5/13/2024 EC MEKTING VOTED ON THE 12/18/03 MINUTES 4:34 P-M CITY OF JACKSONVILLE JEASINER HERE! **ELLEN SCHMITT** J.J. DIXON CHAIR GIMIES VICE CHAIR ERICH FREIBERGER DARCY GALNOR JUDGE LINDA MCCALLUM SUNNY GETTINGER LATONYA LIPSCOMB SMITH ETHICS COMMISSION FBJ-HELP! SPECIAL MEETING MINISTES City Hall, First Floor, Lynwood Roberts Room Monday, December 18, 2023 The meeting was called to order at 4:34 p.m. by Ms. Schmitt. Ethics Commission attendees: Chair Ellen Schmitt, J.J. Dixon, Erich Freiberger via phone, LaTonya Lipscomb Smith, William Maule, Linda McCallum, Scott Simpson via phone, and Sunny Gettinger. Excused absence: Darcy Galnor Others in attendance: Kirby Oberdorfer, Director of the Office of Ethics, Compliance and Oversight (OECO); Paige Johnston, Ethics Attorney (OECO); Sandy Homrighouse, Executive Assistant (OECO); Carla Miller, Senior Ethics Advisor (OECO); Andrea Myers, Program and Training Manager (OECO); and Cherry Shaw Pollock, Office of General Counsel (OGC). MASK MIDUIRS AND PUBLIC COMMENT WAS NOTED OF AT THE 5/13/2014 Mile.
Public In Attendance: John Nooney, Robyn Blank, Diane Moser, Leah Palestrant, and Eunice
Barnam. How CAN THE INTHICS COMMISSION BIATINGTHY ICHORIC AND SHOW BY INAMPLE
TO THE SCHOOL BOARD, CITY CONNEIL. AND INDICTION BY MISSION BY FOR THE SIMILOOU TRUE COMMISSION MERTING THE TAMES RICHARDSONEPB, CHERYL PONOCK, MEMORANDUM MICHAEL FACKLIER OGC COVERUP. STEPPAGE CAPAS- FOR STARTICES DATE: TRUE COMMISSION-R. SALEM Y 2024-0256 MIKE GAY-SUPERSTAL TO: Caroline Fulton, City Planner I C-MILLER THIS IS FRAUD 2024-0356

TAIL JACKSOUVE HE JODAY M. CARRICAN CAY-SU
FROM: Tony Robbins, Subcommittee Member

SUBJ: Meeting Notes: Site Design Standards Subcommittee Meeting No. 2

AG. SA. OKC. EC. 16.1 AG. SA. OGC. F.C., IG. FBT CHARLIES GARRISON - COUERUP - JAMES KICHAROSONA ANAM HOYLES 10 April 2024, Ed Ball Hearing Room 1002, commenced at 1301 concluded at 1500 EDT Subcommittee Members Susan Fraser, Laura Gonzalez, Emily Peirce, Tony Robbins Nickol 3 A SHIFT & Attendees: METARKS-FBI Planning and Development Department Director Brett James, Current Planning Chief Erin Abney, Community Planning Division Chief Kristen Reed, Chief Resilience Officer Anne Coglianese, NOT RECOGNIZED Planning Services Manager Lurise Bannister TOOD ROOBIN ATTENDENCE City Council Member Mike Gay, District 2 MIKE GAY- TWO MEMBER AND I BOTH GAVE PUBLIC COMMENT - NOT RECOGNISED THANK you for sharing highlights from the Subcommittee's discussion on the Consultant Team's draft framework and 5 146 ard: 5/9/2024 TRUE COMMISSION- RAMON DAY RESILIEUT TACKSONVINDIN THE Resilient LDR Code Framework and Decision Matrix Kim PRYOR ANNE COGLIANTESIE MINUTE

