

SPEAKER'S ONLY REQUEST CARD

JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL

Please print and complete item 1 below for Council/Committee Public Hearing or item 2 below for Public Comments Section of City Council agenda:

NAME: Carol Brenner DATE: 01/26/21

ADDRESS: 5533 Huwood and 1507 English st PHONE: 9545201469

REPRESENTING: My company Justina Ct Apt LLC E-MAIL: _____

1: PUBLIC HEARING BILL NUMBER: _____

I SUPPORT _____ (or) I OPPOSE _____ THIS LEGISLATION

2. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC SUBJECT: **I need to address the council on the treatment I received At code enforcement and issues with my property.**

**SPEAKING TIME IS LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES PER SPEAKER
NO SPEAKER MAY GIVE OR TRANSFER HIS/HER TIME TO SPEAK TO ANOTHER PERSON**

(Please read the reverse side for instructions on speaking before the Council)

CAROL W. NAIRN
1740 Valencia Drive
Jacksonville, FL 32207

January 18, 2021

Letters from Readers
The Florida Times – Union
PO Box 1949
Jacksonville, FL 32231

Dear Ms. Palka:

The “our view” editorial in the January 15 Times Union offended me. Not because it was critical of Lot J – many people were and there were valid criticisms. My objection is to use of the word “played” referring to the city of Jacksonville. I think that wording was childish and disrespectful considering the amount of money Shad Khan has invested in the Jaguars and in trying to move this city ahead. I felt the editorial displayed a “we showed him” attitude that is less than constructive.

I daresay Mr. Khan does not need to “play” any city because a peek at his balance sheet would likely reveal that his projects tend to be winners. I doubt he would have fought to make Lot J a reality if he did not sincerely believe it would be not only viable but very successful. It was nice to see someone “think big” concerning Jacksonville.

I understand the thinking of the seven council members who voted “no”, however I suspect the vote may have also been motivated by a dislike for Mayor Curry’s ambition – it would be unfortunate if this was an example of cutting off one’s nose to spite one’s face. I am no fan of Curry, but I am very disappointed and will remember this vote if or when any of the council members decides to run for future office. As a season ticket holder I hope that this turn of events will not cause us to eventually lose the Jaguars.

Sincerely,



Carol W. Nairn

cc: ✓ Jacksonville City Council
Mark Lamping, Jacksonville Jaguars

JAN 21 2021

Clements, Jeff

From: Raymur Walton <raymurwalton@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 9:42 PM
To: CCMEETING01262021
Subject: LUZ meeting comments

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from a non-COJ email address. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Council Members:

Regarding wireless facilities being deployed around our city:

Our local communications ordinance should be purposed not only to regulate telecom activities that the U.S. Congress left in local officials' hands: the placement, construction, modification and operations of wireless facilities; it should also be wholistic, like the federal Communications Act of 1934, covering both wired and wireless systems.

Our City cannot suffer any longer under misrepresentations of the 1996 Telecommunications Act (TCA), Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") Rules that are not laws, or the State Advanced Wireless Implementation Act, based in old FCC Rules now federally adjudicated as "overreach." Nor may any entity block our local officials' decision-making and our access to those officials, in decision-making processes that are rightly theirs *and ours*.

No outside counsel is authorized to make our laws or otherwise interfere in local contracts. Officials lack authority to surrender their regulatory authorities to exogenous entities. They must rather take up their proper duties while disregarding false preemption claims.

You have applications requesting authorization to place, construct, modify and/or operate small wireless facilities — which the wireless industry brands "small cells"— on street furniture in the public rights-of-way, to facilitate the deployment of a close-proximity network, enabling not only internet data and voice and text transmissions, but also surveillance, crowd-control, and personal injury by means of hazardous, pulse-modulated microwave irradiation.

Fortunately, contrary to rumor, local officials have wide-reaching authorities over these facilities, including requiring Need Tests, by which claims of "significant gap in coverage" can be proven or disproven. Several of us have conducted Need Tests, and so far have found no coverage with less than 2 bars of service anywhere in Jacksonville. Thus, where we have tests, no need exists. These tests will be provided to City Council members shortly.

An applicant's authority derives from its being a carrier per FCC, or an agent of a carrier. Not everyone who applies qualifies. We urge you to check permits' applicants, and if not authorized, to revoke these permits immediately, prior to construction.

