## Council Auditor's Office Contract Garbage Haulers Rate Review Bills 2020-204, 2020-205, 2020-206

2020-204 Republic – SWS	2020-205		2020-206
Republic – SWS			2020-200
	Advanced – ADS	Waste Pro	Waste Pro
Expires 2021	Expires 2023	Expires 2023	Expires 2023
76,951	73,889	77,220	77,220
\$10,859,258	\$7,803,754	\$11,985,122	\$11,985,122
10.00%	17.50%	0.82%	10.00%
\$1,089,694	\$1,364,390	\$98,266	\$1,200,970
\$11,948,952	\$9,168,144	\$12,083,388	\$13,186,092
\$12.25	\$10.53	\$12.86	\$12.86
12.94	10.34	13.04	14.23
\$0.69	(\$0.19)	\$0.18	\$1.37
	76,951 \$10,859,258 10.00% \$1,089,694 \$11,948,952 \$12.25 12.94 \$0.69	76,951 73,889   \$10,859,258 \$7,803,754   10.00% 17.50%   \$1,089,694 \$1,364,390   \$11,948,952 \$9,168,144   \$12.25 \$10.53   12.94 10.34   \$0.69 (\$0.19)	76,951 73,889 77,220   \$10,859,258 \$7,803,754 \$11,985,122   10.00% 17.50% 0.82%   \$1,089,694 \$1,364,390 \$98,266   \$11,948,952 \$9,168,144 \$12,083,388   \$12.25 \$10.53 \$12.86   12.94 10.34 13.04

<sup>\*</sup>Agree Estimated Expenses differ between haulers based on proximity to the landfill, types of equipment, and efficiencies of each hauler.

Contract Hauler	FY 2019/20 Budget	Rate Review Results	Difference to Budget	Presented in Legislation	Different to Budget	Presented in Legislation	Difference to Rate Review
Republic / SWS	\$11,552,596	\$11,948,952	\$396,356	\$11,948,952	\$396,356	\$11,948,952	\$0
Advanced - ADS	9,587,490	9,168,144	(419,346)	9,168,144	(419,346)	9,168,144	0
Waste Pro	12,131,491	12,083,388	(48,103)	13,186,092	1,054,601	13,186,092	1,102,704
Totals	\$33,271,577	\$33,200,484	\$(71,903)	\$34,303,188	\$1,031,611	\$34,303,188	\$1,102,704

Concern: As discussed in the budget hearings last summer, the Solid Waste subfund is already fiscally challenged and has required loans from the General Fund/GSD to operate. The Administration Recommendation in bill 2020-206 (Waste Pro) will cost an additional \$1.1 million as compared to the results of the rate review process and abiding by the terms of the current contract as seen in the chart above. Because of fuel savings in the current year, the FY 2019/20 loan amount of \$12.7 million is projected to be sufficient to cover the costs of the proposed contracts. However, if the results of the rate review process and the terms of the current contract were followed, the GF/GSD loan could be reduced in the current year by \$1.1 million due to the anticipated fuel savings.