UK JACKSUNVII e- ETHICS COMM MIG-ERICH FREIB J.J. DIXON CHAIR VICE CHAIR VOTED ON THE 8/2023 MINUTES RARIAR HERE SUNNY GETTINGER SCOTT SIMPSON LATONYA LIPSCOMB SMITH City Hall, First Floor, Don Davis Room Monday, February 12, 2024 The meeting was called to order at 4:04 p.m. by Ms. Dixon. Ethics Commission attendees: Chair J.J. Dixon, Erich Freiberger, William Maule via phone from 4:04 p.m. to 4:25 p.m., Linda McCallum, Scott Simpson, Robyn Blank, and Sunny Gettinger. Excused absence: LaTonya Lipscomb Smith and Leah Palestrant Others in attendance: Kirby Oberdorfer, Director of the Office of Ethics, Compliance and Oversight (OECO); Paige Johnston, Ethics Attorney (OECO); Sandy Homrighouse, Executive Assistant (OECO); Carla Miller, Senior Ethics Advisor (OECO); Andrea Myers, Program and Training Manager (OECO); and Cherry Shaw Pollock, Office of General Counsel (OGC). Public In Attendance: Council Member Matt Carlucci, John Nooney, Carnell Oliver, Michele Lewas, Brittany Norris, Cory Armstrong, Hanna Holthaus, Ariel Schiller, News 4 Jax Cameraperson, Tammy Jackson, Althea Mikeh, Jake Stofan, Wanda M. Dixon, Sheri Webber, D.S.W.S. member and Eunice Barnum. How CAN THE ETHICS COMM BLATENTLY IGNORE AND SHOW BY EXAMPLE TO THE SERCOL BOARD, CITY COUNTY AND INDIPENDENT PUBLIC Comment. ANTHORITIES NOT AT LABOR FRONTING THE TOPIC OF DISCUSSION AS TACKSON?

Ms. Dixon requested public comment. Mr. Nooney, Mr. Oliver and Ms. Barnum provided public comment. Ms. Jackson completed a public comment card but did not wish to speak. OUR WATERWAYS THE 510 2024 TRUE COMMISSION MARTING THE TAMES RICHARDSONEPB, CHERYL PONOCK, MEMORANDUM MICHAGI FACKLIER OGE COURCUP SPEATAGE CARAS- FOR STARTICES DATE: TRUE COMMISSION-R. SAWEM Y Caroline Fulton, City Planner I 2024-0256 Tony Robbins, Subcommittee Member Meeting Notes: Site Design Standards Subcommittee Meeting No. 2 AC SA. GGR KA SA. YARLIGS KICHAROSONA ANAM 10 April 2024, Ed Ball Hearing Room 1002, commenced at 1301 concluded at 1500 EDT Attendees: Subcommittee Members Susan Fraser, Laura Gonzalez, Emily Peirce, Tony Robbins Nibrol 3 A SHIPT a Planning and Development Department Director Brett James, Current Planning Chief Erin Abney, Community Planning Division Chief Kristen Reed, Chief Resilience Officer Anne Coglianese, NOT RECOGNIZED Planning Services Manager Lurise Bannister TOOD KOOBIN City Council Member Mike Gay, District 2 MIKE GAY-TWO MANAGER AND I ROTH GAVE PUBLIC COMMENT. NOT I Thank you for sharing highlights from the Subcommittee's discussion on the Consultant Team's draft framework and TRUE COMMISSION- RAMON ARY RESILIENT TACKSONINE IN THE

Resilient LDR Code Framework and Decision Matrix King PRYOR ANNIE COGLIANASIE

MINUTES

Only 44% of those offered the program took advantage which tells us that even when the City pays for the connection, there are not always good participation rates. We do not recommend making significant capital investments for expanded infrastructure without some form of mandatory connection and commitment to participate from property owners.

Proliferation of septic tanks

Current land use laws allow for septic tanks to be used on existing lots of record as long as the required minimum distances are established between any adjacent wells and septic tanks. Additionally, septic tanks are approved for lots one acre in size or greater. A summary of the current 2030 Comprehensive Plan Septic Tank Construction Policies is included in Addenda Section C.

