9, 12:10, 12:11, 22:13,	21:7, 22:15, 26:19,	53:18	situation [2] - 26:19,	18:8, 20:10, 22:7,

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

22:19, 33:3, 34:16,	15:23, 25:16, 26:2,	suppose [1] - 8:20	56:10, 56:12, 56:14,	67:7
36:24, 39:10, 40:2,	26:4, 38:24, 39:1,	surrounding [1] -	56:20, 56:24, 57:2,	try [1] - 6:17
55:24, 56:2, 56:9,	40:9, 40:11, 40:17,	16:25	58:11, 58:16, 58:19,	trying [9] - 16:24,
63:5, 68:9	58:15, 59:4, 59:8,	survey [1] - 54:7	58:21, 59:3, 60:20,	17:1, 17:23, 18:9,
Stansel [10] - 2:25,	59:11, 62:22, 63:13,	Susan [1] - 68:25	60:22, 61:3, 61:7,	18:12, 21:10, 30:18,
10:12, 11:8, 34:15,	63:16, 63:24, 64:2,	SUSAN [1] - 1:18	61:10, 61:15, 62:6,	45:19, 53:3
37:21, 39:9, 40:1,	64:8, 67:25, 68:16	swear [1] - 66:24	62:9, 62:12, 62:16,	two [18] - 3:23, 5:7,
56:8, 63:4, 68:8	street-facing [1] -	Swear [1] - 00.24	62:18, 62:24, 63:2,	5:14, 12:14, 12:22,
start [3] - 2:4, 2:6,	40:20	Т	63:4, 63:6, 63:8,	12:23, 20:14, 20:16,
44:23	street-side [1] - 33:6		63:10, 63:14, 63:19,	22:18, 26:25, 36:14,
started [1] - 2:7	stress [1] - 27:9	table [3] - 24:20, 25:6	63:23, 66:12, 66:14,	41:11, 47:1, 53:5,
starts [1] - 12:10	strictly [1] - 10:17	tall [4] - 13:2, 18:11,	66:18, 66:24, 67:1,	60:6, 63:21, 64:15,
STATE [1] - 70:3	strikes [1] - 36:16	19:21, 19:22	67:4, 67:9, 67:10,	65:4
state [6] - 5:25, 6:4,	strongly [1] - 51:14	taller [1] - 21:1	67:12, 67:15, 67:19,	two-bay [1] - 12:23
15:20, 42:22, 61:3,	structure [48] - 8:10,	technical [2] - 6:14,	67:22, 68:3, 68:6,	two-story [4] - 12:14,
66:18	13:1, 13:2, 14:1,	59:9	68:8, 68:10, 68:12,	12:22, 20:14, 41:11
State [1] - 1:10	16:14, 16:19, 17:1,	technically [1] - 52:12	68:14, 69:10, 69:16	typical [1] - 14:19
stay [5] - 16:13, 16:18,	17:4, 17:6, 17:11,	ten [2] - 46:2, 50:22	therefore [2] - 40:24,	typically [7] - 13:5,
18:9, 19:9, 32:22	17:15, 17:16, 17:22,	tend [1] - 55:2	52:2	13:6, 13:7, 15:3,
staying [1] - 21:6	18:1, 18:2, 18:10,	Tenders [1] - 43:13	third [6] - 64:18,	15:7, 45:7, 47:4
stays [2] - 16:22, 21:4	18:11, 18:20, 19:1,	terminus [1] - 27:2	64:24, 65:3, 65:6,	
steeper [3] - 28:13,	19:2, 19:6, 19:12,	terms [1] - 31:3	65:21, 67:25	U
37:6, 38:7	20:5, 20:6, 20:15,	testimony [3] - 16:3,	thoughts [7] - 4:16,	_
stenographic [1] -	21:12, 21:24, 30:15,	61:11, 67:5	32:10, 33:13, 33:24,	ultimately [1] - 28:7
70:11	32:12, 32:16, 32:23,	THE [163] - 2:3, 2:20,	37:19, 54:24, 58:2	unclear [1] - 42:18