From our colleagues' December 12, 2019, and other discussions with FCC National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), attorneys, we've learned that "every new [wireless telecommunications facility ("WTF")] must undergo NEPA review." We see no NEPA review within the current applications, so urge you to deny them.

Most importantly, please declare a moratorium on wireless deployment and let us construct together a wholistic communications ordinance.

Raymur Rachels
3811 McGirts Blvd
Jacksonville, FL 32210

Clements, Jeff

From: TYRONA MURRAY <clarkmurr@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 6:50 PM
To: CCMEETING01262021
Cc: Godwin, Michelle
Subject: 2021-006

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from a non-COJ email address. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Evening,

The Grand Park Neighborhood Association and Northwest Citizen Planning Advisory Committee, NWCPAC, **oppose approval of this application.** The aforementioned bodies believe that the applicant wants to construct yet another Dollar General Store. First and foremost, the area is saturated with this type of business. Secondly, the land is adjacent to single family homes. The occupants of said homes will be disturbed by the light from the signage associated with such stores. Also, reduced the natural existing buffer will increase the traffic noise from the Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Expressway. Furthermore, It will create traffic in front of the single family homes. The said business will require a retention pond which will be a hazard to the numerous children who reside in the same block.

Respectfully,
Tyrona Clark-Murray
NWCPAC Vice-Chair
1030 Detroit Street
32254

Clements, Jeff

From: searcy dannheim <peabodymccoy@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 6:42 PM
To: CCMEETING01262021
Subject: TONIGHT'S MEETING/wireless facilities deployment in Jacksonville, Florida

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from a non-COJ email address. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Council Members:

Re: wireless facilities deployment in Jacksonville, Florida

The recently passed local communications ordinance should be purposed to not only regulate telecom activities the U.S. Congress left in local officials' hands, including the placement, construction, modification and operations of wireless facilities, but also it should be wholistic and workable within the specific context of this community and, like the Federal Communications Act of 1934, cover both wired and wireless systems.

It is up to you fine Council Members to ensure that our city cannot suffers under misrepresentations of the 1996 Telecommunications Act (TCA), Federal Communications Commission (FCC) "rules"—not laws— or the State Advanced Wireless Implementation Act, based on outdated FCC Rules now federally adjudicated as "overreach." Neither may any entity block our local officials' decision-making, nor our *access* to those officials, in decision-making processes rightly theirs *and ours*.

No outside counsel is authorized to make our laws or otherwise interfere in local contracts. City officials do not have the ability to surrender regulatory authorities to exogenous entities, rather they must take up their proper duties disregarding false preemption claims.

You have applications requesting authorization to place, construct, modify and/or operate small wireless facilities which the wireless industry brands "small cells" on street furniture in public rights-of-way in order to facilitate the deployment of a close-proximity network, enabling not only internet data and voice and text transmissions, but also surveillance, crowd-control, and personal injury by means of hazardous, pulse-modulated microwave irradiation.

Fortunately, contrary to rumor, local officials have wide-reaching authority over these facilities, including requiring Need Tests, by which claims of "significant gaps in coverage" can be proven or disproven. Several of us have conducted Need Tests and thus far have found no coverage with less than 2 bars of service anywhere in Jacksonville. Thus, where we have tests, no need exists. These tests will be provided to City Council members shortly.

An applicant's authority derives from its being a carrier per FCC, or an agent of a carrier. Not everyone who applies qualifies. We urge you to check applicants' permits, and if not authorized, revoke these permits immediately prior to construction.

From our colleague's report from December 12, 2019, and from other discussions with FCC National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) attorneys, we learn that "every new wireless telecommunications facility (WTF) must undergo NEPA review." We see no NEPA review within the current applications and thereby urge you to deny them.

Most importantly, please declare a moratorium on wireless deployment and let us construct together a wholistic communications ordinance. Thank you for your consideration.

Searcy C. Dannheim, MPH, CHES
2118 St. John's Ave
Jacksonville, Florida 32204

Sent from my iPad

Clements, Jeff

From: Lauren Galvin <ldostaler@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 6:31 PM
To: CCMEETING01262021
Subject: 5G in Jacksonville

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from a non-COJ email address. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Council Members:

Regarding wireless facilities being deployed around our city:

Our local communications ordinance should be purposed not only to regulate telecom activities that the U.S. Congress left in local officials' hands: the placement, construction, modification and operations of wireless facilities; it should also be wholistic, like the federal Communications Act of 1934, covering both wired and wireless systems.