Data was collected from 2010 through 2015 of new single family building permits issued. The building permits include the type of wastewater system to be used. Of those permits issued, approximately 6% of the total permits indicated use of septic systems.

SINGLE FAMILY PERMITS WITH SEPTIC TANKS (2010-2015)

CALENDER	# of Single Family Building	# of Septic Tank Per BID	"Calculated" % of New Single							
YEAR	Permits Issued		Family Homes w/Septic Tanks							
2010	1190	85	7%							
2011	855	84	10%							
2012	1205	67	6%							
2013	1827	93	5%							
2014	2047	99	5%							
2015	2271	132	6%							
Average	1566	93	6%							

Note:

532 Single Family Building permits had been issued for 2016 during 1st quarter 2016 utilizing 21 septic tanks per BID.

The addresses of the permit data with septic tanks were also plotted graphically. Many of the septic tanks were located in more rural areas — outside the I-295/9A beltway. Several of the new septic tanks were also located within the footprints of septic tank failure neighborhoods that are reviewed by the Health Department and the City annually. That is not surprising since these are the neighborhoods that do not have central infrastructure. A map showing new septic tanks derived from the permit data reported above is included in Addenda Section D.

DEMOGRAPHICS JULY 2023 - SEPTIC TANK PHASE OUT NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Home Characteristics*				Income***				Race/Ethnicity***				Gend		Age***							
Neighborhood	Total Score 2023	Median Value**	Median Year Built	% Renter	% Owner	<\$25K	\$25K - \$50K	\$50K - \$100K	\$100K - \$200K	>\$200K	% White	% Black	% Asian	Other^	% Male	% Female	<18	18 - 40	40 - 60	60 - 80	>80
BILTMORE C		\$27,161	1970	84.6%	15.4%	26.5%	19.6%	27.6%	23.1%	3.2%	66.9%	33.1%	0.0%	0.0%	46.3%	53.7%	11.2%	16.8%	25.1%	43.5%	3.4%
BEVERLY HILLS		\$103,680	1954	46.5%	53.5%	27.9%	36.1%	26.8%	8.2%	0.9%	8.6%	91.4%	0.0%	0.0%	49.6%	50.4%	5.4%	28.4%	17.4%	40.5%	8.3%
CHRISTOBEL		\$48,384	1964	80.0%	20.0%	42.5%	25.3%	23.6%	7.7%	0.7%	5.0%	94.2%	0.8%	0.0%	42.3%	57.7%	9.1%	18.3%	26.8%	40.0%	5.8%
RIVERVIEW		\$85,754	1970	69.7%	30.3%	30.6%	33.1%	24.5%	10.7%	1.0%	19.3%	79.5%	0.1%	1.2%	45.2%	54.8%	5.3%	22.6%	24.8%	43.0%	4.3%
