Introduced and amended by the Land Use and Zoning Committee:

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

ORDINANCE 2025-249-E

AN ORDINANCE DENYING A REQUEST FOR REZONING APPROXIMATELY 13.91± ACRES LOCATED IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 3 AT 4901 GATE PARKWAY, ON THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF GATE PARKWAY AND J. TURNER BUTLER BOULEVARD (R.E. NO(S). 167727-1700), DESCRIBED HEREIN, OWNED BY COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, FROM PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) DISTRICT (2000-228-E) TO PLANNED UNIT (PUD) DISTRICT, DEVELOPMENT AS DEFINED AND CLASSIFIED UNDER THE ZONING CODE, TO PERMIT A FUEL FACILITY AND COMMERCIAL USES IN THE COSTCO PUD; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Costco Wholesale Corporation, the owner of approximately 13.91± acres located in Council District 3 at 4901 Gate Parkway, on the northeast corner of Gate Parkway and J. Turner Butler Boulevard (R.E. No(s). 167727-1700), as more particularly described in Exhibit 1, dated June 27, 2024, and graphically depicted in Exhibit 2, both of which are attached hereto (the "Subject Property"), has applied for a rezoning and reclassification of the Subject Property from Planned Unit Development (PUD) District (2000-228-E) to Planned Unit Development (PUD) District, as described in Section 1 below; and WHEREAS, the Planning Commission, acting as the local planning reviewed the application and made an advisory agency, has

WHEREAS, the Land Use and Zoning Committee, after due notice and public hearing, has made its recommendation to the Council; now

recommendation to the Council; and

therefore

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Jacksonville:

Section 1. Property Rezoning Denied. The City Council denies the rezoning of the Subject Property from Planned Unit Development (PUD) District (2000-228-E) to Planned Unit Development (PUD) District, which would have generally permitted a fuel facility and commercial uses, as set forth in the following documents submitted by the applicant, attached hereto:

- Exhibit 1 Legal Description dated June 27, 2024.
- 10 | Exhibit 2 Subject Property per P&DD.
- 11 | Exhibit 3 Written Description dated June 27, 2024.
- 12 Exhibit 4 Site Plan dated April 26, 2024.

Pursuant to section 656.341(d), Ordinance Code, in addition to the criteria set forth in Section 656.125, Ordinance Code, there are criteria to be considered specifically when evaluating an application for rezoning to the Planned Unit Development district. One of those criteria is internal compatibility. Pursuant to section 656.341(d)(4), Ordinance Code, all land uses proposed within a proposed Planned Unit Development should be compatible with other proposed uses and not have any undue adverse impact on any neighboring use. An evaluation of the internal compatibility of a proposed Planned Unit Development includes an analysis of the traffic and pedestrian circulation pattern. As noted by Jason Gabriel at the Planning Commission meeting, the fuel station site is about ten percent of the Subject Property. "And that's just a static brick and mortar part of the fuel operation. That does not take into account the long lines and traffic flow dynamic that will come within that packed parking lot." This statement is bolstered by the Aerial View of Subject Property picture in the Planning Department staff report, which shows an already crowded parking lot an existing 143,463 square foot Costco store. The Planning Department staff report indicates that this

rezoning will further exacerbate the problem by eliminating 123 parking spaces, while at the same time intensifying the use of the Subject Property with a fuel facility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Another one of those criteria is external compatibility. Pursuant to section 656.341(d)(5), Ordinance Code, all land uses within a proposed Planned Unit Development should be compatible with existing and planned uses of properties surrounding the proposed Planned Unit Development and not have any avoidable or undue adverse impact on existing or planned surrounding uses. The evaluation of external compatibility of a proposed Planned Unit Development is based on several enumerated factors, including any other factor deemed relevant to the privacy, safety, preservation, protection or welfare of lands surrounding the proposed Planned Unit Development which includes any existing or planned use of such lands. Based upon his firsthand observation, Jason Gabriel at the Planning Commission meeting, noted that the Subject Property "is already wedged within a highly intense and trafficked retail area, and that's surrounded by a host of constant commercial traffic flow." This statement is bolstered by the Aerial View of Subject Property contained in the Planning Department report. The Aerial View of Subject Property shows cars backed up on Gate Parkway outside of the Subject Property almost all the way to the intersection with the J. Turner Butler offramp. And the Aerial View of Subject Property then shows a lengthy backup of cars on the offramp itself. This "bad traffic circulation situation" is in close proximity to the narrow entranceway into the Subject Property.

Additionally, pursuant to section 656.125(c), Ordinance Code, the proposed rezoning and the development permitted thereunder cannot detract from the character and quality of life in the general area or neighborhood by creating excessive traffic, noise, lights, vibration, fumes, odors, dust, physical activities or other

detrimental effects or nuisances. As noted above, the rezoning will create excess traffic and other detrimental effects concerning parking and traffic circulation issues.

Section 2. Owner and Description. The Subject Property is owned by Costco Wholesale Corporation and is legally described in Exhibit 1, attached hereto. The applicant is Edward McDonald, 1502 W. Fletcher Avenue, Suite 101, Tampa, Florida, 33612; (813) 379-4100.

Section 3. Effective Date. The enactment of this Ordinance shall be deemed to constitute a quasi-judicial action of the City Council and shall become effective upon signature by the Council President and Council Secretary.

Form Approved:

/s/ Dylan Reingold

16 Office of General Counsel

Legislation Prepared By: Erin Abney

18 GC-#1690531-v1-2025-249-E.docx