stenographically [1] -	36:19, 37:16, 40:21,	3:2, 3:16, 3:18, 3:20,	three [6] - 47:20,	uncomplementarine
70:9	41:14, 41:15, 42:16,	4:14, 4:22, 5:22, 6:4,	47:22, 48:8, 53:7,	ss [1] - 20:18
step [1] - 48:15	44:3, 44:6, 54:10,	6:13, 6:17, 6:23, 7:2,	60:8, 60:15	under [11] - 16:18,
steps [3] - 46:15,	59:17, 60:1, 64:11,	7:6, 7:19, 7:22, 8:6,	ties [2] - 30:11	18:9, 18:12, 19:11,
46:21, 50:1	64:16, 65:9, 65:14,	9:6, 9:10, 9:13, 9:16,	Tim [1] - 2:16	19:22, 21:7, 21:23,
stick [2] - 23:16, 47:7	65:15, 65:16	9:21, 9:25, 10:5,	Timer [2] - 31:13, 46:5	21:24, 25:20, 41:23,
sticking [1] - 45:15	structures [9] - 13:5,	10:7, 10:9, 10:15,	timer [1] - 46:4	56:25
sticks [2] - 50:19,	14:20, 15:2, 19:19,	10:21, 11:2, 11:6,	TIMOTHY [1] - 1:15	understood [1] - 31:1
52:23	34:8, 42:3, 52:2,	11:8, 11:10, 11:12,	today [7] - 3:24, 4:5,	unique [1] - 26:18
still [4] - 16:18, 19:10,	59:19, 59:24	11:14, 11:18, 11:25,	4:8, 22:13, 22:17,	unless [2] - 68:16,
21:23, 69:13	style [3] - 13:11,	15:11, 15:14, 15:18,	25:19, 26:9	69:18
store [1] - 61:23	59:23, 66:2 styles [1] - 60:12	15:20, 15:24, 16:2,	today's [2] - 22:5, 22:6	unlike [1] - 29:21
storefront [1] - 62:3	submitted [2] - 29:10,	16:7, 18:4, 22:20,	together [1] - 22:8	unplug [1] - 6:17
stories [2] - 20:14,	49:24	23:2, 23:5, 23:7,	tone [1] - 45:20	up [26] - 3:4, 8:2, 9:3,
20:16	subordinance [1] -	23:13, 23:25, 24:3, 24:6, 24:9, 24:14,	took [1] - 43:18	11:24, 12:9, 15:18,
story [12] - 12:14,	16:25	25:2, 25:5, 25:15,	top [6] - 7:7, 17:5,	16:14, 23:13, 24:23, 25:12, 26:12, 29:13,
12:22, 20:14, 20:15,	subordinate [6] -	28:22, 28:24, 31:14,	20:17, 20:22, 35:14, 36:17	25.12, 26.12, 29.13, 30:1, 30:8, 30:10,
20:19, 20:20, 20:22,	18:2, 19:2, 19:19,	31:18, 31:20, 31:23,	traditional [3] - 13:9,	30.1, 30.8, 30.10, 31:20, 37:22, 43:9,
20:24, 21:10, 21:11,	21:4, 32:19, 32:22	31:25, 32:9, 33:12,	14:21, 54:9	48:16, 53:13, 60:2,
29:21, 41:11	substantial [1] - 28:1	33:21, 34:10, 34:13,	traditionally [1] -	60:24, 62:9, 64:10,
straight [1] - 41:12	substantially [1] -	34:15, 34:17, 34:19,	19:18	66:18, 67:13
straight-visible [1] - 41:12	27:15	34:21, 35:18, 35:22,	transcript [1] - 70:10	urban [1] - 51:3
street [20] - 13:8, 28:8,	suggesting [1] - 21:17	35:24, 36:4, 37:17,	treating [1] - 65:20	usability [1] - 34:1
33:6, 40:20, 42:2,	suggestion [8] -	38:13, 39:3, 39:7,	trick [1] - 20:12	usable [1] - 31:16
42:3, 42:6, 45:14,	36:13, 37:10, 37:14,	39:9, 39:11, 39:13,	triple [2] - 23:21,	utilize [2] - 3:8, 3:10