Our City cannot suffer any longer under misrepresentations of the 1996 Telecommunications Act (TCA), Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") Rules that are not laws, or the State Advanced Wireless Implementation Act, based in old FCC Rules now federally adjudicated as "overreach." Nor may any entity block our local officials' decision-making and our *access* to those officials, in decision-making processes that are rightly theirs *and ours*.

No outside counsel is authorized to make our laws or otherwise interfere in local contracts. Officials lack authority to surrender their regulatory authorities to exogenous entities. They must rather take up their proper duties while disregarding false preemption claims.

You have applications requesting authorization to place, construct, modify and/or operate small wireless facilities — which the wireless industry brands "small cells" — on street furniture in the public rights-of-way, to facilitate the deployment of a close-proximity network, enabling not only internet data and voice and text transmissions, but also surveillance, crowd-control, and personal injury by means of hazardous, pulse-modulated microwave irradiation.

Fortunately, contrary to rumor, local officials have wide-reaching authorities over these facilities, including requiring Need Tests, by which claims of "significant gap in coverage" can be proven or disproven. Several of us have conducted Need Tests, and so far have found no coverage with less than 2 bars of service anywhere in Jacksonville. Thus, where we have tests, no need exists. These tests will be provided to City Council members shortly.

An applicant's authority derives from its being a carrier per FCC, or an agent of a carrier. Not everyone who applies qualifies. We urge you to check permits' applicants, and if not authorized, to revoke these permits immediately, prior to construction.

From our colleagues' December 12, 2019, and other discussions with FCC National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), attorneys, we've learned that "every new [wireless telecommunications facility ("WTF")] must undergo NEPA review." We see no NEPA review within the current applications, so urge you to deny them.

Most importantly, please declare a moratorium on wireless deployment and let us construct together a wholistic communications ordinance.

Sincerely,

Lauren Galvin
3890 Bettes Circle
Jacksonville, Florida

Sent from my iPhone

Clements, Jeff

From: lisadlovelady <lisadlovelady@protonmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 4:15 PM
To: CCMEETING01262021
Subject: LUZ Meeting ~ Wireless Transmissions Facilities - 5G

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from a non-COJ email address. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Council Members:

Regarding wireless facilities being deployed around our city:

Our local communications ordinance should be purposed not only to regulate telecom activities that the U.S. Congress left in local officials' hands: the placement, construction, modification and operations of wireless facilities; it should also be wholistic, like the federal Communications Act of 1934, covering both wired and wireless systems.

Our City cannot suffer any longer under misrepresentations of the 1996 Telecommunications Act (TCA), Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") Rules that are not laws, or the State Advanced Wireless Implementation Act, based in old FCC Rules now federally adjudicated as "overreach." Nor may any entity block our local officials' decision-making and our *access* to those officials, in decision-making processes that are rightly theirs *and ours*.

No outside counsel is authorized to make our laws or otherwise interfere in local contracts. Officials lack authority to surrender their regulatory authorities to exogenous entities. They must rather take up their proper duties while disregarding false preemption claims.

You have applications requesting authorization to place, construct, modify and/or operate small wireless facilities — which the wireless industry brands "small cells"— on street furniture in the public rights-of-way, to facilitate the deployment of a close-proximity network, enabling not only internet data and voice and text transmissions, but also surveillance, crowd-control, and personal injury by means of hazardous, pulse-modulated microwave irradiation.

Fortunately, contrary to rumor, local officials have wide-reaching authorities over these facilities, including requiring Need Tests, by which claims of "significant gap in coverage" can be proven or disproven. Several of us have conducted Need Tests, and so far have found no coverage with less than 2 bars of service anywhere in Jacksonville. Thus, where we have tests, no need exists. These tests will be provided to City Council members shortly.

An applicant's authority derives from its being a carrier per FCC, or an agent of a carrier. Not everyone who applies qualifies. We urge you to check permits' applicants, and if not authorized, to revoke these permits immediately, prior to construction.

From our colleagues' December 12, 2019, and other discussions with FCC National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), attorneys, we've learned that "every new [wireless telecommunications facility ("WTF")] must undergo NEPA review." We see no NEPA review within the current applications, so urge you to deny them.

Most importantly, please declare a moratorium on wireless deployment and let us construct together a wholistic communications ordinance.

Thank you,

Lisa Lovelady

4249 Ortega Place