CHAMPION FOREST	78.64	\$52,274	1990	75.1%	24.9%	23.9%	27.0%	31.1%	16.9%	1.1%	37.9%	56.8%	5.0%	0.3%	50.2%	49.8%	9.7%	38.7%	26.2%	24.4%	0.9%
EMERSON	76.60	\$167,200	1975	61.8%	38.2%	13.5%		21.9%	27.5%	9.9%	76.9%	12.0%	10.6%	0.5%	49.0%	51.0%	11.5%	30.4%	26.5%	28.3%	3.5%
ST NICHOLAS	75.83	\$112,176	1970	63.6%	36.4%	27.7%		21.5%	23.0%	3.4%	62.6%	29.5%	7.8%	0.0%	51.0%	49.0%	7.1%	37.2%	25.4%	28.6%	1.7%
EGGLESTON HEIGHTS	75.39	\$152,254	1970	49.2%	50.8%	27.2%	27.4%	33.1%	11.0%	1.3%	63.9%	33.4%	2.5%	0.2%	46.3%	53.7%	9.2%	37.2%	22.4%	28.5%	2.7%
JULINGTON CREEK	73.98	\$258,149	1985	26.4%	73.6%	8.7%		39.1%	31.5%	7.3%	77.0%	13.7%	9.1%	0.3%	48.1%	51.9%	17.2%	24.7%	23.1%	32.7%	2.3%
EMPIRE POINT	67.95	\$115,500	1971	48.0%	52.0%	25.1%		19.1%	20.3%	7.2%	74.5%	21.9%	3.6%	0.0%	49.8%	50.2%	7.3%	22.1%	28.0%	38.5%	4.0%
WESTFIELD	67.94	\$335,386	1970	19.8%	80.2%	7.8%	9.8%	30.5%	33.8%	18.0%	99.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	51.1%	48.9%	13.0%	12.9%	33.0%	35.3%	5.8%
KINARD	67.35	\$151,073	1968	50.0%	50.0%	38.3%		26.0%	3.6%	0.0%	1.5%	98.5%	0.0%	0.0%	38.8%	61.2%	9.3%	30.0%	3.7%	41.2%	15.8%
OAK LAWN	67.19	\$220,570	1985	35.1%	64.9%	8.4%		26.0%	28.5%	7.5%	95.6%	2.5%	1.6%	0.3%	50.5%	49.5%	6.1%	20.5%	24.2%	43.2%	6.0%
ATLANTIC HIGHLANDS	66.56	\$84,308	1987	78.1%	21.9%	6.8%	10.1%	40.0%	33.0%	10.0%	89.2%	6.6%	4.2%	0.0%	50.3%	49.7%	5.0%	27.7%	28.1%	37.4%	1.7%
SANS PERIL	66.48	\$199,820	2005	52.5%	47.5%	11.9%		39.8%	25.2%	9.4%	68.1%	24.7%	7.3%	0.0%	58.9%	41.1%	4.2%	57.1%	20.7%	15.1%	3.0%
CEDAR RIVER	65.34	\$140,390	1980	44.1%	55.9%	19.8%		37.5%	15.8%	2.8%	60.5%	38.4%	1.1%	0.0%	42.9%	57.1%	14.1%	29.5%	18.9%	32.2%	5.3%
LAKESHORE	64.76	\$132,358	1960	50.2%	49.8%	24.0%		30.4%	21.9%	4.1%	88.6%	8.6%	2.8%	0.0%	51.1%	48.9%	7.6%	25.4%	26.1%	34.1%	6.7%
HOLLY OAKS	64.67	\$210,852	1959	21.2%	78.8%	15.8%	14.6%	32.6%	29.3%	7.5%	95.0%	2.1%	2.9%	0.0%	47.8%	52.2%	4.9%	22.9%	23.9%	43.8%	4.5%
SPRING GLEN	64.61	\$169,661	1975	50.7%	49.3%	30.0%	26.9%	26.2%	15.0%	2.1%	57.9%	31.6%	10.5%	0.0%	55.5%	44.5%	8.5%	40.0%	21.7%	25.4%	4.2%
MILL CREEK	63.45	\$195,906	1970	45.3%	54.7%	18.6%	33.1%	28.7%	12.0%	7.4%	47.0%	49.6%	3.4%	0.0%	42.2%	57.8%	17.8%	31.7%	17.7%	24.1%	8.6%
INWOOD TERRACE	63.39	\$159,322	1960	62.5%	37.5%	10.3%		23.1%	18.4%	4.2%	60.4%	12.6%	27.0%	0.0%	55.7%	44.3%	10.9%	37.0%	26.6%	22.0%	3.5%
