Introduced by Council Member Salem:

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

RESOLUTION 2025-

A RESOLUTION EXPRESSING THE CITY COUNCIL'S LACK CONFIDENCE IN GENERAL COUNSEL OF MICHAEL FACKLER'S ABILITY TO SERVE AS AN INDEPENDENT, UNBIASED GENERAL COUNSEL TO THE CONSOLIDATED CITY OF JACKSONVILLE AND TTS TNDEPENDENT AGENCIES OR TO MANAGE THE OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the General Counsel, as the head of the Office of is the chief legal officer for the entire General Counsel, Consolidated Government, including its independent agencies, and is tasked by the Jacksonville City Charter to make legal decisions on the merits for the Consolidated Government "without preference to any official or agency"; and

WHEREAS, in overseeing what is considered the third branch of government, the General Counsel is expected to bring clarity, consistency and sound legal judgment to the many agencies, departments, divisions and offices of the Consolidated Government; and

WHEREAS, it is expected that during the tenure of any General Counsel, there will be many potential conflicts that arise in the representation of so many agencies, departments, divisions and offices who work to carry out a myriad of diverse interests, goals and objectives which, at times, can conflict with the interests, goals and objectives of another agency, department, division or office; and

WHEREAS, former General Counsels have approached this heavy

responsibility with fairness, integrity and skill, bringing a reassurance and quality of representation that assured they at all times acted in the best interests of the Consolidated Government as a whole, thus allowing the Consolidated Government to operate with a minimal use of outside private counsel; and

WHEREAS, the City Council believes General Counsel Michael Fackler has failed to adequately represent or provide representation of the Consolidated Government and the City Council in particular in the following ways:

- a) His advice to the City Council that a confederate monument could be removed from the Springfield Historic District without following or adhering to the legal requirements pertaining to modifications to structures within the Historic District without a Certificate of Appropriateness as required pursuant to Chapter 307 of the Ordinance Code; and
- b) His advice to the City Council concerning the funding of the illegal action to remove a confederate monument from the Springfield Historic District through private donations which constitutes an usurpation of the Council's legislative appropriation authority and violates the separation of powers; and
- c) His decision to render unsolicited advice regarding the authority of the Council or Constitutional Officers to appoint to a board or commission of the City in clear contravention of decades of practice and without regard to the multiple instances within the Ordinance Code authorizing the Council and Constitutional Officers to make similar appointments; and
- d) His advice and legal opinion to the Mayor and City Council regarding the Council's action to adopt legislation revising the terms of a City contract with Meridian Waste Florida,

LLC, in compliance with a City Ordinance Code provision adopted in 1976 and without consideration of decades of relevant and similar practice by this Council, other government agencies and jurisdictions, and without consideration of information, research and evidence that may have supported a contrary opinion; and

- e) His general lack of institutional knowledge which has resulted in his inability to render appropriate legal opinions that reflect an understanding of the nature of government and the historical application of clear legal principles; and
- f) His lack of institutional knowledge regarding the Consolidated Government, established precedent in application of the City's Ordinance Code to the various agencies and units of the Consolidated Government, and his general lack of understanding of the manner in which various Codes and practices have evolved will continue to destabilize and create division amongst the Consolidated Government; and

WHEREAS, the Council has identified a predominant thread in the General Counsel's actions as described above and in a pattern of interactions with the City's executive branch which reflect an unacceptable preference to address and support the wishes of the executive branch at the expense of the legitimate concerns and interests of the legislative branch; and

WHEREAS, the Council further believes and has observed that General Counsel Fackler's management of the Office of General Counsel has resulted in diminished morale amongst both attorneys and staff, resulting in significant turnover within the office, including the loss of former high-ranking attorneys with the most institutional knowledge, employees leaving without maximizing their pension or other benefits, and employees leaving for lower paying jobs; and

WHEREAS, after independent consultations with former General Counsels who are no longer employed by the City and other distinguished members of the legal community, the City Council has lost all confidence that Mr. Fackler can continue to serve as an independent, unbiased General Counsel as mandated by the City's Charter and as is expected by the entirety of the Consolidated Government; and

WHEREAS, as a result of all of the above, the City Council has lost faith in the General Counsel and believes the Consolidated Government is not being adequately represented during his tenure; and WHEREAS, the City Charter does not offer a method to address the shortcomings and concerns listed above and thus the City Council is left to adopt this Resolution expressing its disappointment and lack of confidence in Mr. Fackler as General Counsel of the City of Jacksonville; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Jacksonville:

Section 1. The above recitals are true and are incorporated herein by this reference and made a part of this Resolution. The City Council hereby expresses its lack of faith in Mr. Fackler's ability to represent the consolidated City of Jacksonville for the reasons outlined above. The Council hereby further expresses its deep concern that Mr. Fackler is unable to appropriately manage the Office of General Counsel in a manner that preserves the institutional knowledge amongst remaining attorneys and staff and which preserves a level of morale that should be expected by any employee working within this Consolidated Government.

Section 2. Effective Date. This Resolution shall become effective upon signature by the Mayor or upon becoming effective without the Mayor's signature.