45:16, 45:25, 48:5,	37:18, 38:9, 38:16,	39:17, 39:19, 39:24,	36:15	-
50:18, 50:21, 52:19,	38:20, 38:22	40:1, 40:3, 40:5,	triple-window [1] -	V
52:22, 53:5, 53:10,	suggestions [2] -	40:7, 43:2, 43:5,	36:15	
55:8, 55:12, 64:17	35:16, 38:15	43:9, 47:20, 47:25,	Tropia [3] - 1:9, 70:7,	vein [1] - 50:14
Street [39] - 1:8, 4:5,	suitable [1] - 60:13	48:7, 53:12, 53:15,	70:18	verbiage [1] - 37:13
4:6, 4:24, 4:25, 5:2,	sunken [3] - 44:5,	53:18, 53:23, 54:13,	true [3] - 44:20, 50:13,	versus [1] - 63:22
5:3, 5:4, 5:5, 5:6,	48:15, 49:25	54:18, 54:24, 55:2,	70:10	Vestcor [1] - 66:22
5:7, 5:8, 5:16, 7:8,	support [1] - 61:20	55:18, 55:22, 55:25,	truth [9] - 16:4, 16:5,	vestibule [1] - 48:16
	support [1] - 61:20 supports [1] - 54:3	55:18, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 56:8,	truth [9] - 16:4, 16:5, 61:12, 61:13, 67:6,	vestibule [1] - 48:16 via [1] - 1:15

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203-(904) 821-0300

Vice [1] - 1:13 view [2] - 47:9, 47:16 viewing [1] - 33:8 visible [1] - 41:12	wondering [1] - 57:12 worth [1] - 26:25 Wrightson [1] - 61:6
vision [1] - 36:7	Y
visual [1] - 33:7 visually [5] - 27:18, 32:24, 33:5, 37:4, 57:17 vote [5] - 4:2, 34:10, 39:3, 39:20, 55:19 votes [1] - 10:13 voting [2] - 11:17,	y'all [3] - 9:16, 9:17, 69:6 y'all's [2] - 6:19, 46:13 yard [1] - 41:1 years [2] - 42:25, 50:22 you-all [1] - 34:3
39:15	Z
W	Zoom [16] - 1:15, 3:3,
wall $[2] - 41:7, 50:2$ walls $[3] - 19:21, 19:22, 19:25$ Walnut $[1] - 5:5$ wants $[1] - 3:6$ warren $[1] - 53:25$ Warren $[3] - 23:11, 53:22, 53:23$ water $[2] - 47:2, 47:5$ Wednesday $[1] - 1:6$ week $[1] - 68:23$ weird $[1] - 17:8$ weird-looking $[1] - 17:8$ weird-looking $[1] - 17:8$ weirdness $[1] - 60:19$ WEST $[5] - 1:20, 2:14, 24:19, 38:17, 58:6$ West $[7] - 2:14, 4:6, 5:8, 59:4, 59:8, 62:22, 63:22$ whole $[5] - 16:4, 44:1, 47:3, 61:12, 67:6$ wide $[2] - 9:5, 48:17$ width $[3] - 8:11, 8:16, 32:16$	3:7, 5:24, 23:11, 25:12, 31:23, 31:24, 35:22, 53:19, 54:17, 56:18, 62:16, 62:17, 67:19, 67:21
Wilford [3] - 6:7, 7:9, 8:25 WILFORD [13] - 6:12, 6:21, 6:25, 7:4, 7:8, 7:9, 7:20, 8:3, 8:25,	
9:9, 9:12, 9:14, 9:19 willing [2] - 8:17,	
16:20 window [14] - 23:20, 23:22, 35:11, 35:14, 36:15, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:25, 44:6, 44:17 windows [4] - 13:11, 31:7, 36:14, 36:19 wing [2] - 65:21, 68:1 wonder [1] - 21:19	