JULINGTON HILLS	62.02	\$280,173	1986	32.0%	68.0%	5.9%	16.5%	29.5%	33.5%	14.7%	94.3%	1.8%	3.9%	0.0%	51.7%	48.3%	6.4%	20.2%	17.2%	46.5%	9.8%
LONE STAR PARK	60.66	\$173,919	1967	34.7%	65.3%	9.4%	22.4%	37.9%	27.4%	2.9%	77.7%	16.5%	5.8%	0.0%	47.8%	52.2%	13.1%	38.6%	26.4%	19.4%	2.4%
HOOD LANDING II	59.15	\$286,821	1985	18.2%	81.8%	8.2%		42.6%	31.1%	8.3%	85.4%	8.3%	6.2%	0.0%	51.0%	49.0%	14.0%	25.4%	16.2%	38.4%	6.0%
NORTHLAKE	58.29	\$321,841	1996	20.7%	79.3%	19.6%	18.9%	32.0%	23.9%	5.5%	53.4%	41.6%	4.8%	0.2%	42.5%	57.5%	12.8%	30.7%	25.3%	28.8%	2.3%
POINT LA VISTA	56.33	\$408,214	1974	18.3%	81.7%	5.3%	11.4%	17.3%	46.2%	19.9%	98.2%	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%	51.9%	48.1%	10.2%	25.2%	25.2%	37.5%	1.8%
BEAUCLERC GARDENS	54.98	\$345,825	1978	16.3%	83.7%	9.2%		21.0%	36.5%	26.3%	92.2%	1.6%	6.3%	0.0%	49.8%	50.2%	8.3%	16.5%	27.4%	43.7%	4.1%
ORTEGA	53.79	\$442,162	1970	9.6%	90.4%	7.1%	11.9%	26.7%	31.1%	23.3%	99.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	51.9%	48.1%	11.4%	12.3%	30.0%	39.1%	7.1%
CLIFTON	53.62	\$211,155	1973	36.7%	63.3%	7.7%	24.9%	43.6%	19.8%	3.9%	74.4%	24.3%	1.2%	0.0%	53.5%	46.5%	8.2%	38.3%	20.7%	29.0%	3.8%
OAKHAVEN	53.29	\$166,880	1970	42.5%	57.5%	20.3%		32.0%	19.7%	5.6%	80.0%	18.3%	1.7%	0.0%	48.0%	52.0%	6.1%	26.8%	22.4%	39.1%	5.5%
THE CAPE	52.63	\$262,548	1978	33.3%	66.7%	16.9%	18.6%	15.8%	36.5%	12.3%	84.9%	9.2%	5.9%	0.0%	50.3%	49.7%	4.8%	10.3%	20.0%	58.3%	6.7%
ODESSA	50.38	\$74,177	1949	61.9%	38.1%	48.3%	19.5%	21.6%	10.5%	0.0%	44.5%	54.8%	0.0%	0.7%	50.7%	49.3%	9.0%	33.6%	25.3%	29.8%	2.3%
SOUTHSIDE ESTATES	47.98	\$154,955	1975	44.0%	56.0%	10.1%	25.2%	47.6%	14.5%	2.6%	81.4%	13.5%	4.4%	0.7%	52.5%	47.5%	4.4%	33.3%	35.7%	23.2%	3.3%
PABLO POINT	46.70	\$296,666	1984	15.2%	84.8%	1.5%		17.4%	59.4%	13.5%	91.6%	4.5%	3.9%	0.0%	55.1%	44.9%	8.7%	26.4%	20.8%	41.9%	2.3%
MT PLEASANT	43.98	\$298,696		12.9%	87.1%	10.5%	9.8%	26.4%	36.9%	16.6%	91.7%	3.8%	4.0%	0.4%	49.9%	50.1%	7.1%	20.7%	15.8%	47.6%	8.7%

^{*} Home Characteristics collected from 2022 Property Appraiser Dataset

^{**} Median home values are calculated from "Just Market Value" in Property Appraisers dataset. These values lag the homes' true value but are valid for relative comparisons.

^{***} Income/Gender/Race/Age data collected from Census 2017 - 2021 ACS 5 Year

[^] Other includes Asian Pacific Islanders and Native Americans